



E-ISSN: 2320-7078  
P-ISSN: 2349-6800  
JEZS 2017; 5(6): 85-90  
© 2017 JEZS  
Received: 15-09-2017  
Accepted: 16-10-2017

**Mansoor-ul-Hasan**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Asad Aslam**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Muhammad Jafir**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Muhammad Wajid Javed**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Muhammad Shehzad**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Muhammad Zubair Chaudhary**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Muhammad Aftab**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Correspondence**

**Muhammad Jafir**

Department of Entomology,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad, Pakistan

## Effect of temperature and relative humidity on development of *Sitophilus oryzae* L. (coleoptera: curculionidae)

**Mansoor-ul-Hasan, Asad Aslam, Muhammad Jafir, Muhammad Wajid Javed, Muhammad Shehzad, Muhammad Zubair Chaudhary and Muhammad Aftab**

**Abstract**

Study was conducted to determine the different life stages of *Sitophilus oryzae* and the effect of various combinations of temperature (25, 30 and 35 °C) and R.H. (55, 60 and 65%) under lab conditions in university of agriculture Faisalabad in 2015-16. Three replications were used for each treatment. Ten pairs of adults were released to get eggs. Data regarding oviposition, fertility and adult emergence was recorded on daily basis. For this effort, first temperature (25 °C) was in practice on decontaminated rice which exposed slow development of *S. oryzae* while highest temperature (35 °C) presented very high developmental rate of all life stages of *S. oryzae*. So, result presented *S. oryzae* has great influence of temperature on its life span. It exhibited high appearance at high temperature in comparison with low temperature. Relative humidity has also excessive influence on the development of *S. oryzae*. At 65% R.H. the maximum mean value of adult appearance was significantly higher as compared to than that of 55%. It is stated that different relative humidity level such as 55, 60 and 65%, 65% level is most favorable for its development and 55% level is less favorable for its growth as compared to other levels.

**Keywords:** climatic regimes, controlled atmosphere, lab conditions, management, rice weevil, stored pests

### 1. Introduction

Rice is infested by many insect pests during storage but *Sitophilus* are most destructive and wide spread species in the world particularly in warm and tropical areas [1] but *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) cause most critical infestation in many cereal crops as primary pest like in rice, wheat, maize and other split pea [2]. Rice weevil can damage the whole grain but can also survive on other commodities such as pasta and split pea [3,4]. The infestation caused by *S. oryzae* (L.) is mostly due to feeding of adults and grub on whole cereal grains [5]. *S. oryzae* showed more growth on polished rice grains than other varieties [6]. It causes quality and weight losses of cereal grains and produce grain dust [7] which attract the secondary pest attack including *Tribolium castenium* (H.), *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.), *Cryptolestus ferugineus* (S.) and mites which are secondary insect pests which feed on broken grains and grain dust [8]. The activity of rice weevil allows to attack of pathogens by manipulating the internal temperature and relative humidity of infested grains [7,8]. *S. oryzae* (L.) have four life stages egg, larvae, pupae and adult. First three stages remain within the cereal grain while adult remain outside of grain and complete its further life cycle [9]. The adult of rice weevil is able to survive up to two years under unfavorable environmental conditions and may transfer to field crops due its flying capability [10]. Females can lay up to 300 eggs during its whole life cycle [11]. Maximum development takes place at 28°C and 75% relative humidity and greater growth, time period as both factor increases [12]. Rice weevils can be controlled utilization of several grain protectants like malathion, methopereetc to save grains from infestation [13] but it develops resistant against many protectant chemical [5,13]. Now days, fumigation is used prominently to control rice weevil but resistant has been developed due to their residues and toxicity [5]. However, methyl bromide which is used as prominent fumigant since 1930s but now it is banned due to its residual its environmental toxicity under the Montreal Protocol in 2005 [14]. Several control measures are adopted to control insect pest on grain such as use of different botanicals like Pea, Neem, Eucalyptus, Datura and clove oil extracts [15,16].

