



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

www.entomoljournal.com

JEZS 2020; 8(3): 153-156

© 2020 JEZS

Received: 04-03-2020

Accepted: 06-04-2020

C Vijayaraghavan

Assistant Professor, Agricultural
College and Research Institute,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural
University, Kudumiyamalai,
Tamil Nadu, India

Zadda Kavitha

Assistant Professor, Agricultural
College and Research Institute,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural
University, Madurai, Tamil
Nadu, India

Chemical control of blackgram whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) with newer insecticidal molecules

C Vijayaraghavan and Zadda Kavitha

Abstract

During *kharif* 2016 and *rabi* 2016-17, two field trials were conducted at National Pulses Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Vamban to evaluate some newer insecticidal molecules against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* in blackgram. The treatments included seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5 g/kg seed, thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/lit, thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3 g/lit, acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.2 g/lit, acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.3 g/lit, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05 g/lit, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/lit, triazophos 40 EC @ 1 lit/ha, novaluron 10 EC @ 1 ml/ lit, buprofezin 25 SC @ 1 ml/ lit and untreated control. In blackgram, whitefly population will be more during the vegetative stage so, a spray was given with the test insecticides at 20 days after sowing and pretreatment and post treatment counts were taken. The results of the two season trials concluded that clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l was effective in reducing the population of whiteflies in blackgram followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l.

Keywords: Blackgram, whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, clithionidin and thiamethoxam

Introduction

Blackgram is the most important pulse crop having high nutritive value and is native to India. In pulse cultivation, insect pest damage is a serious limiting factor leading to reduced production and productivity. Blackgram is damaged by an array of insect pests from sowing to harvest in the field as well as in the harvested produce in storage^[12]. Among these, during the vegetative stage, whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* is the major sucking insect occurring in blackgram. As whitefly is the vector of yellow mosaic virus, this was considered as a major threat in blackgram cultivation leading to yield reduction to the tune of 25 to 78 per cent^[23]. Yellow mosaic virus is the most destructive viral disease that occurs in blackgram. In severe cases, even pods and seeds within also becomes yellow thus reducing the marketability of the produce. Management of yellow mosaic virus primarily involves the management of whitefly which is the vector of this virus. Among various integrated pest management strategies, chemical control is an indispensable component and plays a major role in the management of whitefly population and YMV disease incidence. Hence, the present investigation was carried to study the efficacy of some newer insecticide molecule in the management of blackgram whiteflies in early vegetative growth stage itself to prevent the transmission of yellow mosaic virus through whiteflies.

Materials and Methods

Two field trials were conducted during *kharif* 2016 and *rabi* 2016-17 seasons with the variety VBN (Bg) 3 at National Pulses Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Vamban, Tamil Nadu. The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design and replicated thrice.

Population of blackgram whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* was counted by bell-jar method. In this method, the individual plant is covered with a glass jar and the flying insects were counted. As the whitefly population occurs mostly during the vegetative stage, a single spray was given with the test insecticides at 20 days after sowing in the respective treatments. In the treatment *i.e.*, seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5gm/kg seed, no insecticidal spray was given. Before spraying, pre treatment count was taken on the number of whiteflies in all the treatments. After spraying, post treatment counts were taken at 3 and 7 days after spraying.

Corresponding Author:**C Vijayaraghavan**

Assistant Professor, Agricultural
College and Research Institute,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural
University, Kudumiyamalai,
Tamil Nadu, India

The treatments are as following:

S. No.	Particulars
1	Seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5gm/kg seed
2	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2g/lit
3	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3g/lit
4	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.2g/lit
5	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.3g/lit
6	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit
7	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1g/lit
8	Triazophos 40 EC @ 1 lit/ha
9	Novaluron 10 EC @ 1ml/ lit
10	Buprofezin 25 SC @ 1 ml/ lit
11	Untreated Control

