



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

JEZS 2017; 5(6): 1378-1387

© 2017 JEZS

Received: 16-09-2017

Accepted: 20-10-2017

Priscila Rodrigues Calil

(A) Federal University of São Carlos, Rodovia Washington Luiz Km 235, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil

(B) Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Irys Hany Lima Gonzalez

Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Paula Andrea Borges Salgado

Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

João Batista da Cruz

(A) Federal University of São Carlos, Rodovia Washington Luiz Km 235, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil

(B) Technical-Scientific Directory, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Patrícia Locosque Ramos

(A) Federal University of São Carlos, Rodovia Washington Luiz Km 235, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil

(B) Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Carolina Romeiro Fernandes Chagas

Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Correspondence**Carolina Romeiro Fernandes Chagas**

Applied Research Department, São Paulo Zoo Foundation Foundation, Av. Miguel Estéfano 4241, São Paulo, SP 04301-905, Brazil

Hemogregarine parasites in wild captive animals, a broad study in São Paulo Zoo

Priscila Rodrigues Calil, Irys Hany Lima Gonzalez, Paula Andrea Borges Salgado, João Batista da Cruz, Patrícia Locosque Ramos and Carolina Romeiro Fernandes Chagas

Abstract

Hemogregarine is a group of blood parasites that infect a wide variety of vertebrates and hematophagous invertebrates. The signs of infection can range from anemia to severe interference in host's fitness. The purpose of this study was to gather information from the database available at the Clinical Analyses Laboratory at São Paulo Zoo Foundation in the last ten years and determine the occurrence of hemogregarine parasites in captive animals of the São Paulo Zoo Foundation. The analysis was conducted on the haemoparasitic results from 2972 blood samples, of 1637 individuals of all terrestrial vertebrate group (mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians). Positive results were observed in 1.1% of the individuals and this parasite was found only in reptiles and amphibians. The lack of study with hemogregarine parasites infecting reptiles and amphibians is evident; this work will contribute to the knowledge of parasitological data for captive animals in future works.

Keywords: haemoparasites, *Hepatozoon*, reptile, amphibian, Apicomplexa

1. Introduction

Apicomplexan parasites from Adeleina suborder are recognized as hemogregarine and are composed of six genera: *Cyrtilia*, *Desseria*, *Haemogregarina*, *Hemolivia*, *Hepatozoon*, and *Karyolysus* [1-3]. *Cyrtilia* and *Desseria* are known as fish parasites and are transmitted by leeches [1, 4]. *Haemogregarina* can be found in aquatic and terrestrial hosts, such as fishes, turtles, tortoises and amphibians, being transmitted by leeches, mosquitoes and ticks [1, 5]. *Hemolivia* has only three species described so far, the reports consisting of infections in amphibians and tortoises, being transmitted by ticks, and is the only hemogregarine parasite that has erythrocyte merogony [6]. The most known parasite of this group is *Hepatozoon*, which has been reported in mammals, birds, amphibians and reptiles and can be transmitted by ticks and mosquitoes or by ingestion of another infected vertebrate host [2, 3, 7]. *Karyolysus* has been reported in lizards from Europe and Asia and has as the only vector the mite *Ophionyssus* sp. [8]. Traditionally, studies that identified these parasites used only erythrocytic stage of development, and these descriptions are based on the measure of the length and width of gamont and its nucleus [3, 7, 9]. Despite that, differentiating the parasite genera using only morphological features of blood stages can be difficult and it is not recommended by many authors [1, 2, 10]. Nevertheless, parasites' morphology can vary according to the technique used to made blood smears [8], and is already known, for other intraerythrocytic parasites, that they can have some morphological variations depending on their hosts [11]. To describe new species and identify parasite genus it is recommended to combine data of its ultrastructural features, blood, tissue and vector development stages [1, 2, 9].

Even, there is few data about clinical symptoms for five of six genera of these parasites; for *Hepatozoon* they are better known, especially for mammals [12]. Notwithstanding the fact that infections in wild animals are usually subclinical [13, 14], some symptoms have been associated with anaemia, erythrocyte hypertrophy, haemoglobin loss and alterations in the plasma membrane of erythrocytes [15]. Although the lack of information about the effects of hemogregarine infections in their hosts, it is well known that parasites can influence the fitness, life cycle and even reproduction and offspring survival rates [16-18].

Zoos and aquariums have the role to promote a clear view of the contribution that they can make as stakeholders of the global conservation efforts [19].

So, taking this into consideration, the objective of this work was to gather the information available in the database of the clinical analyses laboratory during the last nine years and report the occurrence of hemogregarine parasites in captive wild animals from São Paulo Zoo Foundation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

São Paulo Zoo Foundation (SPZF) is located inside the Parque Estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga, one of the most important remaining fragments of the Atlantic Rain Forest in São Paulo City that harbors some of the Ipiranga stream and shelter dozens of native species. Since 1958, SPZF provides interaction with nature and technical professional training, and lately, has been intensely involved in scientific research, integrated actions for *ex situ* and *in situ* conservation of wildlife, effective participation in national plans for maintenance and reproduction of endangered native species allied to education programs to promote citizenship and conscience on environmental awareness^[20].

2.2 Population studied and sample preparation and analysis

This study conducted a retrospective analysis of hemogregarine parasites in wild captive animals using the results of exams of 2972 blood samples collected from 1637 individuals, including 639 avian, 616 mammals, 375 reptiles, and seven amphibians. The avian group is represented by 130 species of 26 different families (Table 1); mammals by 96 species and 32 families (Table 2); reptiles by 66 species of 21 families (Table 3); and amphibian by four species and three families (Table 4). All exam that presented positive results for hemogregarine or *Hepatozoon* sp. were considered positive for this study.

