



E-ISSN: 2320-7078
P-ISSN: 2349-6800
JEZS 2016; 4(2): 283-285
© 2016 JEZS
Received: 23-01-2016
Accepted: 24-02-2016

Mark Cooper

A) Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X1, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.
B) Electron Microscope Unit & Structural Biology Research Unit, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

Post-insemination associations between males and females in Diplopoda: A remark on Alcock's (1994) predictions of the mate-guarding hypothesis

Mark Cooper

Abstract

Here I review the most common form of mate-guarding (prolonged copulation) in the Diplopoda. The ten predictions for mate-guarding in Insects are compared using Diplopod examples and evidence in favour of 7 of Alcock's (1994) predictions (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8) was found. Future research on mate-guarding in the Diplopoda may test 2 untested predictions (7 and 10). The last prediction (9) cannot be tested as millipedes are non-territorial.

Keywords: diplopod; mate-guarding; mating; millipede; post-insemination

1. Introduction

Of the diversity of post-insemination associations between the sexes in the insects ^[1], prolonged copulations dominate in the diplopods ^[2-21]. In most species, males maintain genital contact far beyond the time needed only for insemination of the female. There is preliminary evidence for mating plugs where males transfer secretory materials that seal or hinder access to a female's reproductive tract ^[22]. There is no mate grasping and mate monitoring in the Diplopod as there is in the insects ^[1]. The only alternative hypothesis is the possible prevention of rival ejaculates from competing with sperm already donated to a partner. Sperm displacement may take the form of self-sperm displacement or mixing as was demonstrated in *Alloporus uncinatus* ^[13]. In the Diplopods the most competitive hypothesis is for mate guarding (to protect an already donated ejaculate). The key predictions pointed out by Alcock ^[1] are reviewed.

2. Materials and Methods

The 10 predictions from the Mate-guarding Hypothesis ^[1] were reviewed by looking for evidence from the Diplopods. Each of the original 10 predictions were dealt with and any supporting evidence for the prediction was sought for. Once any supporting evidence was found the next prediction was tested. If no evidence could be found in support of the prediction it was stated.

3. Results and Discussion

Prediction 1: Mate Guarding Occurs Only When Females Retain Their Receptivity Following Insemination

Records for multiple mating include the double mating performed in *Centrobolus* spp. where competition was determined by a 24hour re-mating interval ^[23]. Evidence for female retention of receptivity can be found in *Poratophilus dipodontus* which females mated up to five times in sequence with different males ^[22]. Indirect evidence in support of this prediction is also found in the correlation between second copulation durations and re-mating interval ^[22-23].

Prediction 2: A Males Capacity to Resist a Take-Over Influences His Readiness to Mate-Guard

The discernment of parallel copulator from coiled copulator indicated mate guarding strategies of how millipedes can resist take-overs ^[9]. The parallel copulator was less likely to resist a take-over compared to the coiled copulator. The coiling behaviour is thus a direct response to resist take-overs and indicative of a successful mate guarding.

Correspondence

Mark Cooper

A) Department of Biological Sciences, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X1, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa.
B) Electron Microscope Unit & Structural Biology Research Unit, University of Cape Town, South Africa.

Prediction 3: The Greater the Degree of Sperm Precedence, the Greater the Likelihood of Mate Guarding Second male sperm precedence correlates with copulation duration which in turn equates to higher reproductive success in *C. inscriptus* ^[23]. A similar finding was obtained for second male sperm precedence in the Spirostreptida ^[22]. Thus evidence exists for this prediction.

Prediction 4: Male-Biased Operational Sex Ratios (Osr) Are Associated With Intense Mate Guarding; Female Biased Sex Ratios Lead To Little or No Mate Guarding

A positive relationship between male-biased operational sex-ratios and copulation duration has been found in *A. uncinatus* ^[3]. Seasonal changes towards male biases in the operational sex ratio and copulation duration also support this prediction ^[3].

Prediction 5: The Higher the Density of Males, the Greater the Intensity of Mate Guarding

A correlation between male density and copulation duration, which supports this prediction, has been found in sympatric *Centrobolus* spp. ^[24]. In sympatric *C. inscriptus* / *C. annulatus*, the more common *C. inscriptus* also has a longer copulation duration ^[24].

Prediction 6: The Ease of Access by Rival Males to Mated Females Is Correlated With the Intensity of Mate Guarding

The mate-avoidance hypothesis and the pattern of mating by *C. inscriptus* provide support for this prediction because there is a difference between copulation durations on the ground compared to above ground ^[24]. The females in the trees would be more accessible than those on the ground.

Prediction 7: The More Costly the Defense of Mates In Terms Of Energy Expenditure, the Less Likely Males Are To Exhibit Mate Guarding

There is no direct test of this prediction in the Diplopods.

Prediction 8: The Greater the Risk of Injury While Mate Guarding, the Less Likely Males Are To Engage In the Behaviour

In the *C. inscriptus* mimic – *C. annulatus* models, the model is at greater risk from predation and has a shorter copulation duration which supports this prediction ^[24].

