

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2019; 7(5): 1067-1070 © 2019 JEZS Received: 21-07-2019 Accepted: 25-08-2019

#### Aashish Kumar Anant

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Niyati Pandey

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Aishwarya Ray

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### Santosh Behera

Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Aashish Kumar Anant Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

# Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



# Influence of abiotic factors on the incidence of mango hopper (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in Chhattisgarh

# Aashish Kumar Anant, Niyati Pandey, Aishwarya Ray and Santosh Behera

#### Abstract

The mango hopper is one of the major devastating pest of mango at flowering stage. The present investigation on influence of abiotic factors on the incidence of mango hopper and the result indicated that the mango hoppers appeared during last week of October with 1.70 hoppers per twig/panicle. The hoppers population continued to build up and attained peak incidence (12.38/twig/panicle) during third week of February at flowering stage (7<sup>th</sup> standard meteorological weeks). Declining trend of hoppers population was recorded from last week of February, when tree enters in fruiting stage. The lowest hopper population (0.13/twig/panicle) was recorded during first week of April at fruit maturity stage (14<sup>th</sup> standard meteorological weeks). The correlation coefficient studies revealed that hopper population and weather parameters were found negative and non-significant correlation with temperature and rainfall whereas, positive and non-significant correlation was calculated with relative humidity.

Keywords: Meteorological factors, correlation, seasonal Incidence, mango hoppers

# Introduction

Mango (*Mangifera indica* Linnaeus) is the national fruit of India and also known as "King of fruits" due to wide range of adoptability, test, colour, flavour and nutritive value etc. India is the first ranks in production of mango in the world. The tree is damaged by about 492 species of insects, 17 species of mites and 26 species of nematodes at the world level. Of these, 188 species of insects have been reported from India <sup>[24]</sup>. Nearly 250 insect and mite pests attack the tree in different stages <sup>[17]</sup>.

Among the mango pests, mango hoppers are the harmful and economically pest in which hoppers causes a loss of 20-100% of inflorescence <sup>[27]</sup> and these are the two important species of mango leaf hoppers *viz.*, *Amritodus atkinsoni* and *Idioscopus nagpurensis*, the former is most predominant in the central eastern part of Chhattisgarh.

Due to infestation of Mango leaf hoppers, *Amritodus atkinsoni* and *Idioscopus nagpurensis* are the major yield limiting factors which reduce the productivity and quality of mango fruits <sup>[1]</sup>.

The damage is mainly caused by both nymphs and adults of the hoppers suck the phloem sap from young leaves, inflorescences and shoots which cause non-setting of flowers and dropping of immature fruits, thereby reducing the yield <sup>[7]</sup>. Moreover, hoppers also secret honey dews during feeding, by which shooty mold develops. This interferes with photosynthesis, adversely affected plant growth and yield. Affected inflorescences turn brown, become dehydrated, and fruit set does not occur. In mango, the hopper activity coincides with maximum appearance of inflorescence, tender leaves and shoots <sup>[29]</sup>. Usually these hoppers found colonized for the period of both vegetative (on newly emerging leaves) and reproductive (on inflorescence) phase of the mango tree. Highest population of mango hoppers was observed at the time of flowering period (full bloom stage) of the tree and this pest is active throughout the year in cracks and crevices of the tree trunk <sup>[2]</sup>.

Many workers have been studied the seasonal incidence and fluctuation of weather parameters on development of the hoppers time to time <sup>[15]</sup>; <sup>[20]</sup>; <sup>[13]</sup>; <sup>[3]</sup> Similarly, <sup>[10]</sup>; <sup>[22]</sup> also observed on the effect of some meteorological factors on seasonal abundance of mango hoppers.

Therefore in order to develop suitable management techniques, it is essential to have thorough understanding of the population dynamics and damage potential of the mango leafhopper hence the present experiment was carried out to study the influence of abiotic factors on the incidence of mango hoppers under field conditions.

# **Materials and Methods**

Influence of abiotic factors on the incidence of mango hopper in Chhattisgarh, field trials were conducted during October-May 2015-16 at the Horticultural orchard, Barrister Thakur Chhedilal College of Agriculture and Research Station, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh (22.1049° N, 82.1406° E) in Randomized Block Design. Bilaspur comes under the tropical region of India is situated in central part of Chhattisgarh plains. Bilaspur is situated in central-eastern part of Chhattisgarh and situated within latitude 21047 ' to 2308 ' and longitude 81014 ' to 83015 ' with an altitude of 263 meters above the mean sea level. This place falls under dry sub humid region of the country. The trees were kept free from any insecticide spray.