The samples were collected during the veterinary routine for preventive or emergency exams and sent to the Clinical Analyses Laboratory at SPZF, in the period of April 2007 to May 2016. Laboratory already has a protocol for blood collection and processing, blood smears are prepared with or without the anticoagulants (EDTA or lithium heparin); air dried and stained using Rosenfeld technique^[21] for reptiles, amphibians and birds, while mammals had their smears stained by a rapid stain Instant-Prov (New Prov). Each blood smear has 100 field examined under low magnification (400X) and in oil immersion (1000X)^[22].

3. Results

Hemogregarine infections were found in 0.8% of evaluated samples (23/2972). The positive cases represented 1.1% of all individuals sampled (18/1637), but only reptiles and amphibians were positive (Table 5). The positive results represented 3% reptiles sampled (20/672) and 42.8% of amphibians sampled (3/7). The parasite was present in 18 individuals: one lizard, three amphibians and 14 snakes (Table 6).

The parasite was found in seven species of snakes (*Hydrodynastes gigas*, *Eunectes murinus*, *Epicrates chenchria*, *Corallus caninus*, *Boa constrictor*, *Spilotes pullatus*, *Corallus hortulanus*), one species of lizard (*Varanus doreanus*) and in two species of amphibians (*Rhinella marina*, *Rhinella schneideri*). All birds and mammals that had their results analyzed were negative for hemogregarine infections.

4. Discussion

The analysis of results of the microscopic examination to

detect the presence of hemogregarine parasites in blood smears of captive wild animals was conducted at the Laboratory of Clinical Analyses of SPZF, using samples processed during the last ten years, and it is one of the largest studies done in this field.

It is known that Hemogregarine parasites have very similar morphological characteristics, being hard to differentiate them in their blood stage using only microscopic analysis^[1, 2]. These parasites infects erythrocytes which can be deformed during blood smears preparation, affecting parasite shape if this procedure is not properly done^[8, 23]. On the other hand, prior studies have suggested that hemogregarines found in reptiles and birds should be referred as *Hepatozoon*^[1, 3]. For that reason, it is suggested that hemogregarine found in this study belong to genus *Hepatozoon*.

This study had seven species of snakes with infection by hemogregarine infections, all of them had already been described harboring these parasites^[24, 25]. The only positive lizard was *Varanus doreanus*, but there is no report of *Hepatozoon* spp. infections in this host.

The prevalence of infections found in this study (1.1%) is lower than infections found by *Hepatozoon* sp., the most common hemoparasite found in reptiles^[7], with the prevalence ranging from 8% to 48%^[26-29]. Among the reptiles that have been described as infected with this parasite, the most common are *Boa constrictor*^[30-32] and *Crotalus durissus*^[32-34]. Besides the varieties of *Hepatozoon* spp. found in snakes, it is worth to highlight the presence of this parasite in *Caiman c. crocodilus*^[35], *Gecko gecko*^[36] and tuataras species^[37], in the present study there was no infections by hemogregarine in any of *Caiman* sp. or *Gecko* sp.

In the amphibians group, the parasite was found in two species of toads, there was not was found any literature report for *Hepatozoon* spp. infections in the toad species that were positive in this study; despite of that, these infections should be more carefully investigated to confirm the hemogregarine species involved in the infections. The prevalence of infections in amphibians was of 42.8% in this study, which corroborates with the literature that shows prevalence in amphibian ranging between 2.6% to 75.4% and can vary seasonally over spring and winter^[38-40]. *Hepatozoon* spp. were already reported infecting mainly *Rana catesbeiana*^[35, 39, 41, 42], *Rana clamitans*^[39, 41-44], *Rana pipiens*^[42, 45]; but can also infects *Seychelles caecilians*^[46], *Amietia queckettii*^[40], *Leptopelis christyi*, *Leptopelis kivuensis*, *Ptychadena mascareniensis*^[47], *Leptodactylus chaquensis*, *Leptodactylus podicipinus*^[38], *Rana pretiosa*^[48] and *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*^[49], but these studies were carried out only in wildlife animals. About the body size of the amphibians studied, it is important to highlight the difficulty to obtain adequate blood sample volume for analysis in small animals, such as the body size of most captive amphibians at SPZF. For this reason, this data does not represent a characteristic of our collection and they should be analyzed carefully.

There was not any positive bird species for hemogregarine infections in this study. *Hepatozoon* species found in birds are relatively uncommon and little is known about its life cycle, symptoms and virulence in infected animals^[50]. Some authors described many species of *Hepatozoon* in birds^[51-53], but the use of molecular techniques showed that some of them were from *Lankesteria* sp. and not *Hepatozoon* sp. Although the presence of *Hepatozoon* is rare in birds, the most part of findings was in water birds. Frequency of *Hepatozoon* sp. infections in *Oceanodroma* spp. was reported as 42.5%^[54]; and the parasite was found in the freshwater birds

Acrocephalus arundinaceus and *Acrocephalus scirpaceus* [55]. A prevalence of 31.2% based on microscopy was also registered in *Cyanistes caeruleus* [56].

The presence of hemogregarine in mammals was not verified in the present study. Despite of that, they can infect a wide variety of mammals, mainly in canids and felids, and the study of the host-parasite relationship has increased in the past decade due to its veterinary importance [57]. A molecular survey realized in different Brazilian zoos with this parasite in captive canids, found *Hepatozoon* spp. in bush dogs in the same study site of the present study [58]. For Brazilian mammals, a *Hepatozoon* spp. prevalence of 78.2% was registered in *Cerdocyon thous* and 71.4% in *Leopardus pardalis* [59]. *Hepatozoon* was also detected in *Nasua nasua* and *Procyon cancrivorus* [60]. For exotic species of mammals, this parasite was found in five out of nine *Panthera leo*, two out of five *Panthera tigris tigris*, two out of four *Panthera pardus* and described a *Hepatozoon ursi* in *Melursus ursinus* [61, 62]. Some other studies show that *Hepatozoon* spp. can

occur in *Vulpes vulpes* [63] and *Canis mesomelas* [64].