Results and Discussion

Kharif 2016

Before the spray, number of whiteflies in various treatments ranged from 8.00 to 8.87 per plant. On 3rd day after spraying, less than 1 whitefly per plant was recorded in the treatments clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l (0.87/plant), thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l (0.93/plant) and clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit (0.93/plant) (Table 1). Among these treatments, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l was ranked best and rest of the two treatments were on par in efficacy with this treatment. In untreated control, 8.00 whiteflies/plant were observed. On 7 days after spraying, whitefly population was less in the treatment, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l (2.00/plant). Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit (3.27/plant) and thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l (3.20/plant) were found to be next effective treatments in reducing the whitefly population and were on par with each other. In the treatmental plots in

which seeds are treated with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5g/kg seed, 3.00 and 5.53 whiteflies/plant were observed on 3 and 7 days after spraying respectively (Table 1).

When the mean population was taken in to consideration, in the plots sprayed with clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l, comparatively less whitefly population (1.40/plant) was noted and this was followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l with 2.10 whiteflies/plant. In untreated control a mean population of 8.50 whiteflies/plant was recorded. The neonicotinoid insecticide, thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.0125% was effective in reducing the infestation of cotton sucking insect pest viz., leaf hopper (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida) on cotton variety, RCH-2 Bt (BG-II) ^[11]. During kharif 2016, highest per cent reduction of whitefly population (83.53) was recorded in the treatment, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l. The efficacy of clothionidin 50 WDG @ 20 g a.i./ha in reducing the population of sucking pests on Bt cotton supported the present findings^[10]. Next to this, 75.29 per cent reduction of whitefly population was recorded in two treatments i.e., thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l and clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit. Another two treatments which have recorded more than 70 per cent population reduction were thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3g/lit (70.94%) and novaluron 10 EC @ 1ml/ lit (70.59%). Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 60 g a.i./ha and thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 180 g a.i./ha were effective against whitefly and jassids & thrips respectively in greengram^[19]. Earlier clothionidin has been evaluated by many researchers and reported as effective against rice leaf hopper, *Nephotettix virescens* ^[13], grapes leaf hopper, *Empoasca fabae* ^[22], Indian bean leaf hopper, *Empoasca kerri* ^[3] and cluster bean leaf hopper ^[14].

Table 1: Effect of newer molecules against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* of Blackgram (kharif, 2016)

S. No.	Treatment	Whitefly population (No./ plant)				% reduction over control
		Precount	3DAS	7 DAS	Mean	
1	Seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5gm/kg seed	--	3.00 (1.73) ^h	5.53 (2.35) ⁱ	4.30	49.41
2	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2g/lit	8.87	0.93 (0.96) ^{ab}	3.20 (1.79) ^b	2.10	75.29
3	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3g/lit	8.00	1.13 (1.06) ^{cd}	3.80 (1.95) ^e	2.47	70.94
4	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.2g/lit	8.87	1.07 (1.03) ^{bc}	4.60 (2.14) ^g	2.84	66.59
5	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.3g/lit	8.87	1.67 (1.29) ^{fg}	4.40 (2.10) ^f	3.04	64.24
6.	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit	8.00	0.93 (0.96) ^{ab}	3.27 (1.81) ^b	2.10	75.29
7	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1g/lit	8.27	0.87 (0.93) ^a	2.00 (1.41) ^a	1.40	83.53
8.	Triazophos 40 EC @ 1 lit/ha	8.00	1.53 (1.24) ^{ef}	4.93 (2.22) ^h	3.23	62.00
9.	Novaluron 10 EC @ 1ml/ lit	8.27	1.33 (1.15) ^{de}	3.67 (1.92) ^d	2.50	70.59
10.	Buprofezin 25 SC @ 1 ml/ lit	8.87	1.80 (1.34) ^g	3.40 (1.84) ^c	2.60	69.41
11.	Untreated control	8.27	8.00 (2.83) ⁱ	9.00 (3.00) ^j	8.50	--
	CD (0.05)		0.0987	0.0283	--	--
	SEd		0.0473	0.0136	--	--