In this experiment five trees of mango (var. Dashehari) having equal age (about 15-20 years old trees) were randomly selected and tagged in the middle of the orchard. From each trees, one branch from each direction (North, South, East, and West) was considered for recording observations on hoppers. Again within each branch, five twig/panicle were selected and tagged for making the hoppers count. For counting the hoppers, both nymph and adult stages, the selected twigs/panicle was inserted into the polythene bag (30-60 cm. size) during the morning hours between 6-9 am and their population was recorded at weekly intervals. The sample size of each panicle/inflorescence was of about 10 to 12 cm. The data thus, obtained were correlated with various abiotic factors and simple correlation coefficient (r) was worked out by following <sup>[6]</sup> method.

The weather parameters *viz.*, maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity and rainfall of the experiment site were collected from meteorological station, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, India. This data was used for the correlation and regression analysis.

# Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis correlation between the pest population and weather parameters *viz.*, maximum and minimum temperature, morning and evening relative humidity and rainfall, were assessed using Carl Pearson's correlation analysis.

# **Results and Discussion**

The seasonal incidence of mango leaf hopper was recorded on mango (cv. Dashehari) starting from October 26, 2015 to May 23, 2016 at weekly interval (Table No 1). The leaf hopper complex of mango at Bilaspur comprises two species *viz., Amritodus* sp. and *Idioscopus nagpurensis,* identified by National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, Bangalore, Karnataka.

The first appearance of the mango leaf hoppers (1.70/twig/panicle) was observed on mango during last week of October, subsequently, there was an increase in its infestation with slight up and downs in some observations. The highest hoppers population (12.38/twig/panicle) was recorded during third week of February (Flowering stage), the weather conditions prevailed during this period were maximum (28.12 °C), minimum (16.88 °C) and average (22.5 °C) temperatures, morning (56.28%), evening (39.71%) and average (47.99%) relative humidity, and rainfall (0.0 mm). Declining trend of hopper population was recorded from March onwards, at fruiting stage. The lowest hopper population (0.13/twig/panicle) was recorded during first week of April. The hoppers incidence was again gradually

increased to reach (1.25/twig/panicle) in first week of May. The overall average hopper population was ranged from 0.13 to 12.38 hoppers per twig/panicle during the observational period *i.e.* from October 26, 2015 to May 23, 2016.

Present finding are in agreement with <sup>[28]</sup>; <sup>[9]</sup>; <sup>[8]</sup>; <sup>[18]</sup>; <sup>[14]</sup>; <sup>[11]</sup>; <sup>[19]</sup>; <sup>[5]</sup> who were also observed the incidence of mango hoppers throughout the year. The peak incidence and activity of the hoppers coincided with vegetative and reproductive phases of the crop. However, they remained stable in cracks and crevices of the tree trunk during the absence of flowering panicles <sup>[25]</sup> and <sup>[16]</sup> reported *A. atkinsoni* remained active throughout the year in the cracks and crevices of the mango trunk. Hoppers populations on twigs were found only during the period when young leaves and inflorescence were available <sup>[23]</sup> and <sup>[12]</sup>, are of the same opinion who also observed the hopper incidence during vegetative, flowering and fruiting stage of the crop, but the flowering was most congenial.





Fig: Hopper infestation along with its damage symptoms in mango tree (var. Dashaheri) at Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

The fluctuation of hopper population was correlated with important weather parameters like temperature, humidity and rainfall which play an important role in the fluctuation of insect population. The correlation coefficient studies revealed that hopper population and weather parameters was found negative and non-significant correlation with maximum (r = -0.243), minimum (r = -0.158), average temperature (r = -0.170) and rainfall (r = -0.195) whereas, positive and non-significant correlation (0.116), evening (r = 0.054) and average relative humidity (r = 0.043), respectively (Table No 2). The maximum hoppers population (12.38/twig) was recorded during second week of February.

On the contrary,  $^{[21]}$  observed that temperature was positively correlated (r=0.302) with the incidence of mango hopper and rainfall (r=-0.062) and relative humidity (-0.383) was negatively correlated with the incidence of mango hopper. According to  $^{[4]}$ ,  $^{[26]}$  who reported mango hoppers population, negatively and significantly correlated with morning relative humidity (r=-0.445) and evening relative humidity (r=-0.118), respectively, whereas temperature had significant and positive impact on hopper population. No significant effect of rainfall and rainy days was observed on hopper population. Therefore, it can be concluded from the present findings that the population of *Amritodus atkinsoni* is strongly affected by abiotic factors.

