



E-ISSN: 2320-7078
P-ISSN: 2349-6800
JEZS 2015; 3(3): 344-347
© 2015 JEZS
Received: 19-04-2015
Accepted: 22-05-2015

R. Muthusamy

Molecular Entomology
Laboratory, Department of
Biotechnology School of
Biosciences, Periyar University,
Salem-636 011, Tamil Nadu,
India..

G. Ramkumar

Molecular Entomology
Laboratory, Department of
Biotechnology School of
Biosciences, Periyar University,
Salem-636 011, Tamil Nadu,
India.

S.P. Subala

Molecular Entomology
Laboratory, Department of
Biotechnology School of
Biosciences, Periyar University,
Salem-636 011, Tamil Nadu,
India.

M.S. Shivakumar

Molecular Entomology
Laboratory, Department of
Biotechnology School of
Biosciences, Periyar University,
Salem-636 011, Tamil Nadu,
India.

Correspondence:

M.S. Shivakumar
Molecular Entomology
Laboratory, Department of
Biotechnology School of
Biosciences, Periyar University,
Salem-636 011, Tamil Nadu,
India.

Cross-resistance pattern in cypermethrin resistant *Amsacta albistriga* (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) to various classes of insecticides

R. Muthusamy, G. Ramkumar, S.P. Subala, M.S. Shivakumar

Abstract

Cross resistance to insecticides has become a major problem in insecticide resistant insects. This has threatened the safe implementation of integrated pest management programme. To prevent development of cross resistance, a choice of suitable alternative insecticide should be made in the resistance population. In the present study, we have investigated the cross resistance pattern of several insecticides in cypermethrin resistant *Amsacta albistriga* (CypRes). The CypRes strain show high cross-resistance to permethrin, deltamethrin (58.1 and 70.2-fold) followed by dichlorvos, monocrotophos and profenofos (50.0, 47.01 and 27.3-fold), but moderate cross resistance to imidacloprid (3.8) and very low cross resistance to emamectin and NeemAzal insecticides (1.1 and 2.4-fold) respectively. This study provides important information for understanding cross-resistance pattern and facilitating a better strategy for the management of pyrethroid resistance in *A. albistriga*.

Keywords: *Amsacta albistriga*, Resistance selection, Pyrethroid resistance, Cross-resistance.

1. Introduction

The red hairy caterpillar, *Amsacta albistriga* is a lepidopteran insect pest of oilseed crops [1]. Apart from oilseed crops, *A. albistriga* can also cause damages in black gram and green gram [2]. It is estimated that an average of 2.5 to 3.0 million tonnes of pulses is lost annually owing to pest problems [3]. Chemical insecticides have long been used as an important tool for pest control that can have favored the development of insecticide resistance [4, 5]. Recently our research found that *A. albistriga* has the ability to develop resistance to cypermethrin under laboratory condition [1].

Emphasis is given to managing insecticide resistance using resistant management strategies that includes the rotation of insecticides with combination of different mode of action [6]. Rotating insecticides with different modes of action is one of the commonly recommended approaches to delay insecticide resistance. Nevertheless, successful implementation of this technique depends on a good understanding of resistance and cross-resistance patterns in population of target pests [7]. The cross-resistance pattern exhibited by a species is a useful tool in detecting the mechanism involved in resistance of a pest to a specific pesticide [8].

Many studies have been reported that insects have evolved cross resistance to conventional and newer insecticides [9-11]. Ahmad *et al.* [12] found that *Helicoverpa armigera* from field population has developed cross resistance to organophosphates and pyrethroid insecticides. Mu *et al.* [13] reported different levels of cross resistance to pyrethroids, newer insecticide and organophosphates in resistant strains of *Spodoptera exigua*. Cahill *et al.* [14] suggest that in *Bemisia tabaci* resistance to monocrotophos was not correlated with pyrethroid resistance, but, was with profenofos, showing different patterns within the same chemical group. Therefore, investigation of cross resistance in a resistant population is a useful strategy for the sustainable development of resistant management in agricultural pest. In this study we have investigated the cypermethrin resistance and cross resistance pattern to other group of insecticides in *A. albistriga* populations under laboratory conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Insects

A laboratory susceptible (Sus) and cypermethrin resistant strain (CypRes) of *A. albistriga* was maintained in our laboratory for twenty generations during the period of July 2012- January 2015. Both strains were originally collected from groundnut fields in Dharmapuri, TamilNadu, India respectively. Cultures were maintained under controlled conditions of 25 ± 1 °C, 60 ± 5% relative humidity and 16 L: 8 day photoperiod. Adults were fed a 10% sugar solution on cotton swab and allowed to lay eggs on castor leaf (*Ricinus communis* L.).