Many time period as both factor increases <sup>[12]</sup>. Rice weevils can be controlled utilization of several grain protectants like malathion, methopereetc to save grains from infestation <sup>[13]</sup> but it develops resistant against many protectant chemical <sup>[5,13]</sup>. Now days, fumigation is used prominently to control rice weevil but resistant has been developed due to their residues and toxicity <sup>[5]</sup>. However, methyl bromide which is used as prominent fumigant since 1930s but now it is banned due to its residual its environmental toxicity under the Montreal Protocol in 2005 <sup>[14]</sup>. Several control measures are adopted to control insect pest on grain such as use of different botanicals like Pea, Neem, Eucalyptus, Datura and clove oil extracts <sup>[15,16]</sup>. Many Dusts like DE are used to control stored grain pests since centuries which commercially formation takes place in many countries since 1960s to control stored cereals pests which kills the insects through rupturing the cuticle <sup>[17,18,19,20]</sup> and still insects are not resistant to diatomaceous Earth <sup>[21,22]</sup> but it did not show good results in low quantity and it reduces the grain quality as well <sup>[4]</sup>. Several biological controlling agents like Pathogens and Parasitoids are helpful to lower the pest population below ETL <sup>[23, 24]</sup> but less effective for export purpose <sup>[25]</sup>. The other methods are used including heating or lowering the temperature <sup>[26]</sup>, Physical anxiety <sup>[27]</sup> and lowering the oxygen level <sup>[28]</sup> to control the population of insect pests of stored grains but these physical methods are very expensive for conventional use <sup>[29]</sup>. Aeration is an effective method to control insects like ambient aeration and chilled aeration in autumn and summer storage <sup>[30]</sup> while in the case non-aeration increase in pest population than aerated stored grains <sup>[31]</sup>. Introduction of low pressure in air tight bans is another effective method to avoid to extensive use of insecticide at appropriate temperature <sup>[29]</sup>. The use of manipulated temperature to regulate the stored grain insects is old and effective methodology <sup>[32]</sup>. Although controlled atmosphere technology has been identified since 1900 <sup>[33]</sup>. Effective control of stored product insect pests can be obtained when 50-60 °C is maintained for 24-26 hours <sup>[34,35]</sup>. Age of the insect also impact the level of tolerance to temperature with constant humidity and time of exposure <sup>[36]</sup>. The horizontal and vertical implementation of temperature may cause non-uniform spreading of heat so a portion of the treatment may be over or under treated <sup>[35]</sup> and these treatments showed a huge difference in results which causes the heating block <sup>[37]</sup>. Relative humidity play a vital role in survival of any pest as well as increases the toxic effect of insecticide so it is helpful in sinking the resistance developed in insects <sup>[3, 38]</sup>.

The following study of different temperature and humidity combinations will be explained the egg laying, time period, hatching time, larval instar development and pupal development time period. There are following main objects of the experiment.

- To identify the total developmental stage period of the *Sitophilus oryzae*.
- To identifying the best combination of temperature and relative humidity to reduce the insect population
- To identify the rate of oviposition at different temperature and relative humidity.
- To identify the survival of rice weevil at different temperature and relative humidity combinations.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Experiments were performed in the Stored Grain Research, Training and Storage Management Cell of Department of Entomology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, during the

year 2015-16.

### 2.1 Insect Collection

The wild population of *Sitophilus oryzae* L. was collected by random selection of different silos from grain market Faisalabad.

### 2.2 Insect Rearing

Collected rice weevil was feed on rice in the plastic jars having high moisture content so that *S.oryzae* easily laid eggs and show better development. Rice was sterilized at 70 °C for 15-20 minutes to eliminate the previous infestation of insects, fungi and other microorganisms. Rice weevil was reared at controlled temperature  $32\pm 2$  °C and relative humidity in the range of  $70\pm 5\%$  which is best condition for its development. Dark and light hours (12: L and 12: D) was maintained according to best development of weevil because *S.oryzae* is very sensitive to the light hours for meeting and proper growth. After 72 hours, the adult rice weevil was removed to get homogenous stock of adult weevil and this process was repeated for next three generations. Homogeneous population was maintained for further experiment.