Around 45% of reptile and 85% of amphibians species had their conservation status evaluated by International Union for Conservation of Nature Redlist (IUCN) [65]; knowing the conservation status of positive animals is as important as know the fitness influence that hemogregarine parasites cause in their hosts, especially in wildlife conservation field. The IUCN classifies *Corallus caninus*, *Corallus hortulanus*, *Rhinella marina*, *Rhinella schneideri* and *Varanus doreanus* as least concern, while *Eunectes murinus*, *Spilotes pullatus* and *Boa constrictor* are classified as not threatened [65]. There is no data for *Hydrodynastes gigas* in IUCN Redlist, but is classified as endangered by the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade [66]. There is no data about the conservation status for *Epicrates chenchria*. All of the positive species are native from Brazil, except for *Varanus doreanus* that is native from Australia, Indonesia and Papua New Guinea [65].

Table 1: Species of birds sampled in this study

Specie	N	n
<i>Acridotheres cristatellus</i>	2	2
<i>Alopochen aegyptiaca</i>	11	8
<i>Amazona aestiva</i>	27	10
<i>Amazona amazonica</i>	3	3
<i>Amazona brasiliensis</i>	8	8
<i>Amazona farinosa</i>	5	3
<i>Amazona festiva</i>	9	7
<i>Amazona ochrocephala</i>	9	3
<i>Amazona rhodocorytha</i>	5	4
<i>Amazona vinacea</i>	10	7
<i>Amazonetta brasiliensis</i>	6	4
<i>Anodorhynchus hyacinthinus</i>	39	26
<i>Anodorhynchus leari</i>	25	15
<i>Anser anser</i>	1	1
<i>Anser cygnoides</i>	1	1
<i>Ara ararauna</i>	7	5
<i>Ara chloropterus</i>	6	3
<i>Ara macao</i>	11	4
<i>Ara rubrogenys</i>	5	5
<i>Ara severus</i>	2	2
<i>Aramides cajaneus</i>	1	1
<i>Aratinga jandaya</i>	6	2
<i>Aratinga nenday</i>	6	3
<i>Asio stygius</i>	4	3
<i>Balearica regulorum</i>	12	8
<i>Branta canadensis</i>	1	1
<i>Brotogeris tirica</i>	1	1
<i>Bubo virginianus</i>	3	1
<i>Buceros rhinoceros</i>	8	1
<i>Bucorvus abyssinicus</i>	11	4
<i>Buteogallus coronatus</i>	7	3
<i>Buteogallus lacernulata</i>	10	5
<i>Buteogallus meridionalis</i>	5	4
<i>Buteogallus urubitinga</i>	4	2
<i>Cacatua alba</i>	1	1
<i>Cacatua galerita</i>	6	2
<i>Cacatua moluccensis</i>	1	1
<i>Cacatua s. citrinocristata</i>	1	1
<i>Caracara plancus</i>	4	1
<i>Cariama cristata</i>	6	2
<i>Cereopsis novaehollandiae</i>	21	9
<i>Chauna torquata</i>	3	2
<i>Chenonetta jubata</i>	8	2
<i>Chunga burmeisteri</i>	14	5
<i>Coscoroba coscoroba</i>	20	18
<i>Crax blumenbachii</i>	1	1

<i>Crax rubra rubra</i>	1	1
<i>Cyanopsitta spixii</i>	4	2
<i>Cygnus atratus</i>	80	65
<i>Cygnus melanocoryphus</i>	28	19
<i>Dendrocygna bicolor</i>	4	1
<i>Dendrocygna viduata</i>	8	7
<i>Deropteryx accipitrinus</i>	4	2
<i>Dromaius novaehollandiae</i>	9	6
<i>Egretta thula</i>	1	1
<i>Eos bornea</i>	4	4
<i>Eudocimus ruber</i>	7	6
<i>Eupsittula aurea</i>	3	3
<i>Falco femoralis</i>	4	2
<i>Geranoaetus albicaudatus</i>	4	2
<i>Geranoaetus melanoleucos</i>	7	3
<i>Graydidascalus brachyurus</i>	1	1
<i>Guaruba guaruba</i>	10	6
<i>Gypohierax angolensis</i>	1	1
<i>Haliaeetus vocifer</i>	4	1
<i>Harpia harpyja</i>	17	10
<i>Leptodon cayanensis</i>	1	1
<i>Megascops choliba</i>	6	4
<i>Milvago chimachima</i>	2	1
<i>Mitu tomentosum</i>	6	4
<i>Mitu tuberosum</i>	1	1
<i>Musophaga violacea</i>	3	3
<i>Netta erythrophthalma</i>	2	2
<i>Nothocrax urumutum</i>	5	4
<i>Nymphicus hollandicus</i>	1	1
<i>Odontophorus capueira</i>	2	2
<i>Pavo cristatus</i>	52	35
<i>Pavo muticus</i>	1	1
<i>Pelecanus onocrotalus</i>	3	3
<i>Penelope sp.</i>	2	2
<i>Phoeniconaias minor</i>	2	2
<i>Phoenicopterus chilensis</i>	49	37
<i>Pionites leucogaster</i>	12	8
<i>Pionopsitta pileata</i>	1	1
<i>Pionus fuscus</i>	6	4
<i>Pionus maximiliani</i>	2	2
<i>Pionus menstruus</i>	9	4
<i>Pipile jacutinga</i>	6	3
<i>Platalea ajaja</i>	1	1
<i>Plectropterus gambensis</i>	6	4
<i>Poicephalus senegalus</i>	9	2
<i>Primolius auricollis</i>	3	3
<i>Primolius maracana</i>	5	2
<i>Psarocolius decumanus</i>	2	1
<i>Pseudastur polionota</i>	1	1
<i>Pseudoscops clamator</i>	15	3
<i>Psittacara leucophthalmus</i>	4	2
<i>Psittacus erithacus erithacus</i>	1	1
<i>Pteroglossus aracari</i>	17	5
<i>Pteroglossus bailloni</i>	9	4
<i>Pteroglossus castanotis</i>	2	2
<i>Pteroglossus inscriptus</i>	1	1
<i>Pulsatrix koeniswaldiana</i>	2	2
<i>Pulsatrix perspicillata</i>	9	4
<i>Pyroderus scutatus</i>	2	1
<i>Pyrrhura frontalis</i>	7	3
<i>Pyrrhura lepida lepida</i>	10	9
<i>Pyrrhura perlata</i>	4	2
<i>Ramphastos dicolorus</i>	32	7
<i>Ramphastos toco</i>	34	11
<i>Ramphastos tucanus</i>	28	5
<i>Ramphastos vitellinus</i>	16	3
<i>Rhea americana</i>	4	4
<i>Rupicola rupicola</i>	1	1
<i>Sarcoramphus papa</i>	16	8

