

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2018; 6(3): 1583-1588 © 2018 JEZS Received: 04-03-2018 Accepted: 05-04-2018

#### Deepika Kalkal

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Roshan Lal

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### KK Dahiya

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Krishna Rohlania

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Dalip Kumar

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

#### Arun Janu

Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Correspondence Arun Janu Department of Entomology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

# Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



## Population dynamics of spotted bollworms and their correlation with abiotic factors

## Deepika Kalkal, Roshan Lal, KK Dahiya, Krishna Rohlania, Dalip Kumar and Arun Janu

#### Abstract

Nineteen genotypes comprising seventeen *Bt* hybrids, one conventional hybrid and one variety were evaluated during kharif season of 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 for their reaction to bollworms under unsprayed conditions at Cotton Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. Spotted bollworm infestation remained below economic threshold (ET) in all the genotypes, however, from  $34^{\text{th}}$  week onwards the infestation crossed economic threshold (5% infestation) in non-Bt variety H-1226. On mean basis maximum infestation was recorded in H-1226 (19.47 and 17.95 percent during 2008 and 2009 respectively) whereas minimum infestation was observed in RCH-134 *Bt* (1.28%) in 2008 and NCEH-6 *Bt* (0.21%) in 2009. Larval population was ranged from 0.00-4.00 larvae/5 plant in 2008 and 0.00- 4.65 larvae/ 5 plant in 2009. While maximum population was recorded in H-1226 non-*Bt* (4.00 larvae/5 plant in 2008 and 4.65 larvae/5 plant in 2009) at 40<sup>th</sup> standard week. Spotted bollworm population were found significantly negative correlation with temperature, relative humidity and rainfall while positive correlation with sunshine hours.

Keywords: Abiotic factors, Bt cotton, correlation coefficient, population dynamics, spotted bollworms

### Introduction

Cotton is grown principally for the fiber and the seed is used as fodder for livestock Surulivelu et al.<sup>[1]</sup>. In India, during 2010-11, it was grown on an area of 110 Lakh ha with the production of 325 Lakh bales with the average yield of 503 kg lint /ha <sup>[1]</sup>. Among the vast array of insect pests, bollworms [American bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner); pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) and spotted bollworms, Earias insulana (Boisduval) and E. vittella (Fabricius)] not only cause tremendous reduction in yield but adversely affect the quality of lint and seed Deepika et al. <sup>[2]</sup>. Recently a novel method for the management of lepidopterans is the use of Bt Cry toxin obtained from soil bacterium. Bacillus thruingiensis (Bt). Since the release of Bt cotton hybrids has been able to reduce the use of chemical insecticides, thereby lowering the risks pertaining to environment hazards, human health and production costs Deepika et al.<sup>[2]</sup>. In India, hybrid cotton carrying cry1Ac gene was approved for commercial cultivation in March 2002 in six South Indian states <sup>[3]</sup> by Genetic Engineering Approval Committee (GEAC). Since its release has been able to reduce the use of chemical insecticides, thereby lowering the risks pertaining to environment hazards, human health and production costs. Bt produces  $\delta$ -endotoxin (crystal proteins) during the sporulation process. The introduction of Bt cotton in India is likely to prove useful in the management of the bollworm, H. armigera with reduced dependence on pesticides <sup>[4]</sup>. In 2006, three new events were approved for incorporation in *Bt* hybrids in India. These events were, Bollgard II event, event I71, having modified Cry1Ac gene and event GFM having Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac genes. During 2002-2007, 131 Bt cotton hybrids were approved for commercial cultivation in India at various zones<sup>[5]</sup>. Mohapatra<sup>[6]</sup> studied the incidence of three species of lepidopteran bollworm species i.e. E. vittella (Fab.), Helicoverpa armigera (Hubner) and Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders). E. vittella was found active during July to January while Helicoverpa armigera during September to December with their peak incidence during 1st week of November. The main objective was to study the population dynamic and impact of weather parameter on E. vittella in Bt and non-Bt genotypes.

#### Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out at Cotton Section, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar under unsprayed conditions on the nineteen genotypes comprising seventeen Bt hybrids, one conventional hybrid and one variety. The seeds were dibbled with line-to-line spacing of 67.5 cm and plant-to plant at 60 cm in case of hybrids and 30 cm in case of the varieties. Two to three seeds of respective genotypes were put at a depth of 3-4 cm in each hill in the well prepared soil. Sowing was done on 25th May, 2008 and 15th of May, 2009 in a randomized block design (RBD). The experiment was replicated thrice in a plot size of 24.3 m<sup>2</sup> each. Thinning of the crop was also done one month after sowing. All the other cultural practices were adopted as per the recommendation of "Package of Practices of Kharif crops" of CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar <sup>[7]</sup>. The observation on the population of spotted bollworms was recorded at weekly intervals during period of study. The observation on bollworms infestation in green fruiting bodies (buds, flowers, squares and bolls) was started from August for both the years of study. The sample size and method employed for sampling the population of bollworms was as under:

### **Intact fruiting bodies**

Observations on the incidence of bollworm complex on the intact fruiting bodies (square, flower, bolls) were recorded at weekly interval from randomly selected five plants from each plot. The infestation was calculated by following formula: -

No. of fruiting bodies infested Percent bollworm infestation =  $\frac{1}{100}$  x 100 Total number of fruiting bodies

### Statistical analysis

The data obtained during studies in the above experiments were got computed for analysis of variance using the methods of Panse and Sukhatme <sup>[8]</sup>. In case of bollworms infestation (%) the data were analyzed for variance by adopting angular transformation.

### **Results and Discussion**

The present study data indicated that under unsprayed conditions the bollworm infestation in green fruiting bodies (Plate -1) varied significantly among Bt and non-Bt genotypes, and was significantly higher in non-Bt genotypes except hybrid HHH-223 in which the reverse was true. The infestation started from 33rd (2008) and 34th (2009) standard week onwards. During both crop seasons, bollworm infestation remained below economic threshold in all the genotypes barring non Bt variety H-1226. Amongst the genotypes in 2008 (1st crop season) (Table-1), no infestation was recorded in KDCHH-441 BG-II, MRC-7031 BG-II, RCH-134 BG-II, ANKUR 2534 Bt and NCS-913 Bt while least average infestation was recorded in non Bt hybrid HHH-223 (1.27%) and RCH-134 Bt (1.28%). Maximum average infestation was recorded in non Bt variety H-1226 (19.47%) followed by SIGMA Bt (2.16%) Amongst the genotypes, minimum infestation was first recorded in MRC-6301 Bt (0.26%) during 34<sup>th</sup> week and HHH-223 (0.32%) during 35<sup>th</sup> week, while maximum infestation was recorded in H-1226 non-Bt (31.54%) during 40<sup>th</sup> standard week. Weekly mean values of the genotypes indicated that maximum infestation was recorded during  $41^{st}$  week (4.38%).

In 2009 (Table-1) in the following crop season, no infestation was found in TULSI-45 BG-II, MRC-6301 *Bt*, RCH-134 BG-

II and VBCH-1501 BG-II. Mean value indicated that maximum infestation was observed in H-1226 (17.95%) followed by SIGMA *Bt* (1.91%) while minimum infestation was recorded in NCEH-6 *Bt* (0.21%) Amongst the genotypes, minimum infestation was recorded in KDCHH-441 BG-II (0.03%) during  $32^{nd}$  week and IT-905 *Bt* (0.11%) during  $33^{rd}$  week, while maximum infestation was recorded in H-1226 (32.14%) during  $40^{th}$  week. Weekly mean values indicated that maximum infestation was recorded during  $41^{st}$  week (4.30%). During both seasons maximum infestation was recorded in H-1226 and SIGMA *Bt*.

Results of the present studies are in conformity with Vennila et al.<sup>[9]</sup> who also recorded similar observations in different Bt genotypes. Similarly, Bambawale et al. <sup>[10]</sup> observed that total percent damage to fruiting bodies was low in *Bt* genotypes compared to non-Bt genotypes. Kumar and Stanley <sup>[11]</sup> also reported that non-*Bt* plots attracted more bollworm infestation than *Bt* plots and there was a significant difference in the bollworm infestation among the *Bt* and non-*Bt* genotypes. The present results got support from Nath and Sharma<sup>[12]</sup> who reported that the bollworm infestation was above economic threshold (Bt or non Bt) during second week of August to second week of September. The present results are not in line with the findings of Sharma et al. [13] who reported that the infestation of spotted bollworm started from mid July. Sharma et al. [13] reported that the peak infestation of spotted bollworm was recorded during mid August to early October and October to November, respectively which supports the present study. Mohapatra [6] reported the commencement of the activity of spotted bollworm from 31st standard week and reached to its peak in 46<sup>th</sup> standard week, are in contradiction to the present investigation. The present investigation corroborates with those of Sharma et al. [13] who reported spotted bollworm was active throughout the crop season.

