



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

JEZS 2017; 5(4): 1391-1395

© 2017 JEZS

Received: 29-05-2017

Accepted: 30-06-2017

Shailendra KumarDepartment of Entomology,
JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India**M Thomas**Department of Entomology,
JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India**Narayan Lal**Department of Horticulture,
JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India**Virendra**Department of Entomology,
JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India**VK Markam**Department of Plant Pathology,
IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh,
India**Correspondence****Shailendra Kumar**Department of Entomology,
JNKVV, Jabalpur, Madhya
Pradesh, India

Lac cell production on Palas (*Butea monosperma* Lam.) and transmission losses under different nutrient management

Shailendra Kumar, M Thomas, Narayan Lal, Virendra and VK Markam

Abstract

A trial was conducted from July 2015 to November 2015 to see the effect of nutrition on Lac cell production and transmission losses. The mean fresh weight of 100 mature healthy lac cells obtained from the sticklac at harvest varied from 6.36g (T₈-Control) to 11.14g (T₇). There was a significantly difference in the mean weight of 100 fresh cell weight in T₇, T₂ and T₃ over the control, however T₇ and T₂ were at par with each other. The mean dry weight of 100 healthy cells of lac insect was obtained seven days after shady drying varied from 4.95 g (T₈ – control) to 8.21g (T₇ – Humic acid + Auskelp). There was a significant difference in the mean dry weight in 100 lac cell of T₇, T₂ and T₃ over the Control (T₈), however but the former two were at par. The dry cell weight contributed significantly in the lac yield. The mean dry weight (g) of 100 cell of lac insect differed significantly among the different treatments.

Keywords: nutrition, lac cell, fresh weight, dry weight, humic acid

1. Introduction

The Indian lac insect, *Kerriallacca* (Kerr) (order- Hemiptera, suborder- Homoptera, super family- Coccoidea and family- Lacciferidae,) with its piercing and sucking mouth parts sucks plant sap [5] and secretes resin from their body. Lac insect completes its life cycle on several host taxa where it exclusively feed on phloem sap but *Schleichera oleosa* (Lour.) Oken, *Buteamonosperma* (Lam.) and *Zyziphu smouritiana* (Lam.) are its major host. Lac is a minor forest produce, produced by Lac insect- *K. lacca* while feeding on host trees [19]. *B. monosperma* is a moderate sized deciduous tree which is widely distributed throughout India, Burma and Ceylon. This is popularly and commonly known as 'dhak' or 'palas' and 'Flame of forest'. The family Fabaceae comprises of 630 genera and 18,000 species [17]. In India lac is mainly produced by two strains of lac insect viz., "Rangeeni and Kusmi". Rangeeni lac is produced on the tree of *B. monosperma* and *Z. mauritiana*. Kusmilac is mainly produced on *S. oleosa* and to some extent on Ber tree [13].

The total Lac production was 21,008 tons in India and 2,497 tons in M.P during 2013-14. During the year 2013-2014, Jharkhand (12207 tons) was the highest lac producer in the country followed by Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and West Odisha [23]. As nutrients deficient plants are weak and vulnerable to incidence of plant disease and insect pest attack [12, 16]. Low nitrogen content in the plant enhance the resistance of plants against pest but high nitrogen contents cause vigorous growth along with consequent decrease in resistance of plants against pest [2, 12]. The potential of organic amendments in suppression of insect pest population over synthetic inorganic fertilizer has long been recognized. Evidence of suppression of insect attack by various forms of organic amendments has been reported by different researchers [3, 21].

Though relatively small amount of micronutrient is required as compared to those of primary nutrient, but these are equally important for plant metabolism [15]. Humic acid foliar spray has remarkable effect on vegetative growth of plant and increase photosynthetic activity and leaf area index [8]. Sap feeders often had long-lasting physiological impacts on their host plant. Physiological changes are driven by both changes in plant nutrients and the production of secondary chemicals [11, 14]. Highest survivability of *K. lacca* on the *Z. mauritiana* treated with NPK may be due to increase in succulence and more availability of phloem sap [22]. Similarly Boron has an effect on cell wall structure and also has a major effect on cell elongation, root growth and transfer of sugar [1].

