



E-ISSN: 2320-7078  
P-ISSN: 2349-6800  
JEZS 2016; 4(5): 432-435  
© 2016 JEZS  
Received: 02-07-2016  
Accepted: 03-08-2016

**F Jalilian**  
Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Agriculture, Urmia  
University, Iran

**Y Karimpour**  
Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Agriculture, Urmia  
University, Iran

**Sh Aramideh**  
Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Agriculture, Urmia  
University, Iran

**E Gilasian**  
Plant Protection Research  
Institute, Tehran, Iran

## Investigation on some biological characteristics of *Eupeodes corollae* (Dip.: Syrphidae) on *Aphis pomi* (Hom: Aphididae) *in vitro*

**F Jalilian, Y Karimpour, Sh Aramideh and E Gilasian**

### Abstract

Biological characteristics and larval feeding rate of hoverfly *Eupeodes corollae* Fabricus were determined on *Aphis pomi* De Geer at laboratory conditions. The experiments were carried out in growth chamber at  $25 \pm 2$  °C, RH 55-65% and a photoperiods of 16: 8 (L: D) h. The incubation periods of eggs, larval, pupal and developmental time of *E. corollae* were determined as 3.80, 12.60, 8.00 and 25.40 days, respectively. Adult longevity considerably increased (9.60 days) when fed with honey solution but in non-feeding situation, it lasted for only 3.00 days. There were significant differences ( $P < 0.1$ ) observed in daily and total feeding rate among the first, second and third instar larvae. Each larva of *E. corollae* fed on 392.20 aphids during larval period and revealed a high potential for feeding on *A. pomi*. The third instar larvae played an important role in feeding rate, such that 66.09% of total larval feeding was due to this instar. Per capita daily feeding rate of first, second and third instar larvae amounted to 6.68, 27.85 and 59.27, respectively. Because of considerable reproduction as well as feeding rate, hoverfly *E. corollae* can be employed in biological control, and in integrated management programs of different aphid species, specially *A. pomi*.

**Keywords:** *Eupeodes corollae*, *Aphis pomi*, biology, feeding rate

### Introduction

Aphids are major agricultural and horticultural pests throughout the world. While they can result in direct damage to crops through feeding on phloem tissue, they can also contribute to severe indirect damage by acting as primary vectors of many plant viruses. Aphids reproduce rapidly and have been shown to adapt quickly to host-plant phenology and ecology, as well as plant physiology and biochemistry<sup>[13]</sup>. The green apple aphid, *A. pomi* is a holocyclic and monoecious aphid species that is widespread in Palaearctic region. It is one of the most important pests in apple orchards with infestation occurring regularly each year both on globally popular and local apple cultivars. The species is especially harmful in nurseries and young orchards and it characteristically re-infests apple trees over the May-June period<sup>[24]</sup>. Insecticides have proved to be the solution to tackle the insect pest problems irrespective of the disadvantages associated with use of these chemicals<sup>[14]</sup>. The economic aspects and side effects of insecticides on environment cannot be neglected<sup>[21]</sup>. While chemical insecticides can provide adequate control of aphid populations, increased resistance among aphid populations to chemical products highlights the need for alternative control methods, including the use of natural enemies<sup>[5]</sup>. In order to increase the effectiveness of such alternative control techniques we need a better understanding of the ecology and behavior within these tri-trophic interactions.

Natural enemies attack on various pests of agro-ecosystems, these interact in complex ways<sup>[15; 10]</sup>. These biological control agents may be predators or parasitoids<sup>[7]</sup>. Interactions of Predator and prey species are one of the best-suited processes in ecology<sup>[9]</sup>. An ideal natural enemy is one that consumes sufficient number of the prey at the right time to maintain a pest population below the economic injury threshold for the crop considered<sup>[12]</sup>.

The family Syrphidae comprising 6,000 described species represents one of the largest dipteran families<sup>[22]</sup>. Syrphid flies provide important ecosystem services and are useful ecological indicators, largely due to the diversity of species with different range of behavior and habitat<sup>[4; 19]</sup>. Adult syrphids have strong abilities to forage for aphid colonies. *E. corollae* is among those few species of flies capable of crushing pollen grains for feed<sup>[25]</sup>.

