

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2018; 6(6): 617-619 © 2018 JEZS Received: 16-09-2018 Accepted: 17-10-2018

Surendar Singh Nirwan

Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Jitendra Singh Mehta

Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Ashok Kumar

Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Satish Kumar

Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Arvind Kumar

Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Vikramjit Singh

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Correspondence

Surendar Singh Nirwan Department of Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, RAJUVAS, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Effects of peripartum bypass fat supplementation on production performance in dairy cattle

Surendar Singh Nirwan, Jitendra Singh Mehta, Ashok Kumar, Satish Kumar, Arvind Kumar and Vikramjit Singh

Abstract

The aim of the present investigation was to study the effect of bypass fat on production parameters (milk yield and milk fat percentage). A total of 40 advance pregnant crossbred dairy cows of 2^{nd} to 5^{th} parity were randomly divided into two groups, control (n=10) and treatment (n=30). The control animals were maintained on a routine standard feeding schedule. The treatment animals in addition to routine standard feeding schedule were supplemented orally daily with extra 100 g of bypass fat (Enerfat, Kemin) for about 2 weeks before expected date of calving and continued one week after calving. The level of bypass fat was then increased as per the milk production @ 10 gram per litre of milk produced until 60 days postpartum limiting to a maximum of 250 gram/day. Average milk yield and fat percentage of the experimental animals were recorded fortnightly up to 60 days of lactation (excluding first 5 days postpartum). Bypass fat supplementation significantly (*P*<0.05) increased milk fat percentage but had no effect on average milk yield. In this study It was concluded that bypass fat supplementation did not show any beneficial effect on average milk yield but significantly increased milk in fat percentage.

Keywords: Bypass fat, lactation, fat percentage, milk yield

Introduction

Earlier studies have shown that high yielding dairy cows cannot consume adequate nutrients in early lactation to support the level of milk yield. The peak milk production, at about 5-8 weeks postpartum occurs earlier than maximum feed consumption causing cows to be in negative energy balance and to mobilize fat from adipose tissue in early lactation (Bell, 1995; Rukkwamsuk *et al.*, 1998; Miyoshi *et al.*, 2001; Gutierrez *et al.*, 2009) ^[1, 14, 8, 5]. The difference between the energy supplied with the feed and what is required for maintenance and milk synthesis is referred to as the energy balance and in early lactation it is often negative (Tamminga, 2006) ^[17].

Role of the bypass fat in the rations of the high producing dairy animals is very crucial for enhancing the energy density of ration (National Research Council, 2001)^[10]. Dietary fat, that resists lipolysis and bio-hydrogenation in the rumen by rumen microorganisms, but gets digested in the lower digestive tract, is known as bypass fat or rumen protected fat or inert fat. Considerable literature is also available regarding the daily supplementation of bypass fat that improved milk yield with improved body condition score (BCS) in cross bred cows during early lactation (Wadhwa *et al.*, 2012; Gowda *et al.*, 2013)^[22, 4]. Supplementation of bypass fat to lactating animals either increase milk fat percentage (Thakur and Shelke, 2010; Sirohi *et al.*, 2010)^[18, 16] or fat is not altered (Naik *et al.*, 2009b; Tyagi *et al.*, 2009)^[9, 20].

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

The experimental cows (n=40) were randomly divided into two groups, control (n=10) and treatment (n=30). The control animals were maintained on a routine standard feeding schedule. The treatment animals in addition to routine standard feeding schedule were supplemented orally daily with extra 100 g of bypass fat (Enerfat, Kemin) for about 2 weeks before expected date of calving and continued one week after calving. The level of bypass fat was then increased as per the milk production @ 10 gram per litre of milk produced until 60 days postpartum limiting to the maximum of 250 gram/day. Average daily milk yield and fat percentage of the experimental animals were recorded fortnightly up to 60 days of lactation. For estimation of milk fat percentage 150 ml milk was sent to laboratory.

Statistical analysis

Data will be statistically analyzed by using't' test (Snedecor and Cocharan, 1994)^[17].