<i>Selenidera maculirostris</i>	41	11
<i>Spheniscus magellanicus</i>	22	7
<i>Spizaetus ornatus</i>	24	10
<i>Spizaetus tyrannus</i>	12	6
<i>Struthio camelus</i>	20	15
<i>Tadorna ferruginea</i>	16	7
<i>Tadorna radjah</i>	8	2
<i>Tadorna tadornoides</i>	8	2
<i>Tadorna variegata</i>	2	1
<i>Tauraco leucotis</i>	5	4
<i>Theatocercus acuticaudatus</i>	3	2
<i>Therisdicus caudatus</i>	1	1
<i>Trigonoceps occipitalis</i>	9	1
<i>Tyto alba</i>	6	4
<i>Vultur gryphus</i>	2	1

N= number of samples; n= number of individuals.

Table 2: Species of mammals sampled in this study

Specie	N	n
<i>Addax nasomaculatus</i>	34	7
<i>Aepyceros melampus</i>	4	1
<i>Alouatta caraya</i>	15	3
<i>Alouatta guariba clamitans</i>	14	4
<i>Ammotragus lervia</i>	21	5
<i>Aotus trivirgatus</i>	4	2
<i>Ateles chamek</i>	4	4
<i>Ateles marginatus</i>	7	3
<i>Ateles paniscus</i>	6	3
<i>Ateles sp.</i>	25	15
<i>Bison bonasus</i>	13	7
<i>Brachyteles arachnoides</i>	10	3
<i>Bradypus variegatus</i>	1	1
<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>	2	2
<i>Callithrix penicillata</i>	1	1
<i>Camelus bactrianus</i>	8	5
<i>Camelus dromedarius</i>	3	3
<i>Canis lupus</i>	16	5
<i>Caracal caracal</i>	4	2
<i>Cebus kaapori</i>	4	3
<i>Cebus olivaceus</i>	10	5
<i>Ceratotherium simum</i>	4	1
<i>Cerdocyon thous</i>	40	8
<i>Cervus elaphus</i>	27	11
<i>Choloepus didactylus</i>	9	3
<i>Choloepus hoffmanni</i>	2	1
<i>Chrysocyon brachyurus</i>	28	6
<i>Cuniculus paca</i>	1	1
<i>Dama dama</i>	70	37
<i>Dasyprocta azarae</i>	1	1
<i>Dasyprocta novemcinctus</i>	3	1
<i>Didelphis aurita</i>	3	2
<i>Eira barbara</i>	13	4
<i>Elephas maximus</i>	3	2
<i>Equus grevyi</i>	6	4
<i>Eudorcas thompsonii</i>	2	2
<i>Galictis vittata</i>	3	3
<i>Giraffa camelopardalis</i>	7	4
<i>Hippopotamus amphibius</i>	2	2
<i>Hylobates lar</i>	2	1
<i>Kobus e. ellipsiprymnus</i>	22	13
<i>Lagothrix lagotricha</i>	14	4
<i>Lama glama</i>	11	6
<i>Lama pacos</i>	4	2
<i>Leontopithecus chrysomelas</i>	102	59
<i>Leontopithecus chrysopygus</i>	62	27
<i>Leontopithecus rosalia</i>	10	4
<i>Leopardus colocolo</i>	14	9
<i>Leopardus geoffroyi</i>	36	14
<i>Leopardus pardalis</i>	11	4

<i>Leopardus tigrinus</i>	113	40
<i>Leopardus wiedii</i>	29	11
<i>Leptailurus serval</i>	50	9
<i>Lontra longicaudis</i>	4	3
<i>Lycaon pictus</i>	2	2
<i>Mazama americana</i>	1	1
<i>Mazama gouazoubira</i>	7	2
<i>Mico argentata</i>	5	3
<i>Myrmecophaga tridactyla</i>	25	13
<i>Nasua nasua</i>	23	7
<i>Oryx gazella</i>	7	3
<i>Otaria flavescens</i>	2	2
<i>Pan troglodytes</i>	21	9
<i>Panthera leo</i>	46	14
<i>Panthera onca</i>	21	7
<i>Panthera pardus melas</i>	1	1
<i>Panthera tigris altaica</i>	28	11
<i>Panthera tigris tigris</i>	9	4
<i>Panthera uncia</i>	1	1
<i>Papio cynocephalus</i>	1	1
<i>Phacochoerus africanus</i>	2	2
<i>Pithecia albicans</i>	8	2
<i>Pithecia pithecia</i>	4	3
<i>Pongo pygmaeus</i>	8	2
<i>Potos flavus</i>	5	2
<i>Prionailurus viverrinus</i>	2	1
<i>Puma concolor</i>	8	4
<i>Puma yagouaroundi</i>	48	12
<i>Rusa unicolor</i>	11	7
<i>Saguinus midas niger</i>	11	4
<i>Saimiri sciureus</i>	6	3
<i>Sapajus apella</i>	43	29
<i>Sapajus flavius</i>	8	5
<i>Sapajus xanthosternos</i>	24	13
<i>Speothos venaticus</i>	7	4
<i>Sphiggurus villosus</i>	8	2
<i>Suricata suricatta</i>	14	10
<i>Tamandua tetradactyla</i>	49	16
<i>Tapirus terrestris</i>	16	5
<i>Tayassu pecari</i>	20	10
<i>Tayassu tajacu</i>	11	7
<i>Tragelaphus strepsiceros</i>	6	4
<i>Tremarctos ornatus</i>	3	2
<i>Ursus americanus</i>	3	2
<i>Ursus arctos</i>	2	1