 Table 1: Average climatic parameters and incidence of mango hoppers population during the experimental period

	Standard Meteorological Week (SMW)		Temperature (	Relat	ive Humidit		Mean hopper		
S. N.		Maximum	Minimum Temperature (°C)	Average Temperature (°C)	Morning (%)	Evening (%)	Average (%)	Rainfall (mm)	population per twig/ inflorescence
1	44	31.62	19.28	25.45	78.57	69.85	74.21	0	1.7
2	45	32.07	19.77	25.92	71.25	56.82	64.03	0	2.54
3	46	32	17.82	24.91	77.57	65.42	71.49	0	2.03
4	47	31.62	16.31	24	75.42	56.28	65.85	0	1.65
5	48	31.52	16.47	24	73.42	59.85	66.5	0	1.81
6	49	31.75	17.7	24.72	73.57	63.42	68.49	0	1.53
7	50	30.85	14.74	22.79	64.85	58.14	61.49	0	1.24
8	51	28.62	13.94	23	61.71	56.71	59.21	0	0.85
9	52	25.08	13.18	19.13	74.14	58.42	66.28	0.14	0.71
10	53	30.42	12.17	12.29	58	48	53	0	1.45
11	1	30.31	12.18	21.24	66.71	46.57	56.64	0	1.6
12	2	28.78	12.88	20.83	67.42	53	60.21	0.01	1.8
13	3	26.18	11.77	18.67	72.57	46.57	59.57	2.55	2.29
14	4	30.7	12.6	21.65	61.57	40.42	32.8	0	5.8
15	5	31.08	14.97	23.02	54.28	35.57	44.92	0	6
16	6	28.12	16.88	22.5	56.28	39.71	47.99	0	10.4
17	7	35.12	19.9	27.51	54.14	36.42	45.28	0	12.38
18	8	33.71	20.48	27.09	55.57	44	49.78	0.2	12.3
19	9	35.64	20.13	27.88	50	37.85	43.92	0	6.14
20	10	34.92	20.92	27.92	61.57	37	49.28	0.75	2
21	11	33.97	20.7	27.33	52.14	32	42.07	0.38	0.74
22	12	39.04	20.44	29.74	34.57	22	28.28	0	0.53
23	13	39.58	23.31	31.44	44.14	27.28	35.71	0.21	0.32
24	14	40.65	24.3	32.47	41.42	28	34.71	0.57	0.13
25	15	42.55	25.27	33.91	24.28	18.28	21.28	0	0.63
26	16	43.8	26.28	35.04	29.85	15	22.42	2.24	0.76
27	17	42.55	26.65	34.6	31.85	19.71	25.78	0.11	0.95
28	18	40.74	25.28	33.01	38.42	22.71	30.56	0.67	1.25
29	19	42.45	26.7	34.57	39.57	24.85	32.21	0.11	0.95
30	20	43.65	26.91	35.04	31.14	18.28	24.71	0.9	0.75
31	21	42.8	25.02	34.06	27.24	24.65	32.02	1.23	0.46

Table 2: Simple correlation co-efficient (r<sup>#</sup>) between mango leaf hopper population and meteorological parameters during 2015 -16

pest	Ten	nperature (° (	C)	Relative humidity (%)			Rainfall (mm)
	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Morning	Evening	Average	
Leaf hopper population	-0.243	-0.158	-0.170	0.116	0.054	0.043	-0.195
*Non-Significant at 5% level							

Conclusion

The studied on the seasonal incidence of mango leaf hoppers indicated that the pest was appeared during last week of October with 1.70 hoppers per twig/panicle. The pest population continued to build up and attained peak (12.38/twig/panicle) during third week of February at flowering stage. Declining trend of hopper population was recorded from last week of February, when tree enters in The lowest hopper fruiting stage. population (0.13/twig/panicle) was recorded during first week of April. The correlation coefficient studies revealed that hopper population and weather parameters were found negative and non-significant correlation with temperature and Rainfall whereas, positive and non-significant correlation was calculated with relative humidity.

#### References

1. Adnan SM, Uddin MM, Alam MJ, Islam MS, Kashem MA, Rafii MY *et al.* Management of mango hopper, *I.* 

*clypealis*, using chemical insecticides and Neem oil. The Scientific World Journal. 2014; 1-5.

- Babu LB, Maheshwari TM, Rao NV. Seasonal incidence and biology of the mango hoppers. Entomon. 2002; 27:35-42.
- Chaudhari AU, Sridharan S, Soorianathasundaram K, Singh SD. Dynamics of Mango Hopper Population under Ultra High Density Planting. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(11):206-2211.
- Debnath MK, Seni A, Sharma HL. Population Dynamics of Mango Hopper, Amritodus atkinsoni on Mango Plant, *Mangifera indica*. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2013; 41:308-313.
- Girish BR, sharanabasappa, kalleswara swamy CM Adivappar N. Population dynamics and dominance of leafhopper species on mango. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems. 2019; 25(1):20-25.

Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for

6.

Agricultural Research. A Wiley Inter science publication, John Wiley and sons, New York, U.S.A. 1984, 680.