### 2.3 Temperature and relative humidity effect on fecundity, larval, pupae development and adult emergence.

Ten adult pairs of *S. oryzae* from the laboratory colony was released in small jarson rice grains which was dried to a consistent moisture level and then placed inside incubator chambers was maintained at one of three different temperature (25, 30 and 35 °C) levels. The relative humidity levels within the incubator chambers were maintained by using saturated salt solutions as described in Winston and Bates (1960). All incubators were held at a photoperiod of 12:12 (L: D) hours for proper flight and meeting of the rice weevil. Oviposition was allowed for 72 h. A 72-h period for egg laying ensures that sufficient amount of eggs are laid. After 72 h, released insects were removed and their total number of eggs laid on randomly selected fifty grains of rice were counted with help of compound microscope. These eggs were maintained for egg fertility, larva and pupae life and adult emergence.

#### 2.3.1 Fecundity rate of females of *Sitophils oryzae*

Thirty females were released in different jars to observe the fecundity rate in different days (3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days).

#### 2.3.2 Larvae emergence or egg hatching of *Sitophilus oryzae*

The thirty eggs were released in patri dishes at each temperature and relative humidity combination. The data was taken about egg hatching after 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days.

#### 2.3.3 Pupae emergence of *Sitophilus oryzae*

The hatched eggs were released in different patri dishes to observe the larval time periods. Larvae took prolong time period to complete its four instars so data about pupae formation were taken after 17, 20, 23, 27, 30 and 33 days.

#### 2.3.4 Adult emergence of *Sitophilus oryzae*

The thirty pupae were transferred into new patri dish with great care. The data regarding adult emergence was observed after 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 days.

## 2.4 Statistical data evaluation

Data on the number of eggs laying, larval and pupae developmental times and rates of adult emergence was analyzed by a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the Tukey test was used as a post hoc analysis for the interaction and effect of temperature and RH (PROC GLM; SAS Institute, 2000). Mean values of all developmental time within a replication of each treatment was calculated.

## 3. Results

The results revealed that temperature and relative humidity greatly affect the fecundity of *Sitophilus oryzae* ( $F= 3.39, P= 0.031$ ). The egg laying of rice weevil was found maximum ( $23.66 \pm 0.67$ ) at 35 °C and 65% R.H. after 11 days but when the decrease in temperature from 35 °C to 30 and 25 °C and relative humidity from 65% to 60 and 55%, the egg laying by rice weevil also reduced to  $8.66 \pm 0.33$  and  $7.33 \pm 0.88$  respectively as mentioned in table-1. The combined effect of

relative humidity and temperature influenced the larval emergence from eggs of *S.oryzae* ( $F=3.22$  &  $P= 0.036$ ) as table 2 showed that larval emergence was also increased from 9.67 to 24.00 as both factors increased from lowest point (25 °C and 55%) to highest point (35 °C and 65%) after 10 day of observation. The results regarding pupae formation was also greatly significant different due to temperature and relative humidity variation ( $F=3.00$  &  $P=0.046$ ). The pupae formation increased from 8.33 to 24.63 as both combined treatments (35 °C and 65%) but decreased to  $14.67 \pm 0.67$  and  $13.33 \pm 0.33$  as both factors fall down to 30 and 25 °C, 60 and 55% respectively after 30 days of observation as shown in table 3. The increase in adult formation from pupae of rice weevil was observed in table 4 from 9.33 to 26.33 due to the effect of temperature and relative humidity ( $F=3.31$  &  $P= 0.031$ ). So, F and P value showed that both temperature and humidity affect the whole life span (fecundity, larvae emergence, pupae formation and adult emergence) of rice weevil.