The production of lac cell depends on quality and quantity of phloem sap of its host. The sap content of phloem is highly correlated with nutrients status of the plants. Therefore, a trial was conducted to see the effect of nutrients on transmission losses and lac cell production.

2. Material and Methods

The present investigation was carried out from July 2015 to

November 2015 on standing *B.monosperma* trees in Lac growers' field. The location of study was Malhara village, Barghat Block Seoni district. Geographically, the area forms a part of Maikal range of northern and eastern parts of Satpura Hills tending N-S, NE-SW and E-W. The highest topographic elevation in the district is 756 m above sea level in Seoni-Lakhanadon plateau region and the lowest is 430m above sea level in the plains of Wainganga-Hirri River.

Table 1: Details of the Experiment

Host trees	<i>Palash (B. monosperma)</i>
Design	R.B.D.
No. of replications	3
Number of treatments	8
Number of trees per replications	3 trees
Total number of trees/treatments	9 trees
Treatment details	
T ₁	Spraying of Humic acid 5% at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₂	Spraying of Auskelp super(N-0.59%, P-0.39%, K-3.19%, Ca-0.017%, S-0.64%, Fe-0.87%, Zn-14.2ppm, Mn-4.4ppm, Cu-4.2ppm, B-64ppm, Mg-0.46%, Na-0.20%, Co-0.14ppm, Mo-10ppm) at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₃	Spraying of Multiplex (Zn-3%, B-0.1%, Mn-0.5%, N, Mg, S, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu, B) at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₄	Spraying of Bolt (Humic acids-39%, Cold water kelp extracts-25%, Vitamin C-20%, E-1%, and B1-2%, Amino acids-9% and Myo-Inositol-14%) at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₅	Spraying of Humic acid + Multiplex at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₆	Spraying of Humic acid + Bolt at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₇	Spraying of Humic acid + Auskelp at 51, 63 days and 77 days after BLI*
T ₈	Control (Lac growers practice i.e. no use of micronutrients)

*BLI- Broodlac Inoculation

2.1 Broodlac inoculation

2.1.1 Brood inoculation

Healthy Broodlac weighing 600g to 1200g were used per *B.monosperma* tree for inoculation. Depending on the branch of the tree, the brood lac was divided into six to seven bundles for its inoculation in the month of July 2015.

2.1.2 Shifting

The Broodlac bundles were shifted carefully to different branches on the same tree after 7- 8 days of inoculation. This was to ensure uniform distribution of the brood on branches where there was no or insufficient larval settlement.

2.1.3 Phunki removal

Larvae of lac insect from Broodlac settle on the tree in three weeks of its inoculation. The Broodlac without brood is called *phunki* is infact sticklac. *Phunki* usually consists of predators. It was removed after 21 days of Broodlac inoculation and scrapped to recover raw lac, and in this process the predators are removed.

2.2 Spraying of Humic acid and micronutrients

2.2.1 Preparation of spray solution

The solution of Humic acid and micronutrients was prepared

by adding its desired quantity (@5ml of Humic acid/litre of water, in case of bolt @ 1g/litre of water, Auskelp @ 2ml/litre of water, Multiplex @ 3g/litre) in a small container followed by brisk stirring with a piece of stick. This concentrate solution was further diluted with clear water to make the spray solution.

2.2.2 Spraying

Spraying of Humic acid and micronutrients with a foot sprayer required two persons. One operated the pedal of the foot sprayer while other holding the lance of the sprayer sprayed the solution.

2.2.3 Spraying schedule

After 51-52, 63-64 and 77-78 days of BLI, first, second and third spray was done respectively.