N= number of samples; n= number of individuals.

Table 3: Species of reptiles sampled in this study

Specie	N	n
<i>Aldabrachelis gigantea</i>	10	4
<i>Amphisbaena alba</i>	2	2
<i>Astrochelys radiata</i>	1	1
<i>Boa constrictor</i>	22	11
<i>Bothrops alternatus</i>	7	4
<i>Bothrops jararaca</i>	5	4
<i>Bothrops jararacussu</i>	2	2
<i>Bothrops moojeni</i>	3	3
<i>Caiman crocodilus</i>	11	3
<i>Caiman latirostris</i>	33	11
<i>Caiman yacare</i>	3	1
<i>Chelonoidis carbonarius</i>	55	29
<i>Chelonoidis denticulatus</i>	38	22
<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>	25	7
<i>Corallus caninus</i>	4	3
<i>Corallus hortulanus</i>	12	4
<i>Corucia zebrata</i>	18	9
<i>Crotalus durissus</i>	4	4
<i>Cuora amboinensis</i>	2	1
<i>Drymarchon corais</i>	2	1

<i>Epicrates cenchria</i>	5	4
<i>Epicrates crassus</i>	3	2
<i>Epicrates maurus</i>	2	2
<i>Erytrolamprus miliaris</i>	5	3
<i>Eryx colubrinus</i>	2	2
<i>Eublepharis macularius</i>	3	3
<i>Eunectes murinus</i>	18	12
<i>Graptemys pseudogeographica</i>	2	1
<i>Hydrodynastes gigas</i>	5	3
<i>Hydromedusa tectifera</i>	1	1
<i>Iguana iguana</i>	41	13
<i>Kinosternon scorpioides</i>	13	9
<i>Lampropeltis californiae</i>	5	3
<i>Lampropeltis getula</i>	1	1
<i>Melanosuchus niger</i>	1	1
<i>Mesoclemmys tuberculata</i>	37	14
<i>Mesoclemmys vanderhaegei</i>	2	2
<i>Morelia spilota</i>	5	1
<i>Oxyrhophus guibei</i>	5	5
<i>Paleosuchus palpebrosus</i>	7	1
<i>Paleosuchus trigonatus</i>	7	2
<i>Philodryas olfersii</i>	1	1
<i>Philodryas patagoniensis</i>	4	4
<i>Phrynops geoffroanus</i>	13	8
<i>Phrynops hillarii</i>	10	10
<i>Podocnemis concinna</i>	1	1
<i>Podocnemis expansa</i>	20	18
<i>Podocnemis unifilis</i>	15	15
<i>Pogona vitticeps</i>	3	1
<i>Pseudemys concinna floridana</i>	4	3
<i>Python curtus</i>	2	1
<i>Python molurus</i>	1	1
<i>Python regius</i>	8	7
<i>Salvator merianae</i>	13	6
<i>Spilotes pullatus</i>	12	5
<i>Stigmochelys pardalis</i>	1	1
<i>Testudo graeca</i>	3	2
<i>Tiliqua scincoides</i>	8	3
<i>Tomistoma schlegelli</i>	5	2
<i>Trachemys dorbigni</i>	34	28
<i>Trachemys scripta elegans</i>	68	37
<i>Trachemys scripta scripta</i>	4	4
<i>Tropidrodryas striaticeps</i>	1	1
<i>Tupinambis rufescens</i>	7	2
<i>Varanus doreanus</i>	3	1
<i>Xenodon merremi</i>	2	2

N= number of samples; n= number of individuals.

Table 4: Species of amphibian sampled in this study

Specie	N	n
<i>Leptodactylus labyrinthicus</i>	3	3
<i>Lithobates catesbeianus</i>	1	1
<i>Rhinella marina</i>	2	2
<i>Rhinella schneideri</i>	1	1

N= number of samples; n= number of individuals.

Table 5: Prevalence of hemogregarine parasites in captive animals from São Paulo Zoo Foundation

	N (N+)	P (%)	n (n+)	p (%)
Amphibians	7 (3)	42.8	7 (3)	42.8
Birds	1152 (0)	0	639 (0)	0
Mammals	1141 (0)	0	616 (0)	0
Reptiles	672 (20)	3	375 (15)	4

N: number of samples; N+: number of positive samples; n: number of individuals; n+: number of positive individuals; P: prevalence of positive samples; p: prevalence of positive individuals.

Table 6: Positive species for hemogregarine parasites

Animal	N	n
<i>Rhinella marina</i>	2	2
<i>Rhinella schneideri</i>	1	1
<i>Boa constrictor</i>	1	1
<i>Corallus caninus</i>	2	2
<i>Corallus hortulanus</i>	2	2
<i>Epicrates cenchria</i>	3	3
<i>Epicrates cenchria crassus</i>	1	1
<i>Eunectes murinus</i>	1	1
<i>Hydrodynastes gigas</i>	1	1
<i>Spilotes pullatus</i>	6	3
<i>Varanus doreanus</i>	3	1

N= number of samples; n= number of individuals.