**Table 1:** Mean values of egg laying ( $\pm$  S.E) of *Sitophilus oryzae* after different days under different temperature (T=25, 30 and 35 °C) and different relative humidities (H= 55, 60 and 65%). Each column, mean value followed by same latter are not significant to each other; Tukey and HSD test  $\leq 0.05$ ; \* = Significant, \*\* = Highly Significant, NS = Non-Significant

Treatment	Egg laying $\pm$ S.E Days				
	3 days	5days	7 days	9 days	11 days
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	2.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	3.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	3.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	5.33 $\pm$ 0.88 C	7.33 $\pm$ 0.88 C
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	7.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.66 $\pm$ 0.88 B	9.66 $\pm$ 0.88 B
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F	11.00 $\pm$ 0.57F	11.00 $\pm$ 0.57F	11.33 $\pm$ 0.66 F	12.66 $\pm$ 0.33 F
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	4.67 $\pm$ 0.33EF	5.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	5.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	7.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	8.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	9.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	12.66 $\pm$ 1.20 EF	14.33 $\pm$ 1.45 EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	14.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	15.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	15.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	16.66 $\pm$ 0.33 E	18.33 $\pm$ 0.66 E
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	8.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	9.66 $\pm$ 0.33 D	9.66 $\pm$ 0.33 D	10.66 $\pm$ 0.33 D	12.33 $\pm$ 0.66 D
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	13.00 $\pm$ 0.58 D	14.00 $\pm$ 0.58 D	14.00 $\pm$ 0.58 D	16.00 $\pm$ 0.57D	18.33 $\pm$ 1.20D
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	18.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	19.33 $\pm$ 0.33 A	19.33 $\pm$ 0.33 A	21.66 $\pm$ 0.88 A	23.66 $\pm$ 0.67 A
ANOVA	F= 3.07* P= 0.043	F= 3.00* P= 0.046	F= 0.84 <sup>ns</sup> P= 0.50	F= 2.98* P= 0.046	F= 3.39* P= 0.031

**Table 2:** Mean values of larvae emergence ( $\pm$  S.E) of *Sitophilus oryzae* after different days under different temperature (T=25, 30 and 35 °C) and different relative humidities (H= 55, 60 and 65%). Each column, mean value followed by same latter are not significant to each other; Tukey and HSD test  $\leq 0.05$ ; \* = Significant, \*\* = Highly Significant, NS = Non-Significant

Treatment	Larval emergence $\pm$ S.E Days				
	2 days	3 days	5 days	7 days	10 days
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	3.66 $\pm$ 0.33D	4.67 $\pm$ 0.33D	5.66 $\pm$ 0.40 D	7.66 $\pm$ 0.40 D	9.67 $\pm$ 0.40 D
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	6.33 $\pm$ 0.33 CD	7.33 $\pm$ 0.33 CD	7.33 $\pm$ 0.37 CD	10.33 $\pm$ 0.37 CD	12.33 $\pm$ 0.37CD
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	9.33 $\pm$ 0.33 CF	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 CF	8.33 $\pm$ 0.39 CF	13.33 $\pm$ 0.39 CF	15.33 $\pm$ 0.39 CF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	4.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	5.66 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	11.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	8.33 $\pm$ 0.67 EF	10.33 $\pm$ 0.67EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	9.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	6.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	11.66 $\pm$ 0.67 EF	13.67 $\pm$ 0.67 EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	13.00 $\pm$ 1.00 E	14.00 $\pm$ 1.00 E	10.33 $\pm$ 1.11 E	17.00 $\pm$ 1.00 E	19.00 $\pm$ 1.00 E
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	8.66 $\pm$ 0.33B	9.66 $\pm$ 0.33 B	15.00 $\pm$ 0.45 B	12.66 $\pm$ 0.45 B	14.67 $\pm$ 0.45B
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	12.00 $\pm$ 0.57 B	13.00 $\pm$ 0.57 B	14.00 $\pm$ 0.60 B	16.00 $\pm$ 0.57 B	18.00 $\pm$ 0.57 B
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	17.66 $\pm$ 0.33 A	18.66 $\pm$ 0.33 A	19.66 $\pm$ 0.33 A	22.00 $\pm$ 0.57 A	24.00 $\pm$ 0.57 A
ANOVA	F= 3.68* P= 0.023	F= 3.68* P= 0.023	F= 3.45* P= 0.029	F= 3.22* P= 0.036	F= 3.22* P= 0.036