2.3 Harvesting of sticklac

At maturity, the sticklac was harvested on 31th October to 3th November 2015 for estimation of lac yield.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of Variance

Table 2

Sources of variance	Df	S.S.	M.S.S.	F Cal	F Tab
Replications	(r-1)	SSR	VR	-	
Treatments	(t-1)	SST	VT	VT / VE	F at 5% (t-1), (r-1) (t-1)
Errors	(r-1) (t-1)	SSE	VE		
Total	(r.t-1)				

where

r = number of replications

t = number of treatments

VR= replication mean sum of square

VT=treatment mean sum of square

VE= error mean sum of square

The significance among different treatment means was judged by critical difference (C.D) at 5% level of significance for comparison among the treatments, the marginal means of each treatment was considered. The following formula was used for various estimations

i. Standard error of mean

$$S. Em \pm = \sqrt{\frac{E. ms}{r}}$$

ii. Critical difference (C.D) = $SEm \times \sqrt{2 \times t \times 0.05}$

where,

Ems = error mean sum of square

t = 't' value at 5 % level at error d.f.

r = number of replications

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mean of 100 lac Cells Fresh weight

The mean fresh weight of 100 mature healthy lac cells obtained from the sticklac at harvest varied from 6.36g (T₈-Control) to 11.14g (T₇). There was a significant difference in the mean weight of 100 fresh cell weight in T₇, T₂ and T₃ over the control (Table 3), however T₇ and T₂ were at par with each other. Namdev *et al.*^[18] reported the fresh weight of 100 cell to be highest 8.02 in N treated with NPK. It was followed that 7.20 in N, 6.89 (NP) and control (6.14 g). Patel^[20] reported that the mean fresh weight (g) of 100 mature lac cells was 4.88 g in kusmi lac and 3.38 g in case of rangeeni lac.

Table 3: Mean Fresh weight (g) of 100 cells of lac insect

Replication	Mean Fresh weight (g) of 100 cells of lac insect in different treatments							
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	T ₈
R ₁	7.60	9.62	7.12	7.65	7.08	6.37	11.52	6.69
R ₂	6.33	10.50	8.00	6.64	6.96	7.72	11.88	6.16
R ₃	6.68	10.63	7.27	7.22	6.91	6.40	10.01	6.24
Mean	6.87	10.25	7.47	7.17	6.98	6.83	11.14	6.36
SEm±	0.35							
CD 5%	1.06							

3.2 Mean of 100 lac Cells Dry weight (g)

The mean dry weight of 100 healthy cells of lac insect was obtained seven days after shady drying varied from 4.95 g (T₈ – control) to 8.21g (T₇ – Humic acid + Auskelp). There was a significant difference in the mean dry weight in 100 lac cell of T₇, T₂ and T₃ over the Control (T₈), however but the former two were at par (Table 4). The dry cell weight contributed

significantly in the lac yield. The mean dry weight (g) of 100 cell of lac insect differed significantly among the different treatments. According to Namdev *et al.*^[18] the mean dry weight of 100 lac cells was highest in NPK (7.08) followed by N(6.30), NP (6.01) and Control (5.18), while Patel (2013)^[20] reported that the mean dry weight of 100 cell of kusmilac to be 4.66 g and 2.63 g in case of rangeeni lac.

Table 4: Mean Dry weight (g) of 100 cells of lac insect

Replication	Mean Dry weight (g) of 100 cells of lac insect in different treatments							
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	T ₆	T ₇	T ₈
R ₁	6.71	6.76	5.58	5.67	5.43	5.35	7.42	4.76
R ₂	5.50	7.49	6.81	5.69	6.14	5.35	8.53	5.14
R ₃	5.23	8.39	6.56	5.25	5.63	5.40	8.67	4.96
Mean	5.81	7.55	6.32	5.54	5.73	5.37	8.21	4.95
SEm±	0.31							
CD 5%	0.95							

Treatment T₇ is a combination of Humic acid + Macro and Micro nutrients. This combination may have improved the nutrient uptake, metabolism and enrichment of phloem sap resulted in the growth as well as increase resin secretion by lac insect. Thus had highest weight gain of lac (100 cell weight). Unfortunately, there is scarcity of the worker done on the quality of phloem sap and lac production by lac insect. However, the relation can be better understood by the work of^[10]. According these workers the capacity of aphids to use plant phloem sap, with low essential amino acid content, has been attributed to their symbiotic bacteria, *Buchneraaphidicola*, which can synthesize these nutrients; but this has not been demonstrated empirically. It is proposed that this shortfall of methionine was met by aphid usage of the non-protein amino acid 5-methylmethionine in the phloem sap. From the mean amino acid content of phloem sap and volume of aphid honeydew produced, the mean total amino acid nitrogen ingested by each two- to seven-day-old aphid was calculated as 2322 nmol. This was eight times greater than

