

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2020; 8(1): 230-233 © 2020 JEZS Received: 28-11-2019 Accepted: 30-12-2019

Rashmi R Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

N Prakash Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

HD Narayana Swamy Department of Veterinary Pathology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka

M Narayana Swamy Department of Veterinary Physiology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

D Rathnamma Department of Veterinary Microbiology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka

Suguna Rao Department of Veterinary Pathology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

A Sahadev Department of Animal Reproduction, Gynecology & Obstetrics, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

U Sunilchandra Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

CR Santhosh Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Naveen Kumar S Department of Animal Genetics & Breeding, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka

Wilfred Ruban S Department of Livestock Products Technology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

KR Anjan Kumar Department of Veterinary Pathology KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Yathish HM Department of Animal Genetics & Breeding KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Prashantkumar Waghe Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Purushotham K M Department of Veterinary Microbiology KVAFSU, Bidar, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Rashmi Rajashekaraiah Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, Veterinary College, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Cytotoxicity screening of esculin with or without piperine

Rashmi R, N Prakash, HD Narayana Swamy, M Narayana Swamy, D Rathnamma, Suguna Rao, A Sahadev, U Sunilchandra, CR Santhosh, Naveen Kumar S, Wilfred Ruban S, KR Anjan Kumar, Yathish HM, Prashantkumar Waghe and Purushotham KM

Abstract

Recently, phytocompounds have gained considerable attention of the scientific community for their effective role in cancer therapy. In the present study, we investigated the cytotoxicity potential of esculin with or without piperine on breast cancer cell lines *viz.*, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231. The MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazolyl- 2)-2, 5- diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay was conducted at 48h incubation to determine percent cell viability. The IC₅₀ values of esculin and piperine for MCF-7 were 20.35 and 17.83 μ M, respectively. Likewise, IC₅₀ values of esculin and piperine for MDA-MB-231 were 22.65 and 14.28 μ M, respectively. A combination of esculin (IC₂₅) and piperine (IC₂₅) on MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 showed percent cell viability of 37.90±0.03 and 10.12±0.03, respectively. This study suggests that the cytotoxicity of esculin and piperine is dose-dependent. The *in vitro* cytotoxicity potential of esculin and piperine alone and in their combination in terms of percent cell viability proved to be more effective on MDA-MB-231 cells.

Keywords: Esculin, piperine, cytotoxicity, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231

1. Introduction

Phytochemicals or secondary metabolites are non-nutritive, non-toxic and have a wide range of biological activities *viz.*, anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, antioxidant and anticancer ^[1, 2]. They produce anticancer activity by acting against oxidative stress, DNA damage or by blocking several cancer pathways ^[3, 4]. The coumarins are widely distributed in plants and have recently attracted much attention because of their broad biological activities ^[5, 6]. The esculin (6, 7-dihydroxycoumarin-6-o-glucoside) is a coumarin derivative having antioxidant ^[5], anti-inflammatory ^[7], gastro-protectant ^[8], antidiabetic, analgesic, antibacterial and anticoagulant effect ^[9, 10]. The piperine (1-Piperoylpiperidine) is an alkaloid predominantly found in the fruits and roots of *Piper nigrum* L. (black pepper) and *Piper longum* L. (long pepper) species of *Piperaceae* family ^[11]. It possesses antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, anti-asthmatic, anti-convulsant, anti-mutagenic, anti-mycobacterial, anti-amoebic and anticancer activities ^[12, 13]. The cytotoxicity of esculin and also its combinatorial cytotoxicity with piperine has not been reported on breast cancer cell lines. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken to determine the cytotoxicity of esculin with or without piperine.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Esculin (Sigma, #E8250), Piperine (Sigma, #P49007), Dimethly sulfoxide (Sigma, #D8418) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd. Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, #SH30243.01), Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, #SH30028.02). Trypan blue, MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide], fetal bovine serum, trypsin, penicillin, streptomycin, amphotericin, absolute ethanol (Hi-Media Labs, Mumbai, India) and other reagents of analytical grade were also used in the study.