**Table 3:** Mean values of pupae formation ( $\pm$  S.E) of *Sitophilus oryzae* after different days under different temperature (T=25, 30 and 35 °C) and different relative humidities (H= 55, 60 and 65%). Each column, mean value followed by same latter are not significant to each other; Tukey and HSD test  $\leq 0.05$ ; \* = Significant, \*\* = Highly Significant, NS = Non-Significant

Treatment	Pupae formation/transmission $\pm$ S.E Days					
	17 days	20 days	23 days	27 days	30 days	33 days
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	0.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	5.00 $\pm$ 0.33 C	5.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	5.00 $\pm$ 0.33 C	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C	5.00 $\pm$ 0.33 C
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	5.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.33333 $\pm$ 0.33 B	13.33 $\pm$ 0.33 B	8.33333 $\pm$ 0.33 B
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F	11.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	15.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F	11.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	16.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F	11.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	2.67 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	6.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	7.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	6.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	10.67 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	6.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	7.33 $\pm$ 0.33EF	10.67 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	12.33 $\pm$ 0.33 EF	10.67 $\pm$ 0.33EF	14.67 $\pm$ 0.67 EF	10.67 $\pm$ 0.33EF
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	12.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33E	19.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33E	20.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33E
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	6.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	14.00 $\pm$ 0.33 D	10.33 $\pm$ 0.33 D	14.00 $\pm$ 0.33 D	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	14.00 $\pm$ 0.33 D
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	11.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D	12.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D	18.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D	12.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D	19.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D	12.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	16.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	18.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	23.33 $\pm$ 0.33 A	18.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	24.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	18.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A

ANOVA	F= 3.46* P= 0.043	F= 3.00* P= 0.043	F= 3.07* P= 0.04	F= 3.00* P= 0.046	F= 3.00* P=0.046	F=2.22 <sup>NS</sup> P= 0.10
-------	----------------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------

**Table 4:** Mean values of adult formation ( $\pm$  S.E) of *Sitophilus oryzae* after different days under different temperature (T=25, 30 and 35 °C) and different relative humidities (H= 55, 60 and 65%). Each column, mean value followed by same letter are not significant to each other; Tukey and HSD test  $\leq$  0.05; Df= degree of freedom; \* = Significant, \*\* = Highly Significant, NS = Non-Significant.

Treatment	Adult formation $\pm$ S.E Days				
	3 days	5 days	7 days	9 days	11 days
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	1.67 $\pm$ 0.33 B	3.67 $\pm$ 0.33 B	7.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	7.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	9.33 $\pm$ 0.33 D
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	5.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	9.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	16.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F	17.33 $\pm$ 0.33 F
T <sub>1</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	9.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	13.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	17.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	19.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F	22.67 $\pm$ 0.33 F
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	4.33 $\pm$ 0.33 E	8.33 $\pm$ 0.33 E	9.33 $\pm$ 0.33 E	11.33 $\pm$ 0.67 E	12.33 $\pm$ 0.33 E
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	8.67 $\pm$ 0.33 DE	12.67 $\pm$ 0.33 DE	14.67 $\pm$ 0.33 DE	18.33 $\pm$ 0.33 DE	19.33 $\pm$ 0.33 DE
T <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	11.67 $\pm$ 0.33 D	15.33 $\pm$ 0.67 D	18.33 $\pm$ 0.88 D	21.00000 $\pm$ 0.57 D	22.00 $\pm$ 0.57 D
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	7.67 $\pm$ 0.33 C	11.667 $\pm$ 0.33 C	14.67 $\pm$ 1.00 C	15.33 $\pm$ 0.88 C	16.33 $\pm$ 0.33 C
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	13.00 $\pm$ 0.57 C	17.00 $\pm$ 0.57 C	18.00 $\pm$ 0.57 C	20.00 $\pm$ 0.57 C	22.00 $\pm$ 0.33 C
T <sub>3</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	18.00 $\pm$ 0.57 A	22.00 $\pm$ 0.57 A	22.67 $\pm$ 0.33 A	24.67 $\pm$ 0.67 A	26.33 $\pm$ 0.33 A
Anova	F= 4.11** P= 0.01	F= 4.59** P= 0.01	F= 6.49** P= 0.001	F= 3.88** P= 0.01	F= 3.31* P= 0.03