2.2. Insecticides used for bioassay

For bioassays insecticides such as cypermethrin (10% EC, SIDCO, India), Emamectin benzoate (Dispersible granule WG, Syngenta, Turkey), imidacloprid (17.8% SL, Bayer Gujarat), NeemAzal (1% EC, Parry India Ltd), profenofos (50% EC, Hyderabad, India), dichlorvos (76% EC, Nuvan, Syngenta, Mumbai), Deltamethrin (11% EC, Bayer, Gujarat), Monocrotophos (36% SL, Hycrophos, Hyderabad) were purchased from commercial suppliers.

2.3. Resistance selection with cypermethrin (CypRes)

The field collected population was divided into two groups, one of which was artificially selected with cypermethrin for twenty consecutive generations under controlled conditions. The concentrations used for selection included i.e., 10, 20, 40, 50, 60, 80, 110, 140, 170 and 200ppm for the 1st to 20th generation (G₁-G₂₀). The second portion of the *A. albistriga* was reared on castor leaf diet without any insecticide exposure. Approximately 750-1500 larvae were exposed to cypermethrin at each generation during selection. Cypermethrin was thoroughly mixed in distilled water and castor leaves were dipped in to this solution for 10-15 seconds and then larvae were exposed to cypermethrin dipped air dried leafs (IRAC, 2010). After 24h of exposure, the living larvae were separated and then fed on normal castor leaf. The concentrations were increased to maintain the 50% mortality in each generation of selection.

2.4. Cross resistance to other insecticides

The cross-resistance of the cypermethrin susceptible and resistant Topical bioassay was used to determine the cross resistance to insecticides. For this study insecticide namely emamectin, imidacloprid, azadirachtin, λ -cyhalothrin, profenofos, dichlorvos, deltamethrin and monocrotophos were used. Early 3rd instar cypermethrin resistant and susceptible *A. albistriga* larvae were used to test the cross resistance pattern against this pest. Insecticides were dissolved in deionized water to get the required dosage. 2 μ l of insecticide was applied on dorsal thoracic region of larvae using fine micropipette 0.2-2 μ l and susceptible larvae were treated with acetone alone. Six different concentrations per insecticide and three replicates per concentration and approximately thirty larvae per replicates were used. The mortality was recorded after 24h post treatment.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The concentration-mortality data was analyzed by probit analysis (Finney, 1971), to determined the LC₅₀ values, their standard errors, slopes and 95% fiducial limits (FL). Mortality was corrected by Abbott [15] formula. Resistance ratio (RR) and cross resistance ratio were calculated by dividing the LC₅₀ value of CypRes strain divided by the LC₅₀ of the Sus strain.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Selection of *A. albistriga* to cypermethrin

Field collected *A. albistriga* population was selected with cypermethrin for up to twenty generations under control conditions which showed increased LC₅₀ values of cypermethrin from G₁ (17.89ppm) to G₂₀ (120.32ppm), showing a 21.5 fold increase in resistance ratio (Table 1). Avella *et al.* [16] found that a ten-fold increase in resistance developed from a seven-time selection with deltamethrin in *P. persimilis* in the laboratory. Markwick [17] reported that a ten-fold increase in resistance developed in *P. persimilis* with cypermethrin after a twelve-month selection. Abbas *et al.* [18]

also reported that after 11 successive generations of profenofos selection in *S. litura* revealed 35.6 fold resistances.

3.2. Cross-resistance pattern

In the present study the resistance of cypermethrin resistant (CypRes) *A. albistriga* to other groups of insecticides including pyrethroids, organophosphates (OPs), neonicotinoid, avermectin and azadirachtin was determined. The results revealed that CypRes strain showed high cross resistance to permethrin, deltamethrin (58.1, 70.2-fold) and also showed high resistance to OPs followed by dichlorvos, monocrotophos and profenofos (50.0, 47.01 and 27.3-fold) compared to the susceptible strain. Suggest that selection of *A. albistriga* to cypermethrin under laboratory, its resistance to both pyrethroid and OPs was obviously enhanced. It seems that multiple resistance mechanism in this pest may caused led to pyrethroid cross-resistance. Moreover AChE and esterase was mainly involved in the organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in *A. albistriga* populations [19].

