Detection of *Cysticercus cellulosae* in slaughtered pigs through meat inspection and confirmation by PCR assay
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**Abstract**

A total of 316 pig carcasses were examined through meat inspection in different market places of Sivasagar district of Assam, India for a period of one year from April 2015 to May 2016. Out of which, 4 (1.27%) were found positive for porcine cysticercosis with visible cysts. The highest density of *Cysticercus cellulosae* was found (11.40±0.67) \times (4.8±0.58) mm in skeletal muscles. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay was performed to confirm *Cysticercus cellulosae* and to validate the results of meat inspection. Oligonucleotide primers targeting against the large subunit rRNA gene (TBR primers) of *Taenia solium* were used in this study. On reactivity in PCR test, the TBR primers yielded products of 286bp in cysticercosis positive cases.
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**1. Introduction**

Porcine cysticercosis, the metacestode (*Cysticercus cellulosae*) stage of *Taenia solium* is a neglected [1] and an underrated zoonotic disease involving man and pig. In the transmission and maintenance of human taeniosis and cysticercosis, porcine cysticercosis plays a crucial role [2]. In Indian context, culture, traditions, customs and taboos influence meat consumption to a great extent especially in the rural societies. Pork is considered as a traditional food item in north eastern states of India [3]. North East India has much higher pork consumption that the rest of the country. The demand for pork was increasing along with prices in both Assam and Nagaland according to the traders. Thus, accurate inspection of pork is very important [4]. Although the pork consumption is highest in North East India but the scientific procedure of meat inspection is still lacking. While meat inspection is the preferred diagnostic tool to detect heavily infected carcasses but it is not reliable in detecting lightly infected carcasses [5]. Moreover, meat inspection requires expertise of the meat inspector; otherwise cysticerci may be confused with *T. hydatigena* cysticerci [6], hydatid cysts [7] and left over of muscle fasciae [8]. To overcome such type of difficulties application of molecular tools like Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can be effective in validation of meat inspection results [9].

So considering the public health significance of the disease, the present study aimed at estimating the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in slaughtered pig through meat inspection and PCR for validation of results.

**2. Materials and methods**

**2.1 Study area:** A study on prevalence of porcine cysticercosis was conducted for a period of one year from April 2015 to May 2016 in different market places of Sivasagar district of Assam, India.

**2.2 Sample collection:** A total of 316 pig carcasses were examined as per the standard method [10] for the presence of *Cysticercus cellulosae* from different market places of Sivasagar district. Fifty gram (g) of tissue each from brain, tongue, liver and skeletal muscles of infected pig carcasses were brought to the laboratory in ice-box to study the density of cyst. A total of 5 numbers of cysts from each of brain, tongue, liver and skeletal muscles from 6 infected pig carcasses were taken; their length and breadth were measured and recorded to study the dimension of the cyst.
2.3 Extraction of DNA from samples

Extraction of DNA from cysts/suspected lesion was made possible using a commercially available QIAamp tissue kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions [11]. Briefly, 200 μl of cyst/lesion homogenate, 20 μl of proteinase K stock solution, and 200 μl of lysing buffer were pipetted into 1.5 ml eppendorf tube. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and then at 70 °C for 30 min before the addition of 200 μl of absolute alcohol and mixing by vortexing. The mixture was then transferred to the QIAamp spin column placed in a clean 2 ml collection tube and centrifuged at 8000 RPM in MiniSpin centrifuge (Eppendorf, Wesseling-Berzdorf, Germany) for 1 min at room temperature. The QIAamp spin column was washed twice with 500 μl of the washing buffers by spinning for 1 min. The QIAamp spin column was placed in a clean 1.5 ml eppendorf tube and the DNA was eluted with 100 μl of elution buffer preheated at 70 °C. Maximum DNA yield was obtained by spinning at 12,000 rpm for 1 min at room temperature. From the suspended nucleic acid 5 μl was used in the PCR amplification. The extracted DNA was quantified using spectrophotometer at 260 nm wave length.

2.4 Oligonucleotide primers

The oligonucleotide primers specific to T. solium were adopted from already published sequences. The primers TBR-3 (5′-GGC TTG TTT GAATG GTT GAC G-3′) and TBR-6 (5′-GCT ACTACA CCT AAA TTC TAA CC-3′) against large subunit rRNA gene were used in the PCR amplification.