#### 4. Discussion

*Sitophilus oryzae* L. is the primary and internal feeder of maize, wheat, barley particularly of rice [39]. The current study was conducted to evaluate the effect of temperature (25, 30 and 35 °C) and relative humidity (55, 60 and 65%) on the fecundity, egg hatching, pupae formation and adult emergence and it showed the significantly difference regarding to the time period for different developmental stages.

The egg laying rate by female of *S. oryzae* increased as temperature and humidity increased and vice versa. The gradual rise in percentage of egg laying of *S. oryzae* as days increased but during 5 to 9 days maximum increased was observed in egg laying percentage was observed in present experiment. The rate of larvae emergence also significantly affected by both factors (relative humidity and temperature). Larval stage of rice weevil is very prolonged than approximately all other stored grain insect pests but its duration and rate of pupa emergence from larval stage varied as environmental conditions was manipulated. The present results were quit accordance of Imura [40] and Mainali *et al.* [41] recorded the egg laying period became shorter at 35 °C and maximum egg laying was observed at 30 and 35 °C while Okelana *et al.* [42] described that at 50-70% R.H. supported greater development of weevil then 90% but in some cultivar, fungi and bacterial development resist the growth of weevil when temperature is favorable. Similarly, Lale and Vidal [43] determined the effect of relative humidity (30, 60 and 90%) and temperature (25, 30, 35 and 40 °C) on growth pattern of *Callosobruchus maculatus* and *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* reared on Bambara groundnut and they described that Optimum temperature for *C. maculatus* and *C. subinnotatus* was 30 and 35 °C respectively. Progeny developments were restricted of both species at 40 °C. The adult emergence also required more time at low temperature and humidity while its time period was also decreased as temperature rose up to 30 and 35 °C in combination of high relative humidity (60 and 65%) which are also described by Riaz *et al.* [44] during studying the *Trogoderma granarium*. He mentioned that highest rate of oviposition was obtained at 30 °C or 35 °C but reduced at 20 °C or 40 °C. Development from egg to adult was lowest at 35 °C but extended at 25 °C. No larva was converted into pupa at extreme temperature. So, the results indicate that growth restricted at both extreme temperatures while showed maximum development at 35 °C. Similarly, relative humidity affect the life cycle of rice weevil as

described by Dermott and Evans [45] who treated the *R. dominica*, *S. cerealella* and *S. oryzae* for proper control by manipulate the surrounding and decrease the moisture contents by exposing hot air (70, 80 and 60 °C) for various range of time periods such as 4 to 12 minutes. The significant results were obtained when temperature ranging from 59 to 65 °C to increase the wheat grain temperature. Due to these treatments, the internal and primary insect pests such as *R. dominica* and *S. oryzae* were also controlled and save the stored gains. So, increase in relative humidity will increase the population growth of these insects including *S. oryzae*.

#### 5. Conclusion

From the present study, it is concluded that the at 30 and 35 °C, the development time period of rice weevil was very short so it show greater population growth so below 30 °C or above 35 °C which was not suitable for development hence temperature and relative humidity can greatly affect the life stage of internal pests like *Sitophilus oryzae* and can be used as combination with any chemical or physical measures because these factors can increase the efficacy and reduce the resistance of the pest against them.