2.2 Cell lines and culture conditions

Breast cancer cell lines such as MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 (National Centre for Cell Science,

Pune, India) were used for *in vitro* cytotoxicity assay. The cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (4.5g/L Glucose), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine (4mM), streptomycin 1000 μ g/mL, penicillin 10,000 units/mL and Amphotericin-B solution 25 μ g/mL. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95 percent air and 5 percent CO₂. Following 24h of incubation, the adherent cells were detached using trypsin-EDTA solution 1X/0.25%. The cell count was carried out using the Luna automated cell counter (Logos Bio systems, USA) based on the trypan blue dye exclusion method.

2.3 Determination of cytotoxicity

5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2, 5-The MTT (3-(4,iphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay was carried out to determine the cytotoxicity of esculin, piperine and combination of esculin with piperine on MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines. The cell suspension of 200µL was seeded in 96-well microplates (Corning®, USA) at a density of 20,000 cells/well and incubated in 5% CO2 incubator at 37 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 24h, after which the cells were exposed to a graded concentration of 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100µM of esculin, piperine and incubated for 48h. Later media was removed from all treated and vehicle control (1% DMSO) cells and replaced with fresh media containing MTT (0.5 mg/ml) and were re-incubated for 3h at 37°C. This was followed by the removal of MTT solution and then the addition of 100µL of DMSO to dissolve insoluble formazan crystals formed within mitochondria of viable cells. The plate was incubated with DMSO for 5min with gentle shaking. The cell viability was determined by measuring the absorbance on a microplate reader (ELx800, Bio Tek, USA) at \u03c0max 570 nm. The concentration of esculin and piperine that resulted in 50 percent inhibition of cell growth was calculated as the halfmaximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) by constructing a dose-response curve. The IC₅₀ for each cell line was determined by a linear curve fit generated after obtaining dose-response to six different concentrations of esculin and piperine. The cell viability percentages (y-axis) were plotted against increasing concentrations of esculin and piperine on the x-axis. The IC₅₀ values were estimated by using the linear regression equation

y=mx+c

Where 50 is substituted for y, yielding x as the IC_{50} value

Cell Viability (%)=<u>Number of cells treated with the test item</u> X 100

Later, the cell viability percent for the combination of esculin (IC_{25}) and piperine (IC_{25}) on MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines was carried out at 48h incubation.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The data of *in vitro* cytotoxicity studies were subjected to linear regression analysis to obtain dose-response curves and IC_{50} values. The student t-test was carried out and all the values were expressed as Mean \pm SD (Graph Pad Prism, Version 5).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. In vitro cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity of esculin and piperine on both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines was dose-dependent (Fig.3a and 3b, respectively). The IC₅₀ values of esculin and piperine for MCF-7 were 20.35 and 17.83 μ M, respectively (Fig.1b and 1c, respectively). Likewise, IC₅₀ values of esculin and piperine for MDA-MB-231 were 22.65 and 14.28 μ M, respectively (Fig.2b and 2c, respectively). A combination of esculin (IC₂₅) with piperine (IC₂₅) on MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 showed a percent cell viability of 37.90±0.03 and 10.12±0.03, respectively (Fig.1d and 4a; 2d and 4b, respectively).

The cytotoxicity of esculin and piperine in terms of percent cell viability on both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines was dose-dependent. Based on IC₅₀ values and percent cell viability observed in MTT assay it was concluded that MDA-MB-231 cells were more sensitive than MCF-7 cell lines to esculin and piperine. Further, a combination of esculin with piperine produced enhanced cytotoxicity when compared to those observed with esculin or piperine alone treated group. In the present study, the variation in the cytotoxicity of test compounds towards each breast cancer cell lines was different which depends on the classification and degree of malignancy of cancer cells [14, 15]. In conclusion, triple-negative breast cancer is susceptible to esculin, piperine, and their combination. The successful results of the present study and also an additional set of investigations could help to understand the possibility of a combination of the phytocompounds with the available conventional drug to encounter drug resistance and adverse effects.