Results and Discussion Milk yield

The average daily milk yield recorded at fortnightly intervals up to day 60 postpartum, are presented in table 1. In the present study, overall mean milk yield between the groups varied non-significantly, that is in agreement with the reports of (Parnerkar et al., 2011; Wadhwa et al., 2012) [11, 22]. Milk yield was not affected by the dietary supplementation of Ca salts of long chain fatty acids in lactating animals (Castaneda-Gutierrez et al., 2005) ^[3]. Average daily milk production ranged from 13.75±0.71 to 16.7±0.62 kg/d in control and 13.62±0.41 to 17.09±0.38kg/d in treatment group in different fortnights of the experiment. In the control group, milk yield increased significantly up to 3rd fortnight of experiment then increased non-significantly. Same trend was followed by treatment group in different fortnights studied. Fortnightly variations in milk yield between both the groups were nonsignificant.

| Table 1: Fortnightly mean (+SE) milk yield (kg/day/head) |
|--|
| (excluding first 5 days postpartum). |

| Fortnight | Mean milk yield (kg/day/head) | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Control (n=10) | Treatment (n=30) |
| 1 | 13.75±0.71ª | 13.62±0.41ª |
| 2 | 15.0±0.66 ^b | 15.26±0.38 ^b |
| 3 | 16.62±0.67° | 16.56±0.39° |
| 4 | 16.7±0.62° | 17.09±0.38° |
| Overall mean | 15.52±0.38 | 15.63±0.23 |

Note: Means having different superscripts within column differ significantly (P < 0.05).

However, (McNamara *et al.*, 2003; Tyagi *et al.*, 2010; Gowda *et al.*, 2013) ^[7, 21, 4] reported significantly higher average milk yield in bypass supplemented group than non supplemented group. (Yadav *et al.*, 2015) ^[24] Observed significantly higher overall mean milk yield in prilled fat supplemented group then control group cows.

On the contrary, (Loor *et al.*, 2002) ^[6] noticed reduction in milk yield on feeding calcium salts of long chain fatty acids. While, non-significant increase in mean milk yield on supplementation of bypass fat was reported by (West and Hill, 1990) ^[23].

Milk fat percentage

The average milk fat percentage recorded at fortnightly intervals up to day 60 postpartum are presented in table 2.

 Table 2: Milk fat percentage (mean±SE) at fortnight intervals (excluding first 5 days postpartum).

| Fortnight | Mean milk fat percentage | |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| | Control (n=10) | Treatment (n=30) |
| 1 | $3.94{\pm}0.07^{A}$ | 4.13±0.08 ^B |
| 2 | 3.88±0.05 ^A | 4.10±0.05 ^B |
| 3 | 3.97±0.06 ^A | 4.15±0.06 ^B |
| 4 | 3.91±0.04 ^A | 4.20±0.07 ^B |
| Overall mean | 3.93±0.03 ^A | 4.15±0.03 ^B |

Note: Means having different superscripts within row differ significantly (P<0.05).

In the present study, overall average milk fat percentage was 3.93 ± 0.03 in control and 4.15 ± 0.03 in treatment group which was significantly higher (*P*<0.05) in treatment cows over that of control cows. The results of the present study are in agreement with those of previous researchers who reported significant improvement in milk fat content on addition of bypass fat (Thakur and Shelke, 2010; Sirohi *et al.*, 2010; Parnerkar *et al.*, 2011; Rajesh, 2013, Yadav *et al.*, 2015) ^[19, 16, 11, 13, 24]. The increased milk fat in the supplemented group was probably due to availability of more fatty acids (saturated and unsaturated fatty acids) for absorption in intestine due to protection of fat and these fatty acids are directly incorporated in milk fat after absorption from intestine, leading to increase in milk fat (Shelke *et al.*, 2012) ^[15].

Whereas, some previous researcher did not find any change in milk fat percentage on supplementation of bypass fat supplementation (Purushothaman *et al.*, 2008; Tyagi *et al.*, 2009; Shelke *et al.*, 2012; Wadhwa *et al.*, 2012)^[12, 20, 15, 22].

Conclusion

The result of this study revealed that Bypass fat supplementation significantly (P<0.05) increased milk fat percentage but had no effect on average milk yield. In this study, it was concluded that bypass fat supplementation did not show any beneficial effect on average milk yield but significantly increased milk in fat percentage.

Acknowledgement

The authors thankfully acknowledged the financial support and facilities provided by RAJUVAS, Bikaner to carry out the research work.