#### 6. References

- Hagstrum DW, Subramanyam EEBB, Hagstrum DW. Integrated management of insects in stored products. No. SB937 I57, 1996.
- Jacobs S, Calvin D. Weevils on stored grain. College of Agriculture Science. Cooperative Extension. The Pennsylvania State University. 2001, 1-2.
- Kay M. Grass and cereal diets in relation to maximum output of lean meat per head and per hectare from beef cattle publication, commission of the European communities, 1976, 312-313.
- White GD, Berdnt WL, Wilson JL. Evaluating diatomaceous earth, silica aerogel dust, and malathion to protect stored wheat from insects. USDA Marketing Res. Rep. 1975, 1038.
- Longstaff BC. Biology of the grain pest species of the genus *Sitophilus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): a critical review. Protection Ecology. 1981; 3(2):83-130.
- Singh K. Influence of milled rice on insect infestation. Journal of Applied Entomology. 1981; 92(1-5):472-477.
- Hardman JM. Environmental changes associated with the growth of populations of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) confined in small cells of wheat. Journal of Stored Products

- Research. 1977; 13(2):45-52.
8. Hill Dennis S, Hill Dennis S. Pest of Stored Food Stuffs and Thier Control. Springer, 2002.
  9. Pittendrigh BR, Huesing JE, Shade RE, Murdock LL. Monitoring of rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae*, feeding behavior in maize seeds and the occurrence of supernumerary molts in low humidity conditions. *Entomologia experimentalis et applicate*. 1997; 83(2):225-231.
  10. Jacobs S, Calvin D. Weevils on stored grin. College of Agriculture Science. Cooperative Extension. The Pennsylvania State University. 2001, 1-2.
  11. Campbell JF. Fitness consequences of multiple mating on female *Sitophilus oryzae*. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Environmental entomology*. 2005; 34(4):833-843.
  12. Mookerjee PB, Chawla ML. Effect of temperature and humidity on the development of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.), a serious pest of stored pulses. *Indian Journal of Entomology*. 1964; 26:345-351.
  13. Murray WJ. Infestation patterns in the Aust wheat industry during past two decades – immediate short term solution and prospective for the future. Aust Contribution to Symposium on the Protection of Grain Against Insect Damage During Storage. 1979, 7-14.
  14. Ware GW, Whitacre DM. The Pesticide Book. Edn 6, Meister Media Worldwide, Willoughby, Ohio, 2004.
  15. Błoszyk E, Nawrot J, Harmatha J, Drożdż B, Chmielewicz Z. Effectiveness of antifeedants of plant origin in protection of packaging materials against storage insects. *Journal of Applied Entomology*. 1990; 110(1-5):96-100.
  16. Dayal R, Tripathi RA. Comparative efficacy of some botanicals as protectant against *Sitophilus oryzae* in rice and its palatability. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences*. 2003; 11(1):160-162.
  17. Athanassiou CG, Kavallieratos NG, Chintzoglou GJ, Peteinatos GG, Boukouvala MC, Petrou SS et al. Effect of temperature and commodity on insecticidal efficacy of spinosad dust against *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). *Journal of economic entomology*. 2008; 101(3):976-981.
  18. Fields P, Timlick B. Control of the Indian meal moth using low temperature and diatomaceous earth. Final report submitted to A.E. Mckenzie Co. Ltd. Granarium Everts. Hilgardia. 1994; 24:1-36.
  19. Korunic Z, Cenkowski S, Fields P. Grain bulk density as affected by diatomaceous earth and application method. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 1998; 13(1):81-89.
  20. Quarles W. Diatomaceous earth for pest control. *IPM practitioner*. 1992; 14(5, 6):1-11.
  21. Abrams HK. Diatomaceous earth pneumoconiosis: some sociomedical observations. *American Journal of Public Health and the Nations Health*. 1954; 44(5):592-599.
  22. Glenn DM, Puterka GJ, Vanderzwet T, Byers RE, Feldhake C. Hydrophobic particle films: a new paradigm for suppression of arthropod pests and plant diseases. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 1999; 92(4):759-771.
  23. Dal Bello G, Padin S, Lastra CL, Fabrizio M. Laboratory evaluation of chemical-biological control of the rice weevil (*Sitophilus oryzae* L.) in stored grains. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2000; 37(1):77-84.