Huang and Han [20] reported that selection of *S. litura* to deltamethrin for six generations its resistance to both pyrethroid and AChE targeted insecticide was enhanced. Obviously in India, some field populations of *S. litura* were found with multiple resistance to pyrethroid, organophosphate and other insecticides [21, 22]. The development of cross resistance in a pyrethroid resistant insect may correlate with the enhanced activity of esterase and mixed function oxidase [23, 20]. Next CypRes *A. albistriga* showed moderate resistance to imidacloprid insecticide (3.8-fold). Springate and Colvin [24] reported that a selection of pyrethroid resistance in cabbage whitefly, *Aleyrodes proletella* doesn't show any significant cross-resistance to neonicotinoid insecticide, followed by imidacloprid, emamectin and NeemAzal showed very low cross resistance (1.1 and 1.4-fold) respectively. Tong *et al.* [25] suggested that emamectin benzoate was found to be an effective tool for management of *S. litura*. Mandal *et al.* [26] also reported that the combination of neem oil with other insecticides could reduce the number of jassids and their damage in Okra. Hence, from the study we conclude that the combination of insecticides with distinct mode of actions such as emamectin and NeemAzal could become a prominent source for management of cypermethrin resistant *A. albistriga*.

3.3. Conflict of interest

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Table 1: Resistance ratio and LC₅₀ levels determined after selection with cypermethrin from the *Amsacta albistriga* population larvae at every fifth generations.

Generations	LC ₅₀ (ppm)	FL 95%	Slope (\pm SE)	χ^2	RR*
Susceptible	5.56	4.53-6.24	1.34 (0.08)	1.43	-
G ₁	17.89	16.54-0.21	1.13 (0.33)	0.71	3.2
G ₅	26.30	25.27-8.08	2.21 (0.22)	0.60	4.7
G ₁₀	37.83	34.71-0.32	0.78(1.47)	0.31	6.8
G ₁₅	82.16	78.25-0.21	1.67(0.76)	0.57	14.7
G ₂₀	120.32	118.23-122.7	1.82(0.04)	1.31	21.5

*RR = resistance ratio, determined by dividing the LC₅₀ of resistant strain by LC₅₀ of susceptible strain. LC₅₀ lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, FL fiducial limits, χ^2 chi-square.

Table 2: Toxicity of some conventional and newer insecticides to the susceptible (Sus) and cypermethrin selected (CypRes) *A. albistriga*.

Insecticides	Strains	LC ₅₀ (ppm)	FL 95%	Slope (±SE)	χ ²	RR*
Cypermethrin	Cyp-Res	120.32	118.23-122.7	1.82(0.04)	1.31	21.5
	Sus	5.56	4.53-6.24	1.34 (0.08)	1.43	-
Imidacloprid	Cyp-Res	5.002	2.993 - 8.618	1.95 (0.38)	1.21	3.8
	Sus	1.291	0.435- 5.245	0.21 (0.20)	0.13	
Permethrin	Cyp-Res	57.02	53.129- 59.417	2.32 (0.87)	1.36	58.1
	Sus	0.982	0.735-2.543	0.21 (0.11)	2.54	
Deltamethrin	Cyp-Res	87.314	85.898- 9.759	2.29 (1.09)	0.31	70.2
	Sus	1.243	0.856-3.965	1.65 (0.76)	2.17	
Emamectin benzoate	Cyp-Res	0.763	0.440 -3.619	1.43 (0.19)	1.72	1.1
	Sus	0.644	0.142-1.132	0.23 (0.32)	0.20	
NeemAzal	Cyp-Res	4.056	0.238- 7.598	0.64 (0.21)	3.52	1.4
	Sus	2.842	1.823- 3.434	1.12 (0.34)	1.86	
Profenofos	Cyp-Res	43.818	37.40 -51.23	0.88 (0.28)	3.47	27.3
	Sus	1.632	0.843-2.948	0.32 (0.12)	0.56	
Dichlorvos	Cyp-Res	96.463	49.984- 20.40	2.07 (0.42)	1.73	50.0
	Sus	1.923	1.230- 2.329	1.32 (0.64)	0.26	
Monocrotophos	Cyp-Res	61.298	49.984-92.20	1.80 (0.44)	3.17	47.0
	Sus	1.392	1.120-2.921	0.71 (0.23)	1.27	

*RR = resistance ratio, determined by dividing the LC₅₀ of resistant strain by LC₅₀ of susceptible strain. LC₅₀ lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, FL fiducial limits.

4. Acknowledgement

We thank the Department of Biotechnology, Periyar University; Salem for providing infrastructural facilities to carrying out this research work.