the amount required for the observed protein growth (288 nmol) of the aphids, indicating that the diet supplied sufficient nitrogen for aphid growth. A second simplifying assumption has the opposite effect of overestimating aphid demand. It is that aphids derive phloem essential amino acids exclusively from free amino acids. Phloem sap contains other potential sources of amino acids, including proteins, small peptides and non-protein amino acids. For most essential amino acids, the significance of these alternative sources of amino acids is probably small^[10]. Insects need considerable amounts of potassium, phosphorus, magnesium and small amounts of calcium, sodium and chlorides during their development. Minerals are important for ionic balance, membrane permeability and enzyme activation^[6].

3.3. Transmission loss percent of lac insect in different stage of lac crop

The transmission loss of lac insects on 62th day after BLI varied from 7.38 to 13.86 percent. The transmission loss was

significantly less in T₆ (Humic acid + Bolt) over T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₈. The transmission loss was though significant less in T₄ (Bolt) over T₁ and T₅ but that of T₆ and T₄ were at par. The transmission loss on 76th day after BLI varied from 14.16 to 21.33 percent. The transmission loss was significantly less in T₆ over T₂ and T₈. The transmission loss was significantly less in T₄ (Bolt) over the control (T₈), but that of T₄ and T₆ were at par. At 94th day after BLI the transmission loss varied 22.99 (T₆) to 28.85 (T₈) percent. The transmission loss was significantly less in T₆ over T₈.

Table 5: Transmission loss (%)

Transmission loss (%) of lac insect on days after BLI			
Replication	62 th Days	76 th Days	94 th Days
T ₁	13.86 (21.79)	18.60 (25.48)	26.77 (31.14)
T ₂	11.96 (20.20)	19.12 (25.89)	26.82 (31.17)
T ₃	10.94 (19.19)	16.82 (24.00)	24.22 (29.44)
T ₄	7.65 (16.02)	16.09 (23.62)	23.85 (29.21)
T ₅	12.95 (21.01)	17.61 (24.79)	28.12 (31.99)
T ₆	7.38 (15.19)	14.16 (22.02)	22.99 (28.58)
T ₇	10.62 (18.96)	18.12 (25.17)	27.17 (31.38)
T ₈	12.12 (20.27)	21.33 (27.46)	28.85 (32.41)
SEm±	1.58	1.2	1.14
CD 5%	4.8	3.66	3.47

Zinc (Zn) is an essential element for plant that act as a metal component of various enzymes or as a functional structural or regulatory cofactor and for protein synthesis, photosynthesis, the synthesis of auxin, cell division, maintain of membrane structure, iron is involved in the production of chlorophyll, also is a component of many enzymes associated with energy transfer, nitrogen reduction and fixation, and lignin formation. Iron is associated with sulfur in plants to form compounds that catalyze other reactions. Manganese is necessary in photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and to form other compounds required for plant metabolism. Copper is necessary for carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism and, inadequate copper results in stunting of plants. Copper also is required for lignin synthesis which is needed for cell wall strength and prevention of wilting. Data mean comparison of micronutrients uptake (Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu) by *Petunia hybrid L.* showed that the effect of different levels of humic acid on the absorption rate was statistically significant compared to controls^[4].

Govindasmy and Chandresakaran^[9] found that the addition of humic acids improved sugar yield and nutrient concentration in leaf blades and sheaths of sugarcane. Defline *et al.*^[7] reported that the foliar application of humic acids caused a transitional production of plant dry mass in corn with respect to the unfertilised control.

4. Conclusion

Lac production leads to losses of vigour in its host. Therefore application of nutrients is essential to maintain the health of tree and increase the production of lac and reduces the mortality of insect. It is concluded that highest mean fresh weight of Lac cell was recorded where humic acid and Auskelp was sprayed. Transmission losses were significant less where Bolt was sprayed.

5. Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Department of Entomology, JNKVV Jabalpur for providing all the facility and chemicals to complete the study.