Data presented in Table-2 indicated that spotted bollworm population varied significantly in *Bt* and non-*Bt* genotypes being very high in non-Bt genotypes. Weekly mean values indicated that incidence of spotted bollworm (Plate-2) started from 34<sup>th</sup> standard week onward while maximum mean incidence was recorded during 39th standard week during both the years i.e. 2008 and 2009 (0.42 larvae/plant and 0.46 larvae/plant respectively). Amongst Bt genotypes during 2008 (1<sup>st</sup> crop season), population was nil in KDCHH-441 BG-II, MRC-7031 BG-II, RCH-134 BG-II, VBCH-1504 BG-II, ANKUR 2534 Bt and NCS-913 Bt, while minimum mean incidence was recorded in MRC-6301 Bt, VBCH-1501 BG-II and NCS-145 Bt-II (0.06 larvae/plant) and difference was non significant. Maximum population was recorded in H-1226 non-Bt variety (four larvae/plant) at 40<sup>th</sup> week (Table-3). Whereas during 2009 (2<sup>nd</sup> crop season) among Bt genotypes, population was recorded nil in TULSI-45 BG-II, MRC-6301 Bt, RCH-134 BG-II and VBCH-1501 BG-II, while least population was recorded in MRC-7031 BG-II and RCH-134 Bt (0.05 larvae/plant). Maximum incidence was recorded in H-1226 non-Bt variety (4.65 larvae/plant) at 40th week (Table-3).

Spotted bollworm population showed significant and negative correlation with temperature (r = -0.52 in 2008, r = -0.68 in 2009 and r = -0.81 in pooled data), relative humidity (r = -0.59 in 2008, r = -0.18 in 2009 and r = -0.57 in pooled data) and rainfall (r = -0.08 in 2008, r = -0.03 in 2009 and r = -0.07 in pooled data), and while positive correlation with sunshine hours (r = 0.17 in 2008) and wind speed (r = 0.02 in 2008) morning relative humidity (r = 0.42 in 2009 and r = 0.38 in pooled data) (Table 3).

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Findings of the present study that the spotted bollworm population varied significantly in *Bt* and non-*Bt* genotypes and Bt genotypes provided effective control of bollworms. These findings are similar to the findings of Cui and Xia <sup>[14]</sup> who reported that *Bt* cotton was highly resistant to cotton bollworm & cotton semi-looper and Dhillion and Sharma <sup>[15]</sup> reported that the bollworm damage was significantly lower in Bt-transgenic than in non-transgenic cotton. The result of that population of spotted bollworm (*Earias* spp.) started from 34<sup>th</sup> week onward are in conformity with <sup>[5]</sup> who reported that *E. vittella* was found active during July to January.

Bollworm population showed negative correlation with temperature, relative humidity and rainfall. A finding of present study accordance with Shivanna *et al.* <sup>[16]</sup> was observed significant negative correlation of *E. insulana* with afternoon relative humidity. Aziz *et al.* <sup>[17]</sup> reported that there was a positive correlation of spotted bollworm with temperature and negative correlation with relative humidity and rainfall, the present results are partially accordance with the findings.