- 7. Gundappa TA, Shukla PK. Seasonal dynamics of mango hoppers and their management under subtropics. GERF Bull. Bioscience. 2016; 7(1):6-9.
- 8. Hiremath SC, Hiremath IG. Studies on seasonal incidence and nature of damage of mango hoppers. Bulletin of Entomology. 1994; 35(1-2):78-83.
- Jhala RC, Shah AH, Patel ZP, Patel RL. Studies on population dynamics of mango hopper and scope of offseasonal spraying in integrated pest management programme. Acta Horticulure. 1989; 231:597-601.
- Joshi, PC, Kumar, S. Effect of some meteorological factors on seasonal abundance of *Idioscopus nitidulus* Walker (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in mango orchards of Haridwar (India). New York Science Journal. 2012; 5(12):101-103.
- 11. Kaushik DK. Relative preference of different mango varieties by major insect pests with special reference to mango hopper and its management through new insecticide molecules. Ph.D. thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, 2009; 94-105.
- 12. Kittumurth MS, Murthy MS. Control of leaf hopper menace in mango. The Hindu. 2008; 20-25.
- 13. Kumar A. Population dynamics of Mango hopper Amritodus atkinsoni Leth. and its relationship with temperature. International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience. 2015; 3(3):129-135.
- 14. Kumar S, Patel BN. Assessment of crop loss due to mango hopper. National Conference Pest Management Strategies for Food Security, Raipur. 2008, 15-16.
- 15. Kumar S, Bhatt RI, Patel BN. Ecological studies relevant to the management of mango hopper, *Amritodus atkinsoni* Leth. Journal of Applied Zoological Research. 2005; 16(1):67-69.
- 16. Patel JR, Shekh AM, Ratanpara HC. Seasonal incidence and effect of minimum temperature and vapour pressure on the population of mango hopper *Arnritodus atkinsoni* Leth. in middle Gujarat. Gujarat Agriculture University Research Journal. 1994; 20(1):5-8.
- 17. Pena JE, Mohyuddin AI. Insect pests (Ed.) Richard, E. Litz. The mango Botany, production and Uses. CAB International Willing Ford Oxon UK., 1997, 327-340.
- Rahman AS, Singh G. Population dynamics of mango hopper, *Amritodus atkinsoni* on Langra mango (*Mangifera indica*) and its relationship with abiotic factors, Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2004; 74(10):566-569.
- Rathod ST. Survey, population dynamics and management of mango hopper, *Amritodus Atkinsoni* Leth. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Anand Agricultural University, Gujarat, 2011; 211-213.
- 20. Sahu SK, Jha S. Changing scenario of pests in the nursery bed and orchard of mango in West Bengal. National Conference on 240 Pest Management Strategies for Food Security, Raipur, 2008, 22.
- 21. Sarode BR, Mohite PB. Seasonal Incidence and Biorational Management of Mango hopper, *Amritodus atkinsoni* Leth. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2016; 9:29-31.
- 22. Sharma S, Tara JS. Seasonal abundance and effect of abiotic factors on mango leaf hopper Amritodus atkinsoni (Leth.) mango in Jammu region. Environment Conservation Journal. 2013; 14(3):161-168.

- 23. Talpur MA, Khuhro RD and Nizamani IA. Phenological relationship between mango hoppers *Idioscopus* spp. and mango inflorescence in Pakistan. Journal of Applied Science. 2002; 2(5):533-536.
- 24. Tandon PL, Verghese A. World list of insect, mite and other pests of mango. Technical Document, No. 5, IIHR, Bangalore, 1985, 6(3):122-131.
- 25. Varshney A. Species composition and relative abundance of *Idioscopus clypealis* Leth. and *Amritodus atkinsoni* Leth. in Western Uttar Pradesh. Nature & Environment. 2013; 18(1&2):9-15.
- 26. Varshneya A, Rana KS. Effect of some abiotic factors on population buildup of *Idioscopus clypealis* Leth. in western Uttar Pradesh. Journal of Environmental Biology. 2008; 29(5):811-812.
- 27. Verghese A. Effect of imidacloprid, lambda-cyhalothrin and azadirachtin on the mango hopper, *Idioscopus niveosparsus* Leth. (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Acta Horticulture. 2000; 509(2):733-736.
- 28. Wen HC, Lee HS. Bionomics and control of mango brown leaf hoppers *Idiocerus niveosparsus* Leth. Journal of Agricultural Research. 1978; 27(1):47-52.
- 29. Zagade MV, Chaudhari JN. Impact of meteorological parameters population dynamics of mango hopper in high rainfall zone of Konkan region. Journal of Agrometeorology. 2010; 12(1):111-113.