



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

[www.entomoljournal.com](http://www.entomoljournal.com)

JEZS 2020; 8(2): 84-86

© 2020 JEZS

Received: 22-01-2020

Accepted: 24-02-2020

**Razique Ali Nahiyoon**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan**Rashid Ali Lashari**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan**Zarnain Rajput**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan**Hira Mannan Shaikh**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan**Maqsood Ali Laghari**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan**Qurban Ali Nahiyoon**Department of Plant Breeding  
and Genetics, Faculty of Crop  
Production, Sindh Agriculture  
University Tando Jam, Pakistan**Corresponding Author:****Razique Ali Nahiyoon**Department of Entomology,  
Faculty of Crop Protection,  
Sindh Agriculture University  
Tando Jam, Pakistan

## Different host consumption by *Chrysoperla carnea* (Green lacewing) under laboratory conditions

**Razique Ali Nahiyoon, Rashid Ali Lashari, Zarnain Rajput, Hira Mannan Shaikh, Maqsood Ali Laghari and Qurban Ali Nahiyoon**

### Abstract

The study was carried out on different hosts at IPM laboratory Tandojam during 2018-2019. The duration of various life stages i.e., eggs, larvae and pupae on aphid, eggs of Angoumois grain moth and mealy bug were observed. The fecundity female, longevity of female were recorded on various hosts. The predatory behaviour of larvae of *Chrysoperla Carnea* was recorded daily by offering them a known number of aphids, eggs of Angoumois grain moth and mealy bug. The increase in the weight of larvae of *C. carnea* after consumption of food was daily recorded in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar. The mean larval emergence from the eggs was observed as  $16.4 \pm 1.60$ ,  $16.4 \pm 1.50$  and  $16.2 \pm 1.28$  on Angoumois grain moth, cotton aphid and mealy bug respectively. The pupal period was  $112.6 \pm 14$  on Angoumois grain moth, cotton aphid and mealy bug respectively. The fecundity of female on three hosts comes out to be  $1490.4 \pm 40.78$ ,  $1594.6 \pm 53.28$ ,  $1402.6 \pm 42.81$  on Angoumois grain moth, cotton aphid and mealy bug respectively. The weight recorded in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar on three different hosts were aphid  $0.0720 \pm 0.004$ , mealybug  $0.018 \pm 0.003$  Sitotroga *Cerealella*  $0.0625 \pm 0.002$  on Angoumois grain moth, cotton aphid and mealy bug respectively.

**Keywords:** *Chrysoperla carnea*, green lacewing, angoumois grain, pupal period

### Introduction

In many developing countries including Pakistan, the indiscriminate use of pesticides has resulted in the environmental pollution on large scale besides, containing food and food products. It is also observed that after application, the pesticides have been accumulating in the soil, air and water to a critical stage. This calls for a safe and cheap control methods. This target can only be achieved by the practice of integrated Pest Management (IPM), which ensures the higher production and environmental safety (Lohar, 2001) [1]. The IPM includes biological control, cultural control and the chemical control as a last resort. Among others the biological control is one of the major components of IPM, it occupies central position in IPM programs (De-Bach, 1974) [2]. The biological control by insects is always insured by predators and parasitoids. Generally the predators are free living insects in both, the immature and adult stages, and often attack the same species of prey throughout their entire life history (Hoffman and Frodsham, 1993) [3]. One of the important example of insect predators is the lady bird beetle (Coccinellidae: Coleoptera), these beetles may consume several hundred aphids during their life. Another most common example of predators are the green lacewings, *Chrysoperla spp.* (Chrysopidae: Neuroptera), these insects are also called aphid lions they can consume several hundred aphids during their larval stage (Henn and Weinzierl, 1990; and Krishnamoorthy 1982) [4, 5]. The green lacewing *Chrysoperla spp.* long have been considered important naturally occurring predators in many horticultural and agricultural cropping systems, including vegetables, fruit, nuts, fiber and forage crops, ornamentals, green house crops, and forests (Hoffmann and Frodsham 1993; McEwen, 1995) [3, 6]. For this purpose, significant new developments were made in artificial larval diets, mechanized production methods, long term storages, and reliability on mass reared *Chrysoperla spp.* This has revealed the way for improving the ability to attract and retain the population of these predators in agricultural situations. The lacewings are general predators, being less specific to aphids than some other predators. They are often more important when other aphid predators are inactive. In addition to aphids, lacewing larvae feed on mites, thrips, soft scales, Lepidopteran eggs and other soft bodied prey (Mani and Krishnamoorthy, 1999) [7]. The purpose of present study is to understand, on which diet the larvae of *Chrysoperla carnea* grow well and which diet effect positively on their weight, size, egg laying male, female ratio etc.