#### Percent infestation of spotted bollworm on green boll basis (Damaged bolls/5 plants) Percent infestation of spotted bollworm on green boll basis (Damaged bolls/5 plants) Mean Mean Genotypes Standard week during 2008 Standard week during 2009 32 41 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 KDCHH-9810 0.00 0.00 0.37 0.78 0.94 1.37 2.00 2.69 3.22 0.38 0.41 0.62 1.03 1.38 1.94 2.57 3.04 3.54 4.00 4.06 1.71 .89 (11.53)BG-I (1.81)(1.81)(3.43)(5.04)(5.53)(6.72)(8.13)(9.43)(10.33)(11.62)(3.52)(3.65)(4.49)(5.82)(6.74)(8.01)(9.20)(10.03)(10.82)KDCHH-441 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.35 0.60 1.19 1.58 2.00 2.47 2.94 3.36 3.98 .85 0.00 BG-II (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(0.85)(3.37)(4.12)(6.26)(7.20)(8.13)(9.02)(9.86)(10.55)(11.50)TULSI-45 BG 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.42 0.72 1.05 1.76 2.34 3.11 3.76 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.68 0.00Π (1.81)(1.81)(3.70)(4.87)(5.87)(7.61)(8.11)(8.80)(10.15)(11.17)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)MRC-7031 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.36 0.57 1.06 1.36 1.87 2.04 2.68 3.22 3.78 0.00 .69 **BG-II** (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(3.43)(4.31)(5.90)(6.69)(7.85)(8.2)(9.41)(10.32)(11.20)0.00 0.00 0.26 0.89 0.98 1.05 1.67 2.46 3.56 4.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 MRC-6301 Bt 1.66 (1.81)(1.81)(2.93)(5.39)(5.67)(5.87)(7.41)(9.02)(10.86)(11.68)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)RCH-134 BG 0.00 (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)Π (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)0.00 0.30 0.92 1.05 1.07 1.25 1.56 2.33 3.00 0.00 0.40 0.50 0.89 1.07 1.54 1.78 2.11 2.87 3.24 0.00 RCH-134 Bt .28 .44 (10.37 (1.81)(1.81)(3.12)(5.48)(5.87)(5.93)(6.41)(7.16)(8.76)(9.96)(1.81)(3.59)(4.03)(5.38)(5.92)(7.10)(7.65)(8.33)(9.75)**VBCH-1504** 0.00 0.00 0.34 0.58 0.76 1.00 1.78 2.47 3.95 4.67 0.33 0.46 0.53 1.02 1.19 1.68 2.00 2.33 3.00 3.84 .64 .73 BG-II (1.81)(1.81)(3.35)(4.35)(4.96)(5.73)(7.66)(9.03)(11.45)(12.47)(3.30)(3.03)(4.15)(5.79)(6.26)(7.43)(8.11)(8.76)(9.96)(11.29)**VBCH-1501** 0.00 0.00 0.41 0.43 0.57 0.63 0.68 1.08 2.45 3.22 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.05 0.00 (8.99)(10.32)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)BG-II (1.81)(1.81)(3.67)(3.75)(3.51)(4.56)(4.67)(5.97)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)3.11 0.00 0.35 2.21 3.65 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.07 1.07 1.16 1.26 1.90 2.98 0.66 1.00 1.21 1.54 1.87 2.89 VBCH-1006 B 1.51 .54 (1.81)(1.81)(5.73)(5.90)(5.89)(6.19)(6.42)(7.92)(9.93)(10.14)(1.81)(3.34)(4.65)(5.73)(6.30)(7.12)(7.84)(8.54)(9.78)(11.00)0.00 0.00 0.39 0.69 1.63 2.32 2.71 3.00 3.86 4.80 