**Correspondence**  
**Sh Aramideh**  
Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Agriculture, Urmia  
University, Iran

Due to aphidophagous nature, its larvae are important predators for controlling aphids [8] as they voraciously attack and consume a wide range of aphid species [11]. The developmental duration and predatory performance vary with change in environmental conditions. The goal of this study was to quantify the growth, development and larval consumption rates of syrphid larvae under laboratory conditions as an important step toward understanding the potential of dominant syrphid species to control populations of *A. pomi* in apple orchards in Kermanshah.

The present study was carried out in Iran (Kermanshah) to determine the developmental duration and voracity of *E. corollae* on *A. pomi* species during March-June 2014. The generated information will provide a preliminary step for biological control of these aphid species by conservation *E. corollae*.

## Materials and Method

### Experimental Material and Rate of Development

The female flies of *E. corollae* captured while orienting to attractive plants. Gravid females of *E. corollae* that had swollen abdomen released to Petri dishes (8 cm diameter and 1 cm height). The leaves or branches of apple tree infested by *A. pomi* aphid were kept in cages to ensure the presence of prey species. Syrphid larvae were provided aphids in sufficient (200) number to satisfy the predator species. Cannibalism occurs in wide variety of predators [3], to avoid this behavior, an individual larva were reared in a single Petri dish throughout their developmental period. The dishes were held in the growth chamber and eggs were observed for larval eclosion at 24-h intervals. Each trial was repeated 20 times. Experiment was conducted in Pest Control Lab. at the Department of Plant Protection, Research Center of Agriculture Kermanshah, Iran. Continuous observations were made to record the time duration of egg hatching as well as conversion of 1<sup>st</sup> larval stage to 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> instars, followed by pre-puparium and pupae formation, till the emergence of adults. Experiment was conducted during the months of March to June, 2014, at average temperature of 25±2 °C, 60±5% RH and of 16:8 h D: L photoperiod. Data were taken at an interval of 24 hours to monitor the conversion of each life stage into next one. Rearing cages were cleaned and new aphids were provided on daily basis.

### Rate of Predation

In the same experiment, extent of predation by larvae of *E. corollae* was determined by offering them *A. pomi*. Efficiency was determined from right after emergence of larvae from egg till pre-pupation stage. All aphids that were used during experiment were of same instars (same biomass). After 24 h, consumed aphid numbers were recorded, petri dishes were cleaned and predator was provided with fresh preys. As a control, Aphids in petri dishes were used to check the correct non-predation aphid mortality. Number of aphids offered to predator increased with increasing age of predator (instars of Syrphids). The number of prey consumed in 24 h by the predator was estimated by subtraction the number of alive from total number of prey that were offered. The remaining live preys were removed and fresh ones were offered to predator at respective densities. Prey consumption at the end of each day or (per day consumption) and predation rate at the end of each instar was also recorded.

### Statistical Analysis

Mean developmental times of immature stages used to analysis of the results. Comparisons were made upon predation rates of three larval stages and longevity of adults using two-sample t-test at =0.05. Proc Univariate in SAS [17].

## Results

### Development

*E. corollae* was recorded as one of the aphidophagous syrphids species and was found dominant in aphid colonies in the crops habitat. Table 2 shows developmental duration of each life stage and complete juvenile development period was recorded in the presence of *A. pomi*, along with body lengths of *E. corollae*.

### Incubation Period

Eggs of syrphids were sub- spherical in shape, with its length of 1.025±0.28 and 0.35±0.20 in width. Time duration ranged from 3.8±0.51 days for hatching in the presence of *A. pomi*.

### Larval Duration

Total larval duration prolonged for 12.60±0.21 days when predator fed on *A. pomi*, was recorded. Body length of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae was measured as 9.6±1.35. Third instars larvae found to be greenish grey in color. Results revealed that members of subfamily Syrphinae were specialized predator at this stage and found to be voracious feeder on aphids.

### Pupal Duration

Larvae first reduced in size and finally stopped predation for conversion into pupae. Pupae were brown in color, anterior rounded portion for adult emergence and posterior tip normally found in attached form. It was relatively smaller in size than larvae. Pupal duration was recorded as 8.00±0.28 days.

### Adult Longevity

Adult body length and forewing length were measured 8.52±0.48 and 7.32±0.31 mm, respectively. Males and females have different marking on the abdomen; males have square commas on tergites 3 and 4, whereas females have narrow commas. The survival of this species in rearing cages was considerably increased (9.60 days) when fed with honey solution (10%) but in non-feeding situation, it lasted for only 3.5 days. Adult emergence was recorded and gender determination was based on adult possessing holoptic or dichoptic eyes.