## Materials and Methods

The biology of green lacewing following procedure was adopted. The stock, culture of adult predator *Chrysoperla carnea* were obtained from the IPM laboratory, ARC, Tandojam and reared under the laboratory conditions as soon as the pupae become adults. The three pairs of adults were placed in the glass chimney. The damp cotton wool with extra water was placed in the glass chimney to ensure the humidity. Small bottle was kept in the glass chimney filled with water and having small stock of cotton plant to provide proper environment to the adults. The top of glass chimney was covered with black muslin cloth so that the egg laying could be easily be visible. The adults were fed on the artificial diet composing of 40 gm of yeast, 70 gm of sugar and 50 ml of water. This artificial diet was spread on the meter scale in the form of drops. In this way observations of five replications with each twenty larvae were taken by feeding them with three hosts. In the first instars the individual larvae were fed with 10 aphids, 10 mealy bugs and 0.3372 eggs of Angoumois grain moth. In this way the amount of food was increased as the instars increased. The feeding potential on all three hosts were observed up to pupation. After the pupation the adult emerged, fecundity, fertility of female was recorded with longevity and mortality rate and pupal period was observed. In this way three experiments with five replications were done and food consumed by each larva of the three experiments was observed in 5 replications also the growth and biology was recorded. The data was statistically analyzed.

## Results and Discussion

### Eggs

The data in table-1 shows that the eggs survival to adult stage, adult fecundity and fertility. The mean larval emergence from the eggs was observed as  $16.4 \pm 1.60$ . This was the result of the pair feeding previously on Angoumois grain moth eggs when in larval instar. The pair fed on cotton aphid in their larval stage. The mean larval emergence was recorded as  $16.4 \pm 1.50$  from the eggs. The eggs hatching from pair which were fed on mealy bug in their larval instars. The mean larval emergence from the eggs of this pair was  $16.2 \pm 1.28$ . Hence the result was non-significant. Whereas Balasubramani and Swamiappan (1994) [8] conducted laboratory experiment and result revealed that larval development was rapid on eggs of *C. cephalonica* (8.20 days) and prolonged on neonates of *H. annigera* (11.10 days). Pupal development period was quicker on *B. tabaci* and *A. biguttula* (7.40 days) and prolonged on neonates of *H. annigera* (8.40 days).

### Survival to Adult stage

The mean male adults emerged was recorded as  $5.2 \pm 0.73$ . The table also reveals that the mean female adult emergence was recorded as  $7.6 \pm 0.92$ . This was the pupae of larvae fed on Angoumois grain moth eggs. The table reveals the adult emergence from the pupae whose larvae was fed on cotton aphid. The mean male adult emerged was recorded as  $4.8 \pm 0.58$ . The mean female adult emergence was recorded as  $8.6 \pm 1.04$ . The table shows the adult emergence from the pupae those were fed by mealy bug in their larval instar. The table shows that the mean number of male adult's emergence was recorded as  $4.8 \pm 0.73$ . The mean female adults emerged were recorded as  $8.2 \pm 0.66$ . The result shows significant difference.

### Fecundity of Females

The adults of *Chrysoperla carnea* are not predaceous but they

fed on the honey dew, plant sap and pollen. The adults are green in colour and soft bodied insects. Their antennae are long and very slender. The wings are transparent and also about equal in size. The wings have clear wing venation on them. The hind pair of wings is narrow at base. The data in the Table-2 reveals fecundity of *Chrysoperla carnea* females on the artificial diet. Each female laid on average of  $1490.4 \pm 40.78$  eggs. It was the fecundity of female which fed on Angoumois grain moth in larval instar. The Table also shows females the mean number of eggs laid by each female was as  $1594.6 \pm 53.28$ . It was fed on aphid in larval instar. The table also shows the results of the female which fed on mealy bug with average of mean  $1402.6 \pm 42.81$  eggs per female. Hence the results is significant but Jagadish and Jayaramaiah (2004) [9] studied the life cycle of predator *C. carnea* on the tobacco aphid. The gravid female laid an average 385.2 eggs in her life span.

### Hatching or and fertility of eggs laid by female feeding on 3 different hosts

The table-2 shows the mean no. of eggs hatched was  $1359.8 \pm 32.4$  there was the eggs laid by female which fed on Angoumois grain moth. The table also shows the eggs fertility of the female which fed on cotton aphid was  $1514.8 \pm 67.6$ . The table also reveals the results of fertility of female which fed on mealy bug. The mean fertility observed was  $1293.6 \pm 44.40$ . Hence the result shows significant difference.