5. References

- Muthusamy R, Shivakumar MS. Resistance selection and molecular mechanisms of cypermethrin resistance in red hairy caterpillar (*Amsacta albistriga* Walker). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 2015; 117:54-61.
- Hamad SE, Dubey SL. Losses due to insect pests in North Bihar. Indian Journal of Entomology 1983; 1:136- 46.
- Rabindra RJ, Ballali CR, Ramanujan B. Biological options for insect pests and nematode management in pulses. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India, 2004, 487.
- Armes NJ, Jadhav DR, Bond GS, King ABS. Insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in South India. Pesticide Science 1992; 34:355-364.
- Kranthi KR, Jadhav DR, Wanjari RR, Ali SS, Russel D. Insecticide resistance in five major insect pests of cotton in India. Crop Protection 2002; 21:449-460.
- Raffa KF, Priester TM. Synergists as research tools and control agents in agriculture. Journal of Agricultural Entomology 1985; 2 (1):27-45.
- Omar HI, Hegab MFA, EI-Hamaky MA. Combined action of some insecticides and fertilizers on pests infesting sweet melon. Agricultural Research Review 1988; 66:85-90.
- Scott JG. Investigating mechanisms of insecticide resistance: methods, strategies, and pitfalls In Roush, R.T., Tabashnik, B.E., (ed.), Pesticide resistance in arthropods. London, Chapman and Hall 1990, 303, 39-57.
- Sayed AH, Saeed S, Noorulane M, Crickmore N. Genetic, biochemical, and physiological characterization of spinosad resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 2008; 101:1658-1666.
- Chen XL, Yuan LZ, Du YZ, Zhang YJ, Wang JJ. Cross-resistance and biochemical mechanisms of abamectin resistance in the western flower thrips, *Frankliniella occidentalis*. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 2011; 101:34-38.
- Tong H, Su Q, Zhou X, Bai L. Field resistance of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbamates and four newer chemistry insecticides in Hunan, China. Journal of Pesticide Science 2013; 86:599-609.
- Ahmad M, Sayyed AH, Saleem MA. Evidence for field evolved resistance to newer insecticides in *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Pakistan. Crop Protection 2008; 27:1367-1372.
- Mu W, Wu KM, Zhang WJ, Guo YY. Cross-resistance and relative fitness of lambda-cyhalothrin resistant near-isogenic lines in *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner). Scientia Agricultura Sinica 2005; 38:2007-2013.
- Cahill M, Byrne FJ, Gorman K, Denholm I, Devonshire AL. Pyrethroid and organophosphate resistance in the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 1995; 85:181-187.
- Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology 1925; 18:265-267.
- Avella M, Fournier D, Pralavorio M, Berge JB. Selections pour la résistance a la delthamethrine dune souche de *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot. Agronomie 1985; 5 (2):117-180.
- Markwick NP. Detecting variability and selecting for pesticide resistance in two species of Phytoseiid mites. Entomophaga 1986; 31(3):224-236.
- Abbas N, Samiullah Shad SA, Razaq M, Waheed A, Aslam M. Resistance of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to profenofos: Relative fitness and cross resistance. Crop Protection 2014; 58:49-54.
- Muthusamy R, Suganya R, Gowri M, Shivakumar MS. Biochemical mechanisms of organophosphate and pyrethroid resistance in red hairy caterpillar *Amsacta albistriga* (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae). Journal of Saudi Society of Agricultural Science 2013; 12:47-52.
- Huang S, Han Z. Mechanisms for multiple resistances in field populations of common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) in China. Pesticide Biochemistry Physiology 2007; 87:14- 22
- Murugesan K, Dhingra S. Variability in resistance pattern

- of various groups of insecticides evaluated against *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) during a period spanning over three decades. *Journal of Entomological Research* 1995; 19:313-319.
22. Kranthi KR, Jadhav DR, Wanjari RR, Ali SS, Russell DA. Carbamate and organophosphate resistance in cotton pests in India, 1995 to 1999. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 2001; 91:37-46.
 23. Zhao G, Rose RL, Hodgson E, Roe RM. Biochemical mechanisms and diagnostic microassays for pyrethroid, carbamate, and organophosphate insecticide resistance/cross-resistance in the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens*. *Pesticide Biochemistry Physiology* 1996; 56(3):183-195.
 24. Springate S, Colvin J. Pyrethroid insecticide resistance in British populations of the cabbage whitefly, *Aleyrodes proletella*. *Pest Management Science* 2012; 68:260-267.
 25. Tong H, Su Q, Zhou X, Bai L. Field resistance of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbamates and four newer chemistry insecticides in Hunan, China. *Journal of Pest Science* 2013; 86:599-609.
 26. Mandal SK, Sha SB, Gupta SC. Management of insect pest on okra with biopesticides and chemicals. *Annals of Plant Protection Science* 2007; 15:87-91.