Rabi 2016 - 17

During this season, pretreatment counts of whitefly ranged from 8.00 to 8.60/plant. On 3rd day after spraying, whitefly population was less in clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l sprayed plots (0.93/plant). Next to this treatment, less whitefly population was recorded in thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l (1.13/plant) while in the control 9.13 whiteflies were observed per plant (Table 2). Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l was highly effective against whitefly, *B. tabaci* by recording minimum population (11.4/10 plants) at 15 days after spraying in mungbean ^[7]. Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.005% was highly effective with a lower population of 2.60 whiteflies/plant and low MYMV incidence (10.7%) in mungbean ^[15, 8]. Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3g/lit with 1.23 whiteflies/plant was on par with the second best treatment i.e., thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l.

On 7 days after spraying, whitefly population was less in clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l treatment (2.13/plant) followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l which recorded 3.20 whiteflies/plant. In untreated control, 9.50 whiteflies/plant were noted. With regard to the mean whitefly population, the first and second best treatments i.e., clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l treatment (2.13/plant) and thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l have recorded 1.54 and 2.16 whiteflies/plant respectively. Among the tested chemicals, only clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l has recorded more than 80 per cent reduction of population over control. Next to this, thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l recorded 76.82 per cent reduction over control. Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.025 % was effective against brinjal sucking insects and clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.025% was of mediocre efficacy in controlling the brinjal sucking insects ^[18].

Table 2: Effect of newer molecules against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* of Blackgram (*rabi*, 2016-17)

S. No.	Treatment	Whitefly population (No./ plant)				% reduction over control
		Precount	3DAS	7 DAS	Mean	
1	Seed treatment with imidacloprid 600 FS @ 5gm/kg seed	-	3.00 (1.73) ^h	5.50 (2.34) ⁱ	4.25	54.40
2	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2g/lit	8.60	1.13 (1.06) ^{bc}	3.20 (1.79) ^b	2.16	76.82
3	Thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.3g/lit	8.00	1.23 (1.11) ^{cd}	4.00 (2.00) ^f	2.62	71.89
4	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.2g/lit	8.00	1.33 (1.15) ^{de}	4.80 (2.19) ^h	3.07	67.06
5	Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.3g/lit	8.20	1.80 (1.34) ^g	4.30 (2.07) ^g	3.05	67.27
6.	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.05g/lit	8.20	1.53 (1.24) ^f	3.80 (1.95) ^e	2.67	71.35
7	Clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1g/lit	8.10	0.93 (0.96) ^a	2.13 (1.46) ^a	1.54	83.48
8.	Triazophos 40 EC @ 1 lit/ha	8.00	1.43 (1.20) ^{ef}	4.90 (2.21) ^h	3.17	65.99
9.	Novaluron 10 EC @ 1ml/ lit	8.60	2.00 (1.41) ^g	3.40 (1.84) ^c	2.70	71.03
10.	Buprofezin 25 SC @ 1 ml/ lit	8.00	1.93 (1.39) ^g	3.53 (1.88) ^d	2.73	70.71
11.	Untreated Control	8.20	9.13 (3.02) ⁱ	9.50 (3.08) ^j	9.32	--
	CD(0.05)		0.0854	0.0277	--	--
	SEd		0.0409	0.0133	--	--

Conclusion

Based on the two season's trial, it can be concluded that *viz.*, clothionidin 50 WDG @ 0.1 g/l was effective in reducing the population of whiteflies in blackgram followed by thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 0.2 g/l. Both the clothionidin and thiamethoxam, reported as effective insecticides in the present study are neonicotinoid insecticides which gave good control against whiteflies and aphids respectively [11, 5]. Two sprays of clothianidin 50% WDG @ 25 g a.i./ha offered very good protection to cotton crop against the early season sucking pests. Significantly highest seed cotton yield (11.29 q/ha) was harvested from the clothianidin 50% WDG @ 25 g a.i./ha treated plots [16]. In castor, clothianidin 50WDG @ 25 g a.i./ha treatment gave higher per cent reduction of leafhopper (*Empoasca flavescens*) over control (99.2%). Clothianidin has also provided 95.1 per cent reduction in castor thrips (*Retithrips syriacus*) population [6].