### Duration of larval instars and pupal period, incubation period and adult longevity

Table-2 shows the duration of larval and pupal periods with incubation, adult longevity on the Angoumois grain moth, aphid and mealy bug. In the treatment one i.e., Angoumois grainmoth the incubation period of the eggs laid by female was  $3.4 \pm 0.24$ , the larval duration in 1<sup>st</sup> instar observed was  $49.2 \pm 6.00$ , in 2<sup>nd</sup> instar was  $55 \pm 7.17$  and in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar  $50.4 \pm 6.45$ . The pupal period was observed as  $112.6 \pm 14$  with adult longevity of  $59.8 \pm 2.94$ . In the treatments i.e., when fed on cotton aphid the incubation observed was  $3 \pm 0.31$  with the mean larval duration of  $60.43 \pm 6.63$  in 1<sup>st</sup> instar  $73 \pm 5.94$  in 2<sup>nd</sup> instar and  $73.6 \pm 5.9$  in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar. The mean pupal period was recorded as  $120.6 \pm 14.4$ . The table also shows the results when fed on mealy bug with the mean incubation period of  $2.8 \pm 0.374$  with  $61.6 \pm 5.6$  larval duration in 1<sup>st</sup> instar,  $67.8 \pm 6.74$  in 2<sup>nd</sup> instar and  $56.2 \pm 6.95$  in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar and the mean pupal period was recorded as  $110.6 \pm 11.30$ . The adult longevity when fed by cotton aphid was  $57.8 \pm 2.15$  and when fed by mealy bug adult longevity was observed as  $61.2 \pm 4.70$ . The female incubation period and longevity shows non-significant result while larval and pupal duration shows significant difference.

### Food consumed by Larvae of *C. carnea* in three instars

The Table-3 shows the results of different hosts fed by the larvae of *C. carnea* in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> instar and weight of larvae in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar. Mean number of eggs of Angoumois grain consumed by the larvae of *C. carnea* was recorded as  $0.088 \pm 0.0054$ . The mean number of cotton aphid consumed by the larvae of *C. carnea* was observed as  $7.13 \pm 0.0007$ . The mean number of mealy bug consumed by the larvae was observed as  $6.62 \pm 0.009$ . The Table also reveals the hosts consumption by *C. carnea* larvae in its 2<sup>nd</sup> instar. The mean number of eggs of Angoumois grain moth eaten by 2<sup>nd</sup> instar larvae was recorded as  $0.1758 \pm 0.00895$  and the mean number

of cotton aphid consumed was  $15.00004 \pm 0.01905$ . The mean number of mealy bug consumed was observed as  $14.68 \pm 0.0128$ . The Table shows that the mean number of eggs of Angoumois grain moth consumed by larvae of *C. carnea* in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar was recorded as  $0.2794 \pm 0.0003$ . The mean number of aphid consumed was observed as  $24.48 \pm 0.0191$ . The mean number of mealy bug consumed by the larvae in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar was recorded as  $23.31 \pm 0.379$ . Mari *et al.* (2000) [10] studied the predatory efficiency of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) in laboratory conditions. The feeding rate showed that predation

by 3<sup>rd</sup> instars was highly significant.

#### Weight of Larvae in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar

The table shows the results of *C. carnea* larvae weight in its 3<sup>rd</sup> instar. The mean weight in the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar when feeding on eggs of Angoumois grain moths was recorded as  $0.0652 \pm 0.002$ . The mean of weight of *C. carnea* larvae when feeding of cotton aphid was recorded as  $0.072 \pm 0.004$ . The mean of weight of *C. carnea* larvae when feeding on mealy bug was observed as  $0.018 \pm 0.003$ .

**Table 1:** Duration of larval instar and pupal period, incubation period and adult longevity under laboratory conditions.

| Treatment            | Incubation period | Adult longevity    | 1 <sup>st</sup> Instar | 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar | 3 <sup>rd</sup> instar | Pupal period         |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>S. cerealella</i> | $3.4 \pm 0.24(a)$ | $59.8 \pm 2.94(a)$ | $49.2 \pm 6.00(b)$     | $55 \pm 7.17(b)$       | $50.42 \pm 6.45(b)$    | $112.6 \pm 14(a)$    |
| <i>A. gossypii</i>   | $3 \pm 0.31(a)$   | $57.8 \pm 2.15(a)$ | $60.43 \pm 6.63(b)$    | $73 \pm 5.94(b)$       | $73.6 \pm 5.9(b)$      | $120.6 \pm 14.4(a)$  |
| <i>P. solanopsis</i> | $2.8 \pm 0.37(a)$ | $61.2 \pm 4.70(a)$ | $61.6 \pm 5.6(b)$      | $67.8 \pm 6.74(b)$     | $56.2 \pm 6.95(b)$     | $110.6 \pm 11.30(a)$ |