0.00 0.40 0.63 1.05 1.16 1.76 2.65 3.11 3.76 4.56 1.91 SIGMA Bt 2.16 (2.94)(5.86)(12.32)(1.81)(1.81)(2.87)(4.77)(7.30)(8.74)(9.47)(9.97)(11.33)(12.64)(1.81)(4.38)(6.18)(7.60)(9.35)(10.14)(11.17)ANKUR 2534 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.36 0.55 1.07 2.48 3.00 3.18 3.87 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.19 1.58 0.00 .63 (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(2.46)(3.43)(4.21)(5.88)(7.19)(9.03)(9.97)(10.26)(11.34)Bt (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)ANKUR JASSI 2.78 3.33 0.00 0.04 0.35 2.58 3.21 3.79 0.00 0.36 0.48 0.86 1.01 1.24 1.97 4.98 0.11 0.76 1.21 1.65 1.89 .37 (10.51)(12.89)(0.63)(1.51)(3.38)(4.91)(9.22)(10.32)(11.22)BG-II (1.81)(2.76)(3.95)(5.32)(5.76)(6.39)(8.06)(9.59)(1.81)(6.31)(7.35)0.00 0.00 0.56 0.77 0.80 1.08 1.64 2.49 3.29 4.65 0.00 0.00 0.21 0.51 1.11 1.46 1.87 2.14 2.85 3.42 NCS-145 Bt-2 1.70 .36 (1.81)(1.81)(4.27)(5.02)(5.11)(5.97)(7.35)(9.07)(10.45)(12.44)(1.81)(1.81)(2.58)(4.10)(6.02)(6.94)(7.84)(8.39)(9.71)(10.62)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.36 0.58 1.06 1.34 1.98 2.57 2.98 3.68 0.00 NCS-913 Bt .46 (1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(3.40)(4.14)(5.91)(8.07)(9.21)(9.93)(11.05)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(6.61)0.37 2.00 2.55 3.00 3.99 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.32 1.11 0.00 0.00 0.66 1.10 1.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.68 NCEH-6 Bt 1.69 0.21 (11.52)(6.03)(1.81)(1.81)(3.41)(4.63)(6.01)(7.13)(8.13)(9.18)(9.97)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(3.22)(4.69)0.00 0.00 0.36 0.86 1.07 1.70 2.09 2.783.00 4.05 0.00 0.11 0.26 0.76 1.02 1.36 1.87 2.16 2.54 2.98 1.31 IT-905 Bt 1.77 (1.81)(9.97)(11.61)(1.51)(2.39)(4.98) (5.78)(8.44)(9.93)(1.81)(3.14)(5.31)(5.94)(7.46)(8.31)(9.59)(1.81)(6.67)(7.84)(9.15)0.32 1.12 4.07 1.04 2.57 3.75 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.62 0.95 1.89 2.49 0.00 0.68 0.85 1.24 1.56 1.96 3.11 HHH-223 (LC 1.27 .68 (6.07)(7.90)(9.05)(11.64)(1.81)(4.65)(5.29)(5.84)(7.15)(9.22)(1.81)(1.81)(1.81)(3.25)(4.51)(3.72)(6.37)(8.03)(10.16)(11.16)3.32 4.16 9.32 10.76 27.32 30.26 27.82 31.54 30.72 1.24 2.89 3.97 8.56 11.45 25.65 30.54 31.24 32.14 31.85 1.12 H-1226 (LC) 7.95 19.4 (6.07)(10.48)(11.76)(17.76)(19.14)(31.48)(33.36)(31.82)(34.15)(33.65) (6.37)(9.74)(11.49)(16.99)(19.76)(30.41)(33.54)(33.97) (34.68)(34.34) Mean 0.06 0.19 0.50 0.99 1.23 2.33 2.76 3.04 3.80 4.38 2.14 0.10 0.35 0.54 1.03 1.40 2.45 3.04 3.42 3.84 4.30 2.05 CD (p=0.05) (0.28)(0.99)(1.17)(0.62)(1.32)(1.53)(0.62)(0.56)(0.66)(0.43)(0.38)(1.79)(1.52)(1.04)(0.85)(1.94)(0.84)(083)(0.71)(0.72)(0.34)(0.46)(0.53)(0.22)(0.19)(0.23)(0.15)(0.13)(0.53)(0.36)(0.30)(2.00)(0.29)(0.29)(0.25)(0.25) $SE(m) \pm$ (0.10)(0.41)(0.22)(0.62)