5. Conclusions

The role of hemogregarine parasites in wild animals is not completely understood, more studies addressing wild and captive animals should be done. The relevance of this study is supported by the considerable number of the samples and species that were analyzed, expecting that these findings would add a substantial contribution to the understanding on hemogregarine and their hosts, bringing more opportunities to improve studies and knowledge on this group of parasites. This study also provides a perception that training of professionals for conducting reliable microscopic analyses of diagnostic material is essential to maintain the good standards of laboratories devoted to recognition of parasitic evaluation of wildlife fauna. Considering that investigation and development of molecular techniques to pursue further studies is strongly recommended in this field, the Applied Research Department of São Paulo Zoo is currently establishing a molecular protocol for *Hepatozoon* spp. diagnosis to be used as a complementary technique to microscopic analyses of these species.

6. Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the São Paulo Zoo Foundation.

7. References

- Siddall ME. Phylogeny of Adeleid blood parasites with partial systematic revision of Haemogregarine complex. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*. 1995; 42(2):116-125.
- Smith TG. The genus *Hepatozoon* (Apicomplexa: Adeleina). *Journal of Parasitology*. 1996; 82(4):565-585.
- Telford SR. Hemoparasites of the Reptilia: Color atlas and text. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2009, 376.
- Magro NM, Oliveira AT, O'Dwyer LH. First report and description of a *Cyrtospora* sp. (Apicomplexa: Haemogregarinidae) from a freshwater cururu stingray *Potamotrygon cf. hystrix* (Elasmobranchii: Potamotrygonidae), from the Amazon Region, Brazil. *Journal of Fish Diseases*. 2016; 39(8):907-911.
- Karadjian G, Chavatte JM, Landaul I. Systematic revision of the adeleid haemogregarines, with creation of *Bartazoon* n. g., reassignment of *Hepatozoon argantis* Garnham, 1954 to *Hemolivia*, and molecular data on *Hemolivia stellata*. *Parasite*. 2015, 22-31.
- Kvičerová J, Hypša V, Dvořáková N, Mikulíček P, Jandzike D, Gardner MG *et al.* *Hemolivia* and *Hepatozoon*: Haemogregarines with tangled evolutionary relationships. *Protist*. 2014; (165):688-700.
- Telford SR. Haemoparasites of reptiles. In: *Diseases of Amphibians and Reptiles*. Hoff GL, Frye FL, Jacobson ER (Eds.). Plenum Press, New York, 1984, 385-517.
- Hassi AR. Blood parasitism by hemogregarines in central European lizards. *Herpetozoa*. 2012; (25):83-86.
- Sloboda M, Kamler M, Bulantová J, Votýpka J, Modrý D. A new specie of *Hepatozoon* (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) from *Python regius* (Serpentes: Pythonidae) and experimental transmission by a mosquito vector. *Journal of Parasitology*. 2007; 93(5):1189-1198.
- Ball GH, Chao J, Telford SR. The life history of *Hepatozoon rarefaciens* (Sambon and Seligmann, 1907) from *Drymarchon corais* (Colubridae) and its experimental transfer to *Constrictor constrictor* (Boidae). *Journal of Parasitology*. 1967; 53(5):897-909.
- Valkiūnas G. General section: methods of collection and investigation. In: *Avian Malaria Parasites and Other Haemosporidia*. Valkiūnas G. CRC Press, New York, 2005, 213-224.
- John DT. Tissue-Inhabiting Protozoans. In: *Parasitic Diseases of Wild Mammals*. Samuel WM, Pybus MJ, Kocan AA (Eds). 2nd edn, Iowa State University Press, Iowa, 2001, 460-519.
- Kocan AA, Cummings CA, Panciera RJ, Mathew JS, Ewing SA, Barker RW. Naturally occurring and experimentally transmitted *Hepatozoon americanum* in coyotes from Oklahoma. *Journal of Wildlife Disease*. 2000; 36(1):149-153.
- Metzger B, Santos PK dos, Rubini AS, Oliveira TG de, Pereira C, O'Dwyer LH. The first report of *Hepatozoon* sp. (Apicomplexa: Hepatozoidae) in neotropical felids from Brazil. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 2008; (152):28-33.
- Wozniak E, Kazacos K, Telford SR, McLaughlin G. Characterization of the clinical and anatomical pathological changes associated with *Hepatozoon mocassini* infections in unnatural reptilian hosts. *International Journal for Parasitology*. 1996; 26(2):141-146.
- Barta JR, Desser SS. Blood parasites of amphibians from Algonquin Park, Ontario. *Journal of Wildlife Disease*. 1984; 20(3):180-189.
- Madsen T, Ujvari B, Olsson M. Old pythons stay fit: effects of haematozoan infections on life history traits of a large tropical predator. *Oecologia*. 2005; (142):407-412.
- Wobeser GA. Parasitism: costs and effects. In: *Parasitic diseases of wild birds*. Atkinson CT, Thomas NJ, Hunter DB (Eds). Ames: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, Iowa, 2008, 3-9.
- Stämpfli AG. Building a future for wildlife - The world zoo and aquarium conservation strategy. *World Association of Zoos and Aquariums*. Bern, 2005, 72.
- Quem Somos. In: <http://www.zoologico.com.br/a-fundacao/quem-somos/>. 10 May, 2017.
- Rosenfeld G. Corante pancrômico para hematologia e citologia clínica: nova combinação dos componentes do May-Grünwald e do Giemsa num só corante de emprego rápido. *Memórias do Instituto Butantan*. 1947; 20(1):329-334.
- Godfrey RD, Fedynich AM, Pence DB. Quantification of hematozoa in blood smears. *Journal of Wildlife Disease*. 1987; 23(4):558-565.
- Krogstad DJ, Sutera SP, Boylan CW, Gluzman IY, Qian FF, Rao PR. Intraerythrocytic parasites and red cell deformability. *Blood Cells*. 1991; (17):209-221.
- Pessoa SB, Sacchetta L, Cavalheiro J. Notas sobre hemogregarinas de serpentes brasileiras -