**Table 2:** Egg survival, survival to adult, fecundity and fertility of female of *C. carnea* when fed on artificial diet under laboratory conditions.

| Treatment            | Eggs survival      | Survival to adult stage |                   | Fecundity            | Fertility            |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                      |                    | Male                    | Female            |                      |                      |
| <i>S. cerealella</i> | $1.64 \pm 1.60(a)$ | $5.2 \pm 0.73(b)$       | $7.6 \pm 0.92(b)$ | $1490.4 \pm 40.7(b)$ | $1359.8 \pm 32.4(b)$ |
| <i>A. gossypii</i>   | $1.64 \pm 1.50(a)$ | $4.8 \pm 0.58(a)$       | $8.6 \pm 1.04(a)$ | $1594.6 \pm 53.2(a)$ | $1514.8 \pm 67.6(a)$ |
| <i>P. solanopsis</i> | $1.62 \pm 1.28(a)$ | $4.8 \pm 0.73(a)$       | $8.2 \pm 0.66(a)$ | $1402.6 \pm 42.8(c)$ | $1293.6 \pm 44.4(b)$ |

**Table 3:** Food consumed and weight of larvae in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar of *C. carnea* under laboratory condition

| Treatment            | Food consumed          |                        |                        | Weight grain          |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | 1 <sup>st</sup> instar | 2 <sup>nd</sup> instar | 3 <sup>rd</sup> instar |                       |
| <i>S. cerealella</i> | $0.08 \pm 0.005(b)$    | $0.17 \pm 0.008(b)$    | $0.27 \pm 0.0003(b)$   | $0.0652 \pm 0.002(a)$ |
| <i>A. gossypii</i>   | $7.13 \pm 0.0007(a)$   | $15.00 \pm 0.019(a)$   | $24.4 \pm 0.019(b)$    | $0.0720 \pm 0.004(a)$ |
| <i>P. solanopsis</i> | $6.62 \pm 0.009(a)$    | $14.6 \pm 0.012(a)$    | $23.3 \pm 0.37(a)$     | $0.18 \pm 0.003(b)$   |

#### Conclusion

It could be concluded that the green lacewing *C. carnea* larvae was an efficient predator, its feeding and weight increased with its age of predator. The larvae feed and grow well on aphid as compared to other two hosts. The female which fed on aphid laid more eggs as compared to other two female which fed on Angoumois grain moth and mealy bug and the eggs laid by female which fed on aphid were more fertile than other.

#### Acknowledgment

We are thankful to Qurban Ali Nahiyoon (Department of PBG, SAU, Tandojam) for helping in analyzing the data.

#### References

- Lohar MK. Applied Entomology (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition) Kashif Raza Publications Hyderabad, 2001, 242.
- De-Bach P. Rincon\_Vitova Insectaries, Inc. Leaflet on *Chrysoperla carnea*. Quoted in: Biological Control by Natural Enemies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. 1974, 323.
- Hoffman MP, Frodsham AC. Natural Enemies of Vegetable Insect Pests. Cooperative Extension, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. 1993, 63.
- Henn T, Weinzierl R. Alternatives in insect pest management. Beneficial insects and mites. University of Illinois, Circular. 1990; 1298:24.
- Krishnamoorthy A, Mani M. Feeding potential and development of *Chrysopa scelestes* Banks on *Heliothis armigera* (Hubn.) under laboratory conditions. Entomon. 1982; 7(4):385-388.
- McEwan PK, Kidd NAC. Relationship between non olive vegetation and lacewing eggs in a Spanish olive orchard. Antenna (London), 1995, 148-150.
- Mani M, Krishnamoorthy A. Development and predatory potential of the green lacewing. *Mallada astur* (Banks) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) on the spiraling whitefly, *Aleurodicus disperses* Russell Homoptera: Aleurodidae). Journal of Biological Control. 1999; 13(1, 2):45-49.
- Balasubramani V, Swamiappan M. Development and feeding potential of the green lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* Steph. (Neur: Chrysopidae) on different insect pests of cotton. Anzeiger für Schadlingskunde, Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz. 1994; 67(8):165-167.
- Jagadish KS, Jayaramaiah M. Biology and predatory potentiality of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera) on the tobacco aphid, *Myzus nicotianae* (Homoptera). Journal of Ecobiology, 2004; 19(3, 4):241-244.
- Mari JM, Nizamani IA, Shar MU. Predatory efficiency of *Chrysoperla carnea* (steph. Qns) on mustard and wheat aphid. Pakistan Journal of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering and Veterinary Sciences. 23(1):28-30