Fig 1: Representative images of cytotoxic effect of a) vehicle control b) esculin c) piperine d) combination [esculin (IC₂₅) + piperine (IC₂₅)] on MCF-7 cell lines at 48h incubation (10X)



Fig 2: Representative images of cytotoxic effect of a) vehicle control b) esculin c) piperine d) combination [esculin (IC₂₅) + piperine (IC₂₅)] on MDA-MB-231 cell lines at 48h incubation (10X)



Fig 3: Histogram depiction of dose dependent cytotoxicity data of esculin and piperine as determined by MTT assay on (a) MCF-7 and (b) MDA-MB-231 cell lines. Values are Mean \pm SD, n=3q, (***p<0.0001)



Fig 4: Bar graph depiction of cytotoxicity data of combination studies [(esculin (IC25) + piperine (IC25)] as determined by MTT assay on (a) MCF-7 and (b) MDA-MB-231 cell lines. Values are Mean± SD, n=3, (***p<0.0001)

4. Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to SCSP/TSP grants, Government of Karnataka, India for funding the research work.

5. References

- Israel BB, Tilghman SL, Parker-lemieux K, Payton-stewart F. Phytochemicals: current strategies for treating breast cancer. Oncology Letters. 2018; 15(5):7471-7478.
- Singhal J, Singhal P, Horne D, Salgia R, Awasthi S, Singhal SS. Metastasis of Breast Tumor Cells to Brain is suppressed by Targeting RLIP alone and in combination with 2'-Hydroxyflavanone. Cancer Letters, 2018, 144-153.
- Landis-Piwowar KR, Iyer NR. Cancer chemoprevention: current state of the art. Cancer Growth and Metastasis. 2014; 7:19-25.
- Dhingra N. Role of phytochemicals in the prevention of breast cancer. Acta Scientific Nutritional Health. 2017; 1(2):1.
- Kaneko T, Tahara S, Takabayashi F. Inhibitory effect of natural coumarin compounds, esculetin and esculin, on oxidative DNA damage and formation of aberrant crypt foci and tumors induced by 1, 2-dimethylhydrazine in rat colons. Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin. 2007; 30(11):2052-2057.
- 6. Egan D, O'Kennedy R, Moran E, Cox D, Prosser E, Thornes RD. The pharmacology, metabolism, analysis

and applications of coumarin and coumarin related compounds. Drug Metabolism Reviews. 1990; 22(5):503-529.

- Stefanova Z, Neychev H, Ivanovska N, Kostova I. Effect of a total extract from *Fraxinus ornus* stem bark and esculin on zymosan and carrageenan-induced paw edema in mice. Journal of Ethno pharmacology. 1995; 46(2):101-106.
- Martin MJ, Marhuenda E, De la, lastra AC. Esculin, ranitidine and carbenoxolone: different modes of action on gastric-mucosa. General Pharmacology. 1991; 22(6):1001-1004.
- 9. Venkateshwarulu E, Sharvanabhava BS, Dileep P, Kaleem AK, Arif MD, Rajeev Reddy E *et al.* Evaluation of diuretic and antidiabetic activity of esculin. Iranian Journal of Pharmacology and Therapeutics. 2015; 13(1):40-45.
- 10. Song Y, Wang X, Qin S, Zhou S, Li J, Gao Y. Esculin ameliorates cognitive impairment in experimental diabetic nephropathy and induces anti-oxidative stress and anti-inflammatory effects *via* the MAPK pathway. Molecular Medicine Reports. 2018; 17(5):7395-7402.
- Zheng J, Zhou Y, Li Y, Xu DP, Li S, Li HB. Spices for prevention and treatment of cancers. Nutrition. 2016; 8(8):1-35.
- Martin-Cordero C, Leon-Gonzalez AJ, Calderon-Montano JM, Burgos-Moron E, Lopez-Lazaro M. Prooxidant natural products as anticancer agents. Current Drug Targets. 2012; 13(8):1006-1028.
- 13. Rather RA, Bhagat M. Cancer chemoprevention and piperine: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology. 2018; 6:1-12.
- Alsemari A, Alkhodairy F, Aldakan A, Al-Mohanna M, Bahoush E, Shinwari Z *et al*. The selective cytotoxic anticancer properties and proteomic analysis of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*. BMC Complement Alternative Medicine. 2014; 14(1):114.