- Hemogregarinas da *Hydrodynastes gigas* (Duméril et Bibron) e sua evolução. Revista Latinoamericana de microbiologia. 1970; (12):197-200.
25. Pessoa SB, Biasi P, Puerto G. Nota sobre a frequência de hemoparasitas em serpentes do Brasil. Memórias do Instituto Butantan. 1974; (58):69-118.
 26. Wicks RM, Spencer PB, Moolhuijzen P, Clark P. Morphological and molecular characteristics of a species of *Hepatozoon* Miller, 1908 (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) from the blood of *Isoodon obesulus* (Marsupialia: Peramelidae) in Western Australia. Systematic Parasitology. 2006; (65):19-25.
 27. Tomé B, Maia JP, Harris DJ. *Hepatozoon* infection prevalence in four snake genera: influence of diet, prey parasitemia levels, or parasite type? Journal of Parasitology. 2012; (98):913-917.
 28. Nasiri V, Mobedi I, Dalimi A, Mirakabadi AZ, Ghaffarifard F, Teymurzadeh S *et al.* A description of parasites from Iranian snakes. Experimental Parasitology. 2014; (147):7-15.
 29. Maia JP, Crottini A, Harris DJ. Microscopic and molecular characterization of *Hepatozoon domerguei* (Apicomplexa) and *Foleyella furcata* (Nematoda) in wild endemic reptiles from Madagascar. Parasite. 2014; 21:47.
 30. Thoisy B, Michel JC, Vogel I, Vié JC. A survey of hemoparasite infections in free-ranging mammals and reptiles in French Guiana. Journal of Parasitology. 2000; 86(5):1035-1040.
 31. Santos MM, O'Dwyer LH, Silva RJ. Seasonal variation of *Hepatozoon* spp. (Apicomplexa, Hepatozoidae) parasitemia from *Boa constrictor amarali* (Serpentes, Boidae) and *Hydrodynastes gigas* (Serpentes, Colubridae). Parasitology Research. 2005; (97):94-97.
 32. Moço TC, O'Dwyer LH, Vilela FC, Barrella TH, Silva RJ. Morphologic and morphometric analysis of *Hepatozoon* spp. (Apicomplexa, Hepatozoidae) of snakes. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2002; 97(8):1169-1176.
 33. Motta RO, Cunha LM, Leite RCL, Silva IJ, Pinto AC, Braga EM *et al.* *Hepatozoon* ssp. (Apicomplexa: Hepatozoidae) infection and selected hematological values of the neotropical rattlesnake, *Crotalus durissus collilineatus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Serpentes: Viperidae), from Brazil. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine. 2011; 42(3):399-407.
 34. Sumrandee C, Baimai V, Trinachartvanit W, Ahantarig A. *Hepatozoon* and *Theileria* species detected in ticks collected from mammals and snakes in Thailand. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases. 2015; 6(3):309-315.
 35. Lainson R, Paperna I, Naiff RD. Development of *Hepatozoon caimani* (Carini, 1909) Pessôa, De Biasi & De Souza, 1972 in the Caiman *Caiman c. crocodilus*, the Frog *Rana catesbeiana* and the Mosquito *Culex fatigans*. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2003; 98(1):103-113.
 36. Ursula H, Rüdiger K, Frank M, Monika R. Blood parasites in reptiles imported to Germany. Parasitology Research. 2014; (113):4587-4599.
 37. Godfrey SS, Moore JA, Nelson NJ, Bull M. Social network structure and parasite infection patterns in a territorial reptile, the tuatara (*Sphenodon punctatus*). International Journal for Parasitology. 2010; (40):1575-1585.
 38. Leal DD, Dreyer CS, Silva RJ, Ribolla PE, Paduan KS, Bianchi I *et al.* Characterization of *Hepatozoon* spp. in *Leptodactylus chaquensis* and *Leptodactylus podicipinus* from two regions of the Pantanal, state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Parasitology Research. 2015; 114(4):1541-1549.
 39. Boulianne B, Evans RC, Smith TG. Phylogenetic analysis of *Hepatozoon* species (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) infecting frogs of Nova Scotia, Canada, determined by ITS-1 sequences. Journal of Parasitology. 2007; 93(6):1435-1144.
 40. Netherlands EC, Cook CA, Smith NJ, Preez LH. Redescription and molecular diagnosis of *Hepatozoon theileri* (Laveran, 1905) (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina: Hepatozoidae), infecting *Amietia quecketti* (Anura: Pyxicephalidae). Folia Parasitologica. 2014; 61(4):293-300.
 41. Dickson CM, Ogbuah CT, Smith TG. The role of gamont entry into erythrocytes in the specificity of *Hepatozoon* species (Apicomplexa: Adeleida) for their frog hosts. Journal of Parasitology. 2013; 99(6):1028-1033.
 42. Smith TG, Kim B, Hong H, Desser SS. Intraerythrocytic development of species of *Hepatozoon* infecting ranid frogs: evidence for convergence of life cycle characteristics among apicomplexans. Journal of Parasitology. 2000; 86(3):451-458.
 43. Leveille AN, Ogedengbe ME, Hafeez MA, Tu H, Barta JR. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Hepatozoon catesbiana* (Apicomplexa: Coccidia: Adeleorina), a blood parasite of the Green frog, *Lithobates* (Formerly *Rana*) *clamitans*. Journal of Parasitology. 2014; 100(5):651-656.
 44. Shutler D, Smith TG, Robinson SR. Relationships between leukocytes and *Hepatozoon* spp. in green frogs, *Rana clamitans*. Journal of Wildlife Disease. 2009; 45(1):67-72.
 45. Smith TG, Desser SS. Ultrastructural features of cystic and merogonic stages of *Hepatozoon sipedon* (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) in northern leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*) and northern water snakes (*Nerodia sipedon*) from Ontario, Canada. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology. 1998; 45(4):419-425.
 46. Harris DJ, Damas-Moreira I, Maia JP, Perera A. First report of *Hepatozoon* (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) in Caecilians, with description of a new species. Journal of Parasitology. 2014; 100(1):117-120.
 47. Readell AM, Goldberg TL. Blood parasites of frogs from an Equatorial African Montane Forest in Western Uganda. Journal of Parasitology. 2010; 96(2):448-450.
 48. Stenberg PL, Bowerman WJ. First report of *Hepatozoon* sp. in the Oregon spotted frog, *Rana pretiosa*. Journal of Wildlife Disease. 2010; 46(3):956-960.
 49. Sailasuta A, Satetasit J, Chutmongkonku M. Pathological study of blood parasites in rice field frogs, *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* (Wiegmann, 1834). Veterinary Medicine International. 2011, 850568.
 50. Valkiūnas G, Mobley K, Iezhova TA. *Hepatozoon ellisgreineri* n. sp. (Hepatozoidae): description of the first avian apicomplexan blood parasite inhabiting granulocytes. Parasitology Research. 2016; 115(2):609-613.
 51. Kruszewicz AG, Dyrz A. *Hepatozoon kabeeni* n. sp. (Protozoa: Apicomplexa; Hemogregarina) from the sedge warbler, *Acrocephalus schoenobaenus* (Aves: Passeriformes). Wiadomości Parazytologiczne. 2000; (46):507-510.
 52. Peirce MA. A checklist of the valid avian species of