  24. Ryoo MI, Yoon TJ, Shin SS. Intra-and Interspecific Competition Among Two Parasitoids of the Rice Weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Environmental entomology*. 1996; 25(5):1101-1108.
  25. Champ BR. Control of stored grain pests in Queensland. *Austral Entomology*. 1963; 2(1):1-3.
  26. Evans DE, Dermott T. Dosage-mortality relationships for *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) exposed to heat in a fluidized bed. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 1981; 17(2):53-64.
  27. Carde RT. Principles of mating disruption. *Behavior-Modifying Chemicals for Pest Management: Applications of Pheromones and Other Attractants*. Marcel Dekker, New York. 1990, 47-71.
  28. Bailey SW. Air-tight storage of grain; its effects on insect pests. II. *Calandra oryzae* (small strain). *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1956; 7(1):7-19.
  29. Mbata GN. Some aspects of the biology of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) a pest of stored bambarra groundnuts. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*. 1990; 11(2):227-234.
  30. Maier DE, Adams WH, Throne JE, Mason LJ. Temperature management of the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), in three locations in the United States. *Journal of stored products research*. 1996; 32(3):255-273.
  31. Arthur FH. Evaluation of a new insecticide formulation (F2) as a protectant of stored wheat, maize, and rice. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2004; 40(3):317-330.
  32. Dean GA. Further data on heat as a means of controlling mill insects. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 1913; 6(1):40-55.
  33. Dean GA. Heat as a means of controlling mill insects. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 1911; 4(2):142-161.
  34. Dowdy AK, Fields PG. Heat combined with diatomaceous earth to control the confused flour beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in a flour mill. *Journal of Stored Products Research*. 2002; 38(1):11-22.
  35. Dowdy AK. Heat sterilization as an alternative to methyl bromide fumigation in cereal processing plants. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection*. 1998; 2:14-19.
  36. Boina D, Subramanyam B. Relative susceptibility of *Tribolium confusum* life stages exposed to elevated temperatures. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 2004; 97(6):2168-2173.
  37. Armstrong JW, Tang J, Wang S. Thermal death kinetics of Mediterranean, Malaysian, melon, and oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) eggs and third instars. *Journal of economic entomology*. 2009; 102(2):522-532.
  38. Ebeling W, Wagner RE. Influence of humidity on the volatilization of insecticide residues as affected by formulation and substrate. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 1965; 58(2):240-245.
  39. Nguyen TT. Genetics of phosphine resistance in the rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), 2016.
  40. Imura O, Nakakita H. The effect of temperature and relative humidity on the development of *Tribolium freemani* HINTON (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of stored products research*. 1984; 20(2):87-95.
  41. Mainali BP, Kim HJ, Park CG, Yoon YN, Lee YH, Park IH et al. Interactive effects of temperature and relative

- humidity on oviposition and development of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) on azuki bean. Journal of Stored Products Research. 2015; 63:47-50.
42. Okelana FA, Osuji FNC. Influence of relative humidity at 30° C on the oviposition, development and mortality of *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in maize kernels. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1985; 21(1):13-19.
43. Lale NES, Vidal S. Intraspecific and interspecific competition in *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) and *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic) on stored bambara groundnut, *Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdcourt. Journal of stored products research. 2001; 37(4):329-338.
44. Riaz T, Shakoori FR, Ali SS. Effect of temperature on the development, survival, fecundity and longevity of stored grain pest, *Trogoderma granarium*. Pakistan Journal Zool. 2014; 46(6):1485-1489.
45. Dermott T, Evans DE. An evaluation of fluidized-bed heating as a means of disinfesting wheat. Journal of Stored Products Research. 1978; 14(1):1-12.