### Total Consumption

*E. corollae* larvae consumed highest mean number of 392.20 *A. pomi* till pupal stage.

### Consumption at Different Larval Instars


Table. two presents that 3<sup>rd</sup> instars larvae of *E. corollae* consumed highest number of aphids that (66.5)% of total larval feeding was due to this instar. The highest rate of consumption was observed during first and second day of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar, while it decreased just before pupation (Fig. 1).

### Average Per-day Consumption

Average per-day consumption of *E. corollae* on *A. pomi* as 69.27±7.45 specimens in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar was obtained. Average per-day consumption of three instars were significant differences ( $P<0.1$ ) (Table. 2).

**Table 1:** Mean duration of biological stages of *E. corollae* on *A. pomi* at  $27 \pm 2$  °C.

Developmental stage	Mean±SE (Day)
Egg	3.80 ± 0.51
1 <sup>st</sup> instar larva	3.40 ± 0.24
2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larva	4.60 ± 0.24
3 <sup>rd</sup> instar larva	4.90 ± 0.34
Pre-pupa	1.20 ± 0.00
Pupa	8.00 ± 0.28
Pre-imaginal	25.40 ± 0.42
Longevity of adults with feeding	9.60 ± 0.61
Longevity of adults without feeding	3.00 ± 0.28

**Fig 1:** Daily consumption trend of *E. corollae* on *A. pomi*.**Table 2:** Daily and total feeding rate of larval stages of *E. corollae* on *A. pomi* mean ±SE.

Developmental stage	Mean Daily feeding rate	Mean total rate
1 <sup>st</sup> instar larva	6.68±0.82 <sup>c</sup>	20.80 ±2.23 <sup>c</sup>
2 <sup>nd</sup> instar larva	27.85±4.39 <sup>b</sup>	110.60 ±13.40 <sup>b</sup>
3 <sup>rd</sup> instar larva	69.27±7.45 <sup>a</sup>	260.80 ±20.05 <sup>a</sup>

Values marked with different letters in each column are significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ).

## Discussion

Predatory hoverflies especially subfamily Syrphinae are good models to investigate life history [2, 16]. Dual importance of Syrphidae as pollinators and bio-control agents against pests especially the aphids provides a strong reason to protect these natural enemies and their augmentative use in the agroecosystems [20]. It was also revealed from results that the developmental period of *E. corollae* varied significantly with respect to stages. Results demonstrated that the body size of syrphid larvae increased gradually at different instar levels with the increase in the predation rate on *A. pomi*, till the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae got the size two or three times greater than the 1<sup>st</sup> instar. The predation at 3<sup>rd</sup> instar stage of development also increased to the maximum but slowed down just before pupation. Dixon [6] suggested that nutritional quality, quantity and availability of food at larval development affect the body size of adults emerged. More or less similar statement was given by Scholz and Poehling [18] and Belliure and Michaud [1]. Accordingly, body size of syrphids differed by the variation in the size of their prey (Aphid) species. Maximum larval duration of *E. corollae* was recorded from 10-12 days. These results were in coordination to the statement of Tinkeu and Hance [23] that duration of feeding period was linked to the age of the predator, the youngest predator requiring more time to overcome the resistance of the prey, which decreased gradually as the predator grew. They may be related to with the changes in the morphology

of the mouth parts of the predator larvae in the later age. The third instar larvae played an important role in feeding rate, such that 67.69% of total larval feeding was due to this instar level. Each larva of *E. corollae* fed on 396.20 aphids during larval period and revealed a high potential for feeding on *A. pomi*. Because of considerable reproduction as well as feeding rate, hoverfly *E. corollae* can be employed in biological control, and in integrated management programs of different aphid species, specially *A. pomi*.

## Acknowledgments

This study was partially funded by the Urmia University and the Agriculture Research Center of Kermanshah, Iran.