6. References

1. Abdollahi M, Eshghi S, Tfazoli E. Intraction of pacrobutazol, Boron and zinc on vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry (*Fragaria x AnanassaDuch. Cv. Selva*). Journal of Biological Environment, Science. 2010; 4(11):67-75.
2. Bhinde MR. Vermicompost. Paper presented at the short term training workshops, organized by Prakruti at Yusuf Meharally Centre. Tara Dist, Raigud, 1993.
3. Biradar AP, Sunita ND, Teggelli RG, Devaranavadi SB. Effect of vermicomposts on the incidence of subabulpsyllid, Insect Environment. 1998; 4(2):55-56.
4. Boogar AR, Shirmohammadi E, Geikloo A. Effect of Humic acid application on qualitative characteristic and Micronutrient satus in *Petunia hybrid L.* Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Science. 2014; 3(9):15-19.
5. Colton HS. The anatomy of the female american lac insect *Tachardiellalarea*. Bulletin Mus. nth. Arizona Flagstaff. 1984; 21:1-24.
6. Dadd RH. Insect nutrition: current developments and metabolic implications. Annual Review of Entomology, Palo Alto. 1973; 18:381-420.
7. Defline S, Tognetti R, Desiderio E, Alvino A. Effect of foliar application of N and humic acids on growth and yield of durum wheat. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2005; 25:183-191.
8. Ghorbani S, Khazaei HR, Kafi M, Banayanaval M. The effect adding humic acid to irrigation water on yield and yield components of corn. Journal of Agriculture Ecology. 2010; 2:123-131.
9. Govindasmy R, Chandersaka-Ran S. Effect of humic acids on the growth, yield and nutrient content of sugar cane. Science of the Total Environment. 1992; 117:575-581.
10. Gunduz EA, Douglas AE. Symbiotic bacteria enable insect to use a nutritionally inadequate diet. Proc. R. Soc. B. 2008; 276:987-991.
11. Haukioja E, Ruohoma`ki K, Senn J, Suomela J, Walls M. Consequences of herbivory in the mountain birch (*Betulapubescentssportuosa*): importance of the functional organization of the tree. Oecologia. 1990; 82:238-247.
12. Huber DM, Thompson IA. Nitrogen and plant disease. In: Datnoff LE, Elmer WH, Huber DM (Eds.) Mineral Nutrition and Plant Disease. APS Press, USA.2007.
13. Jaiswal AK. Singh JP. A review of Lac production in India during XI plan. Indian Forester.2014; 140(9): 907-920.
14. Karban R, Myers JH. Induced plant responses to herbivory. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1989; 20:331-348.
15. Katyal JC. Role of micronutrient in ensuring optimum use of macronutrients. IFA International symposium on micronutrients, New Delhi, India, 2004.
16. Marschner H. Mineral nutrition of higher plants. 2ndEdition: Academic press New York, 1995.
17. Mishra A, Verma S, Mishra AP. A Plant Review: *Buteamonosperma* (Lam.) Kuntze. 2012; 3(1):700-714.
18. Namdev BK, Thomas M, Kurmi A, Thakur AS, Upadhyaya A. Impact of nutrient management of zizyphusmauritiana (lamb.) On the yield of kusmi lac. The Bioscan. 2015; 10(3):1219-1222.
19. Ogle A, Thomas M, Tiwari LM. Technical consultancy report on strategic development of Lac in Madhya Pradesh. Enterplan Limited, 2006.
20. Patel B. Comparative performance of Kusmi and

Rangeeni lac on Ber, *Zizyphus mauritiana* at Kachana village Barghat Block, Seoni district, MP, M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis submitted in JNKVV, Jabalpur, 2013.

21. Ramesh P. Effects of vermicomposts and vermicomposting on damage by sucking pests to ground nut (*Arachis hypogea*), Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2000; 70(5):334-336.
22. Shah TH, Thomas M, Bhandari R. Impact of nutrient management in *Z. mauritiana*(Lamb.) on the survivability of lac insect and the yield of *Aghanicrop* of *Kusmi* lac. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2014; 2(5):160-163.
23. Yogi RK, Bhattacharya A, Jaiswal AK, Kumar A. Lac plant resins and gums statistics At a Glance, IINRG Namkum, Ranchi-834010, Jharkhand India. Bulletin no. 07/2015; 01-08. 2014.