- Babesia* (Apicomplexa: Piroplasmorida), *Haemoproteus*, *Leucocytozoon* (Apicomplexa: Haemosporida), and *Hepatozoon* (Apicomplexa: Haemogregarinidae). Journal of Natural History. 2005; 39(42):3621-3632.
53. Barraclough RK, Robert V, Peirce MA. New species of haematozoa from the avian families Campephagidae and Apodidae. Parasite. 2008; (15):105-110.
 54. Merino S, Martínez J, Masello JF, Bedolla Y, Source PQ. First molecular characterization of a *Hepatozoon* species (Apicomplexa: Hepatozoidae) infecting birds and description of a new species infecting Storm Petrels (Aves: Hydrobatidae). Journal of Parasitology. 2014; 100(3):338-343.
 55. Shurulinkov P, Chakarov N. Prevalence of blood parasites in different local populations of reed warbler (*Acrocephalus scirpaceus*) and great reed warbler (*Acrocephalus arundinaceus*). Parasitology Research. 2006; (99):588-592.
 56. Merino S, Martínez J, Puente JM, Criado-Fornelio A, Tomás G, Morales J *et al.* Molecular characterization of the 18S rDNA gene of avian *Hepatozoon* reveals that it is closely related to *Lankesterella*. Journal of Parasitology. 2006; 92(6):1330-1335.
 57. Criado-Fornelio A, Ruas JL, Casado N, Farias NA, Soares MP, Müller G *et al.* New molecular data on mammalian *Hepatozoon* species (Apicomplexa: Adeleorina) from Brazil and Spain. Journal of Parasitology. 2006; 92(1):93-99.
 58. André MR, Adania CH, Teixeira RHF, Vargas GH, Falcade M, Sousa L *et al.* Molecular detection of *Hepatozoon* spp. in Brazilian and exotic wild carnivores. Veterinary Parasitology. 2010; (173):134-138.
 59. Sousa KC, Fernandes MP, Herrera HM, Benevenuto JL, Santos FM, Rocha FL *et al.* Molecular detection of *Hepatozoon* spp. in domestic dogs and wild mammals in southern Pantanal, Brazil with implications in the transmission route. Veterinary Parasitology. 2017; (237):37-46.
 60. Rodrigues AF, Daemon E, Massard CL. Morphological and morphometrical characterization of gametocytes of *Hepatozoon procyonis* Richards, 1961 (Protista, Apicomplexa) from a Brazilian wild procionid *Nasua nasua* and *Procyon cancrivorus* (Carnivora, Procyonidae). Parasitology Research. 2007; (100):347-350.
 61. Pawar RM, Poornachandar A, Arun AS, Manikandan S, Shivaji S. Molecular prevalence and characterization of *Hepatozoon ursi* infection in Indian sloth bears (*Melursus ursinus*). Veterinary Parasitology. 2011; (182):329-332.
 62. Pawar RM, Poornachandar A, Srinivas P, Rao KR, Lakshmikantan U, Shivaji S. Molecular characterization of *Hepatozoon* spp. infection in endangered Indian wild felids and canids. Veterinary Parasitology. 2012; (186):475-479.
 63. Conceição-Silva FM, Abranches P, Silva-Pereira MC, Janz JG. Hepatozoonosis in foxes from Portugal. Journal of Wildlife Disease. 1988; (24):344-347.
 64. McCully RM, Basson PA, Bigalke RD, De-Vos V, Young E. Observations on naturally acquired hepatozoonosis of wild carnivores and dogs in the Republic of South Africa. Journal of Veterinary Research. 1975; (42):117-134.
 65. Redlist - International Union of Conservation of Nature. <http://www.iucnredlist.org/search>, 2017.
 66. Registro de ocorrência do Instituto Chico Mendes de