#### Table 1: Evaluation of Bt and non-Bt genotypes of cotton against spotted bollworm during 2008 and 2009 crop season

\*Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

#### Percent infestation of spotted bollworm on green boll basis (Damaged bolls/5 plants) Standard Percent infestation of spotted bollworm on green boll basis (Damaged bolls/5 plants) Standard Mean Mean Genotypes week during 2008 week during 2009 32 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 KDCHH-9810 BG 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.13 0.20 0.27 0.13 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.11 0.13 0.23 0.27 0.13 0.05 0.10 0.10 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.06)(1.06)(1.09)(1.13)(1.06)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.05)(1.06)(1.11)(1.13)(1.06)(1.03)KDCHH-441 BG-0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.07 0.27 0.33 0.20 0.13 0.00 0.10 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.02)(1.03)(1.13)(1.15)(1.09)(1.06)Π (1.00)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.20 0.33 0.40 0.20 0.13 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.15 TULSI-45 BG-II 0.00 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.09)(1.18)(1.09)(1.06)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.15)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.07 0.13 0.13 0.07 0.07 0.00 MRC-7031 BG-II 0.05 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.00)(1.03)(1.06)(1.06)(1.03)(1.03)0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.07 0.13 0.13 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.06 MRC-6301 Bt 0.00 (1.00)(1.06)(1.03)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.00)(1.03)(1.06)(1.00)(1.00)0.00 RCH-134 BG-II (1.00)0.00 0.33 0.13 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.20 0.10 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.33 0.20 0.05 0.18 0.05 RCH-134 Bt (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.09)(1.15)(1.18)(1.15)(1.09)(1.06)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.09)(1.05)(1.03)(1.02)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.27 0.33 0.27 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 VBCH-1504 BG-I 0.00 0.13 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.06)(1.06)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.13)(1.15)(1.13)(1.10)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.20 0.13 0.07 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 VBCH-1501 BG-I 0.06 0.00 (1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.09)(1.06)(1.03)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.13 0.07 0.07 0.27 0.20 0.13 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.07 0.13 0.33 0.27 0.20 0.07 0.10 VBCH-1006 Bt 0.11 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.06)(1.03)(1.03)(1.12)(1.09)(1.06)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.03)(1.06)(1.15)(1.13)(1.09)(1.03)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.27 0.40 0.60 0.53 0.40 0.27 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.33 0.40 0.50 0.21 0.13 SIGMA Bt 0.27 0.18 (1.00)(1.10)(1.18)(1.22)(1.11)(1.06)(1.00)(1.00)(1.23)(1.18)(1.12)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.15)(1.00)(1.00)(1.12)(1.18)(1.24)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.10 0.13 0.27 0.33 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.09 ANKUR 2534 Bt (1.00)(1.00)(1.05)(0.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.06)(1.13)(1.15)ANKUR JASSI 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.13 0.20 0.27 0.13 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.13 0.20 0.27 0.13 0.07 0.11 0.09 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.06)(1.10)(1.06)(1.03)BG-II (1.00)(1.09)(1.06)(1.09)(1.12)(1.06)(1.00)(1.13)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.10 0.20 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.10 0.23 0.13 0.10 0.00 0.13 0.06 NCS-145 Bt-2 0.06 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.10)(1.09)(1.06)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.05)(1.11)(1.06)(1.05)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.21 0.33 0.47 0.27 0.10 0.00 0.15 NCS-913 Bt (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.11)(1.15)(1.21)(1.13)(1.05)0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.20 0.47 0.53 0.47 0.27 0.00 0.00 0.20 0.40 0.50 0.20 0.13 0.22 0.15 NCEH-6 Bt (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.09)(1.21)(1.23)(1.21)(1.12)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.10)(1.18)(1.22)(1.09)(1.06)0.07 0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.47 0.90 0.87 0.60 0.27 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.47 0.80 0.63 0.20 IT-905 Bt 0.35 0.27 (1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.21)(1.40)(1.36)(1.26)(1.12)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.21)(1.34)(1.28)(1.23)(1.10)0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.40 0.87 0.20 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.33 0.40 0.87 1.05 1.17 0.00 0.60 1.00 0.45 HHH-223 (LC) 0.39 (1.00)(1.00)(1.09)(1.03)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.15)(1.37)(1.43)(1.00)(1.00)(1.00)(1.18)(1.26)(1.37)(1.41)(1.00)(1.18)(1.47)0.00 0.00 0.07 1.97 2.60 2.67 2.93 3.33 4.00 3.67 0.00 0.07 1.07 1.07 2.33 2.47 2.97 3.64 4.65 3.29 H-1226 (LC) 2.36 2.16 (1.00)(1.03)(1.44)(1.44)(1.82)(1.86)(1.99)(2.38)(2.07)(1.00)(1.00)(1.03)(1.72)(1.90)(1.91)(2.02)(2.08)(2.15)(2.11)(2.15)0.24 Mean 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.11 0.19 0.27 0.39 0.42 0.40 0.33 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.07 0.17 0.27 0.39 0.46 0.43 0.30 0.21 CD (p=0.05) (NS) (NS) (NS) (0.05)(0.09)(0.11)(0.11)(0.11)(0.11)(0.11)(NS) (NS) (0.00)(0.04)(0.07)(0.10)(0.10)(0.10)(0.10)(0.08)(0.01)(0.01)(0.01)(0.02)(0.03)(0.04)(0.04)(0.03)(0.05)(0.01)(0.01)(0.00)(0.01)(0.03)(0.03)(0.03)(0.03)(0.03)(0.03) $SE(m) \pm$ (0.14)

