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**Abstract**

The present study was undertaken to study the prevalence, distribution of *E. coli* in 100 chicken retail outlets in Bengaluru and to phylogenetically group these isolates. A total of 400 samples (100 each of chicken meat, cloacal swabs, water sample and cutting board swabs) were tested based on isolation and the overall prevalence of *E. coli* in the present study was 57.25 percent (229/400). The distribution found was 62.00 percent in Chicken meat, 88.00 percent in cloacal swab, 29.00 percent in water samples and 50.00 percent in cutting board swabs. A highly significant (P≤0.01) difference in the prevalence was observed among the different samples obtained from the retail outlets. Phylogenetic analysis of 229 *E. coli* isolates from the retail outlets revealed that 32 belonged to group A (13.97%), 31 belonged to group B1 (13.53%), 136 belonged to group B2 (59.39%), and 30 belonged to group D (13.10%). The results clearly indicated that *E. coli* isolated in the present study were virulent and extra-intestinal strains, whereas only 13.97 percent could be categorized as commensal.
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**Introduction**

Poultry meat is relatively cheap compared to other meat types, it is easily accesssible, has dietary characteristics demanded by consumers (low fat content) and lacks religious obstacles to consumption, all of which contribute to its global acceptance [1]. In the recent times poultry industry is growing rapidly in India due to high rate of urbanization with large scale operations making it hygienic and economic. However in semi-urban and rural areas of India, even today the poultry supply chain is operated at small scale retail outlets or wet markets with increased risk of microbial hazards. A typical retail chicken meat outlet operations comprises of maintenance of live birds, slaughter, dressing and cutting all in a single room by the same person(s) without any separation between these sections. However, unorganized and unauthorized slaughtering, dressing and retailing leads to supply of unhygienic and poor quality fresh meat and chicken which definitely hold public health risks [2]. Microbial food safety and food-borne infections are important public health concern worldwide [3, 4]. *E. coli* has been suggested as an indicator microorganism to monitor quality of water and foods because it reliably reflects faecal contamination and indicates a possible contamination of enteric pathogens [5]. However, *E. coli* are a commensal organism in the intestinal tract of the birds and hence isolation does not warrant the organism to be pathogenic. In order to establish the pathogenicity of the isolates phylogenetic analysis has shown that *E. coli* strains fall into four main groups (A, B1, B2, and D). It has been found that pathogenic *E. coli* strains causing extraintestinal infections mainly belong to group B2 and a lesser extent to group D whereas commensal strains belong to group A and B1 [6]. Hence the present study was designed to isolate *E. coli* from various samples of retail chicken shops and to characterize the pathogenicity of the isolates based on phylogenetic analysis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study area and period**

Samples were drawn from 100 retail chicken outlets located using proportionate random sampling method from 8 zones of Bengaluru (Karnataka state) during December 2017 to April 2018. Sampling was done using proportionate random sampling based on number of licensed...
chicken shops distributed in each zones according to data provided by BBMP (Bruhath Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike).

**Isolation and Identification of E. coli**

A total of 400 samples were collected from 100 selected chicken retail outlets and analyzed in the laboratory. From each retail outlet four (4) different samples viz., Meat sample, Water used for processing, Swab from Knife/ cutting board used and Cloacaal swab from live bird before slaughter in case of outlets which slaughtered live birds. Isolation of E. coli was done as per the method described in BAM [7], After pre-enrichment in BHI Broth, loop-full each of enriched cultures were transferred by streaking separately onto Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) Agar media (Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai). The plates were then incubated at 37±1 °C for 24 ± 3 hours. Typical characteristics colony of E. coli on EMB agar as greenish metallic sheen was isolated. Identification of E. coli was done by biochemical test viz., IMViC, catalase, oxidase, nitrate reduction, sugar fermentation and motility tests [8].

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

A rapid technique for determining the phylogenetic groups of E. coli strains based on PCR detection of the chuA and yjaA genes and DNA fragment TSPE4. C2 as described by Clermont et al. [9]. As a first step, PCR was performed with a standard protocol. Each reaction was carried out by using a 25-μl mixture containing 12.5 μl of 2× Master mix, 20 pmol of each primer, 2 μL of DNA (approximately 100 ng) and Nuclease free water was added to make a final volume of 25μl. The PCR was performed with a thermal cycler with under the following conditions: denaturation for 5 min at 94 °C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 5 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C; and a final extension step of 7 min at 72 °C. Primers used in the present study are presented in Table 1.

**Results and Discussion**

The overall prevalence of E. coli in the present study was 57.25 percent (229/400). The distribution found was 62.00 percent in Chicken meat, 88.00 percent in cloacal swab, 29.00 percent in water samples and 50.00 percent in cutting board samples (88%) followed by meat (62%), cutting board (60%) and water (29%). The results of the present study were in accordance with Sharma and Singh [10] and Bhoomika et al. [11] who reported an E. coli prevalence of 61.76 and 66.32 percent in retail poultry meat in Himachal Pradesh and tribal districts of Chhattisgarh, India, respectively. Similar prevalence of E. coli in poultry meat have been documented by Chavhan et al. [12] in Nagpur (71.1%), Noori and Alwan [13] in Baghdad (52.5%) and Cohen et al. [14] in Morocco (48.4%). In the present study it was evident that the prevalence of E. coli in the cloacal sample set was higher followed by meat, cutting board and the lowest value was observed in water samples from the retail outlets. E. coli being a natural inhabitant of the intestinal tract of birds as well as the environment, the high presence of E. coli clearly indicated lack of cleanliness and faecal contamination of meat during processing and handling [15]. The higher prevalence in the cutting board sample set in the present study could be attributed to the fact that majority of the retail outlets in Bengaluru utilize wooden boards for cutting of chicken meat and these wooden blocks absorb moisture from meat and serve as a good media for the growth of microflora. In addition, cleaning of these wooden logs was generally accomplished by scraping the cutting surface, which could remove only the visible meat pieces and the invisible microbes might be still present resulting in cross-contamination of meat.

The results of phylogenetic analysis of E. coli isolated from different samples obtained from retail outlets in Bengaluru are depicted in Table 3. All the 229 E. coli isolates in the present study were analyzed and their phylogenetic groups were as follows: 32 belonged to group A (13.97%), 31 belonged to group B1 (13.53%), 136 belonged to group B2 (59.39%), and 30 belonged to group D (13.10%). Determination of E. coli phylogenetic type is being of great epidemiological importance because there is a relation between the genetic background and the type of the extended spectrum β-lactamase [16]. Phylogenetic analyses have shown that E. coli strains fall into four main phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, and D) and that virulent extra-intestinal strains belong mainly to group B2 and, to a lesser extent, to group D whereas most commensal strains belong to group A [9]. The results clearly indicated that the majority E. coli isolated were virulent and extra-intestinal strains, whereas only 13.97 percent could be categorized as commensal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tested</th>
<th>No. of samples positive</th>
<th>Prevalence of E. coli (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Meat</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloacal swab</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting board</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Phylogenetic grouping of E. coli isolated from different samples from Chicken retail outlets in Bengaluru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylogenetic Group</th>
<th>Meat</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Board</th>
<th>Cloaca</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>59.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion
The higher prevalence of E. coli in chicken meat, water, cutting board and cloacal swab of birds clearly indicated low level of hygiene employed in these outlets, resulting in serious public health risk to the consumers. In addition, majority E. coli isolated in the present study were virulent and extra-intestinal strains and hence could cause serious public health risk to the consumers.
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