Prediction 9: The More Likely Males Are To Sacrifice Territorial Ownership While Mate Guarding, the Less Likely They Are To Exhibit High-Intensity Mate Guarding

This prediction could not be tested as millipedes are not territorial *per se*.

Prediction 10: The Longer the Interval between Copulation and Oviposition, the Less Likely Males Are To Remain In Association with Their Partners after Copulation

This prediction has not been tested in the Diplopods.

4. Conclusion

Evidence exists for all of Alcock's (1994) predictions of mate-guarding except for showing (1) mate guarding is energetically costly, and (2) as the time between copulation and oviposition protracts it becomes less likely for males to remain with their partners.

5. References

1. Alcock J. Postinsemination associations between males and females in diplopods: The Mate-Guarding

Hypothesis. Annual Review of Entomology 1994; 39:1-21.

2. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Sex in millipedes: laboratory studies on sexual selection. Journal of Biological Education. 1990; 24(4):233-238.

3. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Manipulation of the sex-ratio and duration of copulation in the tropical millipede *Alloporus uncinatus* - A test of the copulatory guarding hypothesis. Animal Behaviour, 1990; 40(5):984-986.

4. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Mating tactics in the tropical millipede *Alloporus uncinatus* (Diplopoda: Spirostreptidae). Behaviour 1993; 124(1):45-56.

5. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Males control the duration of copulation in the tropical millipede *Alloporus uncinatus* (Diplopoda: Julida). South African Journal of Zoology. 1994; 29(4):266-268.

6. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Sexual selection in savanna millipedes: Products, patterns and processes. In: Geoffroy, J. J., Mauries, J.P. & Nguyen Duy-Jacquemin, Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 1996; 169:565-576.

7. Telford SR, Dangerfield JM. Males control the duration of copulation in the tropical millipede *Alloporus uncinatus* (Diplopoda: Julida). South African Journal of Zoology. 1994; 29(4):266-268.

8. Barnett M, Telford SR, Villiers de CJ. The genital morphology of the millipede *Orthoporus pyrrhocephalus* (Diplopoda: Spirostreptidae) - a possible mechanism of sperm displacement. Proceedings of the Electron Microscopy Society of South Africa 1991; 21:15-17.

9. Cooper MI, Telford SR. Copulatory sequences and sexual struggles in millipedes. Journal of Insect Behaviour. 13(2):217-230.

10. Barnett M, Telford SR, Villiers de CJ. Sperm competition in a millipede? An investigation into the genital morphology of the southern African spirostreptid millipede *Orthoporus pyrrhocephalus*. Journal of Zoology London. 1993; 231(3):511-522.

11. Barnett M, Telford SR. Sperm competition and the evolution of millipede genitalia. In JC Geoffroy, JP Mauries, M Nguyen Duy-Jacquemin. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 1996; 169:331-339.

12. Barnett M, Telford SR, Tibbles BJ. Female mediation of sperm competition in the millipede *Alloporus uncinatus* (Diplopoda: Spirostreptidae). Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology 1995; 36(6):413-419.

13. Barnett M, Telford SR. The timing of insemination and its implications for sperm competition in a millipede with prolonged copulation. Animal Behaviour 1994; 48(2):482-484.

14. Rowe M. Copulation, mating system and sexual dimorphism in an Australian millipede, *Cladethosoma clarum*. Australian Journal of Zoology. 2010; 58(2):127-132.

15. Adolph SC, Geber MA. Mate-guarding, mating success and body size in the tropical millipede *Nyssodesmus python* (Peters) (Polydesmida, Platyrrhacidae). Southwestern Naturalist 1995; 40(1):56-61.

16. Heisler IL. *Nyssodesmus python*. In Costa Rican Natural History, Janzen DH, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1983, xi+816.

17. Cooper MI. Gonopod mechanics in *Centrobolus* Cook (Spiroboloidea: Trigonulidae). International Journal of Entomology Research. 2016a; 1(1):37-38.

18. Cooper MI. Sperm storage in *Centrobolus* spp. and observational evidence for egg simulation. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2016b; 4(1):127-129.
19. Cooper MI. Fire millipedes obey the female sooner norm in cross mating *Centrobolus* (Myriapoda). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2016c; 4(1):173-174.
20. Cooper MI. Symmetry in ejaculate volumes of *Centrobolus inscriptus* Attems (Spiroboloidea: Trigoniulidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2016d; 4(1):386-387.
21. Cooper MI. Instantaneous insemination in the millipede *Centrobolus inscriptus* (Spirobolida: Trigoniulidae) determined by artificially-terminated mating. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2016e; 4(1):487-490.
22. Barnett M. Sex in southern African Spirostreptida millipedes: mechanisms of sperm competition and cryptic female choice. Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, University of Cape Town, 1997.
23. Cooper MI. Competition affected by re-mating interval in a myriapod. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2015; 3(4):77-78.
24. Cooper MI. Sex ratios, mating frequencies and relative abundance of sympatric millipedes in the genus *Chersastus* (Diplopoda: Pachybolidae). *Arthropods* 2014; 3(4):174-176.