## References

- Belliure B, Michaud JP. Biology and behavior of *Pseudo dorusclavatus* (Diptera: Syrphidae), an important predator of citrus aphids. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.*, 2001; 94:91-96.
- Branquart E, Hemptinne JL. Development of ovaries, allometry of reproductive traits and fecundity of *Episyrphus balteatus* (Diptera: Syrphidae). *Eur. J Entomol.* 2000; 97:165-170
- Branquart E, Hemptinne JL, Bauffe C, Benfekih L. Cannibalism in *Episyrphus balteatus* (Dip.: Syrphidae). *Entomophaga.* 1997; 42(1, 2):145-152.
- Burgio G, Sommaggio D. Syrphids as landscape bio indicators in Italian *Agro ecosystems*. *Agri. Ecol. and Envi.*, 2007; 120(24):416-422.
- Cook SM, Khan ZR, Pickett JA. The use of Push-Pull strategies in integrated pest management. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 2007; 52:375-400.
- Dixon AFG. Aphid ecology: Lifecycles, polymorphisms, and population regulation. *Annu. Rev. of Ecol. and System*, 1977; 8: 329-35.
- Hajek AE. Natural Enemies: An Introduction to Biological Control. Cambridge University hoverflies. *Ecol. Entomol.*, 2004; 25:91-100.
- Hong BM, Hung HQ. Effect of temperature and diet on the life cycle and predatory capacity of *Episyrphus balteatus* (Syrphidae: Diptera) cultured on *Aphis gossypii* (Glover). *Int. Soc. South east Asian Agric. Sci. (ISSAAS)*, 2010; 16:98-103.
- Inayat TP, Rana SA, Rana N, Ruby T, Sadiqui MJI, Abbas MN. Predation rate in selected coccinellid (coleoptera) predators on some major aphidid and cicadellid (Hemipteran) pests. *Int. J Agric. Biol.*, 2001; 13:427-430.
- Inayat TP, Rana SA, Rana N, Ruby T, Javed M, Siddiqi I *et al.* Determination of predator prey relationship in some selected coleopteran and hymenopteran species by DNA/PCR-based molecular analysis. *Int. J Agric. Biol.*, 2012; 14:211-216.
- Leroy PD, Verheggen FJ, Capella Q, Francis F, Haubrug E. An introduction device for the aphidophagous hoverfly *Episyrphus balteatus* (De Geer) (Diptera: Syrphidae). *Biol. Cont.*, 2010; 54:181-188.
- Michaud JP, Belliure B. Consequences of founder's aggregation in the brown citrus aphid, *Toxoptera citricida*. *Ecol. Entomol.*, 2000; 25: 307-314.
- Pettersson J, Tjallingii WF, Hardie J. Host-plant selection and feeding. In: Van Emden H, Harrington R (eds) *Aphids as crop pests*. CAB International, Wallingford, 2007, 87-114.
- Priya BS, Misra HP. Biopesticides for the management

- of okra fruit borer, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius). Pest Mgt. Hort. Ecosyst., 2007; 13:176-179.
15. Rana N, Rana SA, Khan HA, Sohail A. Assessment of possible threats to soil macro-invertebrate diversity in wheat fields from high input farming. Int. J Agric. Biol., 2010; 12:801-808.
  16. Sadeghi H, Gilbert F. Oviposition preferences of aphidophagous hoverflies. Ecol, Entomo, 2000; 25:91-100.
  17. SAS Institute, 2003; SAS version 9.1.
  18. Scholz D, Poehling HM. Oviposition site selection of *Episyrphus balteatus*. Entomol. Experim. Appl., 2000; 94:149-158.
  19. Sommaggio D, Burgio G. The use of Syrphidae as functional bioindicator to compare vineyards with different managements. Bull. Of Insecto, 2014; 67(1):147-156.
  20. Sommaggio D. Syrphidae: can they be used as environmental bioindicators? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 1999; 74:343-356.
  21. Thanavendan G, Jeyarani S. Effect of different temperature regimes on the biology of *Bracon brevicornis* Wesmael (Braconidae: Hymenoptera) on different host larvae. J Bio Pest. 2010; 3:441-444.
  22. Thompson FC. New Mesochira species (Diptera: Anisopodidae) from Fiji, with Notes on other Described Species, Bishop Museum Occasional Papers. 2006; 86:11-21.
  23. Tinkeu LN Hance T. Functional morphology of the mandibles of the larvae of *Episyrphus balteatus* (De Geer, 1776) (Diptera: Syrphidae). J Insect Morphol. Embryol, 1998; 27:135-142.
  24. Van Emden HF, Harrington R. Aphids as Crop Pests. Wallingford, UK: CAB International, 2007.
  25. Veen VMP. Hoverflies of Northwest Europe, Identification Keys to the Syrphidae (Hardback), KNNV Publishing, Utrecht, the Netherlands, 2004, 254.