2.5 PCR amplification and detection of PCR product

The PCR reaction was performed in a thermal cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) in 20 μl volume containing 2μl DNA sample (100 ng/μl), 1 μl (10 pmol) of each forward and reverse primer, 10 μl of DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Scientific™, USA) and 7 μl of nuclease free water (Thermo Scientific™, USA). A total of 40 PCR cycles were run with the following conditions: one initial denaturation cycle at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 40 repeated cycles with temperatures at 94°C for 30 s (denaturation), 59°C for 30 s (annealing, specific for primers) and 72°C for 1 min. After the final cycle, the preparations were kept at 72°C for 5 min for final elongation, and the PCR products were stored at 4°C in thermal cycler for further use [9]. Five microliters of PCR amplicons was analyzed on ethidium bromide stained 2% agarose gel (In Genius Gel documentation system, Syngene, UK). The sizes and quantities of PCR products were verified by comparison with a 100 bp plus quantitative ladder (Thermo Scientific™, USA).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Analysis of data was done using SAS System (version 4.2)

3. Results

All the 316 pigs inspected at slaughter were from different parts of Sivasagar district of Assam, India. Cysts from 4 cysticercosis positive carcasses (identified by visual meat inspection) were collected. Density of C. cellulosae per 50 g of tissue each from brain, tongue, liver and skeletal muscles of 4 infected pig carcasses was recorded. Highest density (25.25±5.25) of cyst was found in skeletal muscle and lowest (6.5±2.50) in tongue. The genomic DNA from all samples (n=6) were subjected for PCR assay targeting large subunit rRNA gene with a molecular sizes of 286 bp. All DNA samples extracted from 4 cysticercosis positive pigs, identified as viable cysts at meat inspection were also confirmed as C. cellulosae by PCR assay.

4. Discussion

The present study was undertaken to assess the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in slaughtered pigs. The study revealed overall 1.26% (4/316) prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in the district which is however in contrast to the previous authors. Prevalence of porcine cysticercosis was previously recorded 20.80% and 11.90% from Assam by Dek a et al. [13] and Plain [14]. Thereafter, Borkataki et al. [15] studied the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in Nagaon, Morigaon and Karbi Anglong district of Assam for a period of one year from March 2002 to February 2003 and recorded 7.55%, 8.20% and 13.70% infection, respectively. The lower prevalence rate of porcine cysticercosis might be due to better managemental practices under which pigs are being reared and awareness of the people about the disease entity.

In the present study, density of the cysts per 50g of tissue each from brain, liver, tongue and skeletal muscles of 4 infected pig carcasses were recorded. The highest Mean ± SE of cyst was found in skeletal muscles (25.25±5.25) and lowest in tongue (6.5±2.50). Similar observations were made by other workers [16-18]. This might be attributed to ecology of the parasite, particularly in skeletal muscle they get more surface area to proliferate compared to the other organs.

The prevalence of porcine cysticercosis is either under estimated due to poor efficiency of visual meat inspection or overestimated through misdiagnosis of other morphological alterations in affected muscles, as the meat safety system is based only on conventional post-mortem inspection at slaughterhouse. Therefore, molecular diagnostics have been considered for validation of macroscopic diagnosis of ambiguous lesions as these tests were reported to be highly specific and sensitive [19-21]. Identification of T. solium cysticerci from the infected pig carcasses and suspected carcasses was based on amplification of large subunit rRNA gene (TRB) gene with a product size of 286 bp. All the positive cases show positive amplification of TRB gene. Dalmasso et al. [22] extracted DNA from degenerated and calcified cysts/lesions and found positive amplification for TRB gene. Lino Junior [23] also reported the importance of PCR test with TBR primers as a reliable method for detection of cysticerci in tissues from human autopsies that are in advanced evolutive stages.

Fig 1: Selling of Cysticercus cellulosae infected pig carcass in a local market of Sivasagar district
In the present study, the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in slaughtered pigs was recorded 1.26%. To control transmission and maintenance of human taeniosis and cysticercosis detection of porcine cysticercosis plays a crucial role. Along with the visual meat inspection, PCR assay is proved to be a successful tool for confirmation of positive cases. Lane P: Positive control and Lane N: Negative control.

5. Conclusion
In the present study, the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in slaughtered pigs was recorded 1.26%. To control transmission and maintenance of human taeniosis and cysticercosis detection of porcine cysticercosis plays a crucial role. Along with the visual meat inspection, PCR assay is proved to be a successful tool for confirmation of postmortem diagnosis of porcine cysticercosis.
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