References

- 1. Bell AW. Regulation of organic nutrient metabolism during transition from late pregnancy to early lactation. The Journal of Animal Science. 1995; 73:2804-2819.
- 2. Butler WR. Nutritional interactions with reproductive performance in dairy cattle. Animal Reproduction Science. 2000; 60-61:449-457.
- Castaneda-Gutierrez E, Overton TR, Butler WR, Bauman DE. Dietary supplements of two doses of calcium salts of conjugated linoleic acid during the transition period and early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science. 2005; 88: 1078-1089.
- 4. Gowda NKS, Manegar A, Raghavendra A, Verma S, Maya G, Pal DT *et al.* Effect of protected fat supplementation to high yielding dairy cows in field condition. Animal Nutrition and Feed Technology. 2013; 13:125-130.
- 5. Gutierrez CE, Pelton SH, Gilbert RO, Butler WR. Effect of peripartum dietary energy supplementation of dairy cows on metabolites, liver function and reproductive variables. Animal Reproduction Science. 2009; 112:301-315.
- 6. Loor JJ, Herbein JH, Jenkins TC. Nutrient digestion, biohydrogenation and fatty acid profiles in blood plasma and milk fat from lactating Holstein cows fed canola oil or canolamide. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2002; 97:65-82.
- 7. McNamara S, Butler T, Ryan DP, Mee JF, O'Mara FP, Butler ST *et al.* Effect of offering rumen-protected fat supplements on fertility and performance in springcalving Holstein–Friesian cows. Animal Reproduction Science. 2003; 79:45-56.

- 8. Miyoshi S, Pate JL, Palmquist DL. Effects of propylene glycol drenching on energy balance, plasma glucose, plasma insulin, ovarian function and conception in dairy cows. Animal Reproduction Science. 2001; 68:29-43.
- Naik PK, Saijpaul S, Sirohi AS, Raquib M. Lactation response of cross bred dairy cows fed indigenously prepared rumen protected fat - A field trial. Indian Journal of Animal Science. 2009b; 79:1045-1049.
- 10. NRC. Nutrient Requirements for Dairy Cattle, 7th rev. ed. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 2001.
- Parnerkar S, Kumar D, Shankhpal SS, Thube H. Effect of feeding bypass fat to lactating buffaloes during early lactation. XIV Biennial Conference of Animal Nutrition Society of India, November 3-5, GBPUA & T, Pantnagar, India. 2011, 60.
- 12. Purushothaman S, Kumar A, Tiwari DP. Effect of feeding calcium salts of palm oil fatty acids on performance of lactating crossbred cows. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science. 2008; 21:376-385.
- 13. Rajesh G, Singh M, Roy AK, Singh S. Effect of prilled fat supplementation on milk yield, composition and plasma hormones in early lactation crossbred cows. Journal of Bio Innovation. 2014; 3(4):216-224.
- 14. Rukkwamsuk T, Wensing T, Geelen MJH. Effect of over feeding during the dry period on regulation of adipose tissue metabolism in dairy cows during the periparturient period. Journal of Dairy Science. 1998; 81:2904-2911.
- 15. Shelke SK, Thakur SS, Amrutkar SA. Effect of feeding protected fat and proteins on milk production, composition and nutrient utilization in Murrah buffaloes (Bubalus bubalis). Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2012a; 171:98-107.
- Sirohi SK, Wali TK, Mohanta R. Supplementation effect of bypass fat on production performance of lactating crossbred cow. Indian Journal of Animal Science. 2010; 80:733-736.
- 17. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. 8th edition, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., Calcutta, 1994.
- 18. Tamminga S. The effect of the supply of rumen degradable protein and metabolisable protein on negative energy balance and fertility in dairy cows. Animal Reproduction Science. 2006; 96:227-239.
- 19. Thakur SS, Shelke SK. Effect of supplementing bypass fat prepared from soybean acid oil on milk yield and nutrient utilization in Murrah buffaloes. Indian Journal of Animal Science. 2010; 80(4):354-357.
- 20. Tyagi N, Thakur SS, Shelke SK. Effect of feeding bypass fat supplement on milk yield, composition and nutrient utilization in crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2009; 26(1):1-8.
- 21. Tyagi N, Thakur SS, Shelke SK. Effect of bypass fat supplementation on productive and reproductive performance in crossbred cows. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 2010; 42:1749-55.
- 22. Wadhwa M, Grewal RS, Bakshi MPS, Brar PS. Effect of supplementing bypass fat on the performance of high yielding crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Animal Science. 2012; 82(2):200-203.
- 23. West JW, Hill GM. Effect of protected fat product on productivity of lactating Holstein and Jersey cows. Journal of Dairy Science. 1990; 73:3200-3207.
- 24. Yadav G, Roy AK, Singh M. Effect of prilled fat

supplementation on milk production performance of crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2015; 32(2):133-138.