#### Table 2: Population of spotted bollworm on Bt and non-Bt genotypes of cotton during 2008 and 2009 crop season

\* Figures in parentheses are angular transformed values

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

 Table 3: Correlation coefficient (r) of bollworms incidence with abiotic factors

Weather parameters	Spotted bollworm		
	2008	2009	Pooled
Temperature (°C)			
Maximum	+0.08	-0.47**	-0.55**
Minimum	-0.80**	-0.71**	-0.76**
Average	-0.52**	-0.68**	-0.81**
Relative humidity (%)			
Morning	-0.24	+0.42**	+0.38**
Evening	-0.67**	-0.47**	-0.74**
Average	-0.59**	-0.18	-0.57**
Other factors			
Rainfall (mm)	-0.08	-0.03	-0.07
Sunshine	+0.17	-0.49**	-0.34**
Wind speed(Km/h)	+0.02	-0.65**	-0.36**

\*Significant at 5%

\*\*Significant at 1%



Plate 1: Spotted bollworm infestation



Plate 2: Spotted bollworm larva (*Earias vittella*) feeding inside the cotton boll

### Conclusion

It was concluded from above studies that spotted bollworm infestation varied significantly in Bt and non-Bt genotypes, being very high in non-Bt genotypes while hybrid HHH-223 was statistically at par with Bt genotypes.

### References

1. Surulivelu T, Pundhir P, Nehra PL, Monga D, Manickam S, Sabesh M *et al.* ICAR-All India coordinated Research Project (Cotton) Annual Report, Coimbatore, India, 2010-11.

- Kalkal Deepika, Lal Roshan, Dahiya KK, Jat BL, Kumar Ankit. Effect of abiotic factors on population dynamics of pink bollworm in relation to genetically modified cotton. Journal of cotton research and development. 2014; 28(2):280-285
- 3. APCoAB. Bt cotton in India- A Status Report, Asia-Pacific Consortium on Agricultural Biotechnology, New Delhi, India, 2006, 34.
- Kranthi KR, Naidu S, Dhawad CS, Tatwawadi A, Mate K, Patil E *et al.* Temporal and intra-plant variability of Cry1Ac expression in Bt cotton and its influence on survival of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). Current Science. 2005; 89:291-98.
- 5. Anonymous. Bt cotton hybrids approved for commercial cultivation in India various zones during 2002-2007, GEAC, Govt. of India, 2007.
- Mohapatra LN. Relative abundance of different bollworm species in relation to growth stages of cotton. Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 2004, 2006; 18(2):227-228.
- 7. Anonymous. Package of practices for Kharif crops, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, 2008, 234p.
- 8. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for Agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 1995, 15p.
- Vennila S, Biradar VK, Gadpayle JG, Panchbhai PR, Ramteke MS, Deole SA *et al.* Field evaluation of *Bt* transgenic cotton hybrids against sucking pests and bollworms. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2004; 32(1):1-10.
- Bambawale OM, Singh A, Sharma OP, Bhosle BB, Lavekar RC, Dhandapani A *et al.* Performance of *Bt* cotton (MECH-162) under integrated pest management in farmers' participatory field trial in Nanded district, Central India. Current Science. 2004; 86:1628-33.
- 11. Kumar KR, Stanley S. Comparative Efficacy of Transgenic *Bt* and Non- transgenic Cotton against Insect pest of cotton in Tamil Nadu, India. Resistant pest management newsletter. 2006; 15(2):38-43.
- Nath P, Sharma PD. Studies on incidence of bollworms on cotton (*Gossypium arboreum*) in South Haryana. Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 2003; 17(2):186-190.
- Sharma PD, Jat KL, Takar BL. Population dynamics of insect pests of American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in Haryana. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2004; 18(1):104-106.
- 14. Cui JJ, Xia JY. Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)* transgenic cotton on the dynamics of pest population and their enemies. Acta Phylophylacica Sinica, 2000; 7(2):141-145.
- 15. Dhillon MK, Sharma HC. Comparative studies on the effects of *Bt*-transgenic and non-transgenic cotton on arthropod diversity, seed cotton yield and bollworms control. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2013; 34:67-73.
- 16. Shivanna BK, Somashekharappa PR, Khan HIS, Nawaz ASN. Monitoring cotton bollworms with pheromone trap catches and their relation with weather parameters. Environment and Ecology, 2012; 30(3A):683-688.
- Aziz MA, Hasan MU, Ali A. Impact of abiotic factors on incidence of fruit and shoot infestation of spotted bollworms *Earias* spp. on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2011; 43(5):863-868.