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the Professor and Head, National Pulses Research Centre, Vamban, TNAU, Pudukottai dt. for the successful conduct of this study.

References

- Bharpoda TM, Patel NB, Thumar RK, Bhatt NA, Ghetiya LV, Patel HC *et al.* Evaluation of insecticides against sucking insect pests infesting Bt cotton BG- II. The Bioscan. 2014; 9(3):977-980.
- Biswas RK, Chatterjee M. Effectiveness of some systemic insecticides against the Whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) on Brinjal and the Jassid, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* Ishida on Okra. Pest management and Economic Zoology. 2008; 16(1):37-42.
- Chaudhari AJ, Korat DM, Dabhi MR. Bioefficacy of newer insecticides against major insect pests of Indian bean, *Lablab purpureus* L. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015; 28:616-619.
- Chaudhary RRP, Bhattacharya AK, Rathore RRS. Use of systemic insecticides for the control of stemfly, *Melanagromyza sojae* (Zohn.) and whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Gen. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1981; 43:223-225.
- Daniels MJS, Bale HJ, Newbury RJ, Lind, Pritchard J. A sublethal dose of thiamethoxam causes a reduction in xylem feeding by the bird cherry-oat aphid (*Rhopalosiphum padi*), which is associated with dehydration and reduced performance. Journal of Insect Physiology. 2009; 55:758-765.
- Duraimurugan P, Alivelu K. Field efficacy of newer insecticides against sucking insect pests in castor. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2017; 45(3):1-5.
- Ganapathy T, Karuppiyah R. Evaluation of new insecticides for the management of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.), mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) and urdbean leaf crinkle virus (ULCV) diseases in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2004; 32(1):35-38.
- Gopalaswamy SVS, Ramana MV, Radha Krishna Y. Management of YMV of black gram by chemical control of *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2012; 20:358-360.
- Jadhav RD, Kadam DR, Kadam BS, Kangle GK, Jadhav PB. Bio efficacy of newer insecticides against brinjal whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* G. Pestology. 2016; 40(3):34-39.
- Kadam DB, Kadam DR, Umate SM and Lekurwale RS. Bioefficacy of newer neonicotinoids against sucking insect pests of Bt cotton. Journal of Plant Protection. 2014; 7(2):415-419.
- Kuhar PT, Stivers-Young LJ, Hoffmann MP, Taylor AG. Control of corn flea beetle and Stewart's wilt in sweet corn with imidacloprid and thiamethoxam seed treatments. Crop Protection. 2002; 21:25-31.
- Lal SS, Sachan JN. Recent advances in Pest management in pulses. Indian Farming. 1987; 37(7):29-32.
- Misra HP. New insecticides for management of rice green leafhopper, *Nephotettix virescens* Dist. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2009; 17:5-8.
- Pachundkar NN, Borad PK, Patil PA. Evaluation of various synthetic insecticides against sucking insect pests of cluster bean. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2013; 3:1-6.
- Panduranga GS, Vijayalakshmi K, Reddy KL. Evaluation of insecticides for management of *Bemisia tabaci* and MYMV disease in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2010; 19(2):295-298.
- Patil SB, Udikeri SS, Krishna Naik L, Rachappa V, Farook Nimbale and Guruprasad GS. DANTOP: A Promising New Molecule for the Management of Cotton Sap Feeding Insects. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2007; 20(1):47-50.
- Satish M, Dharma Reddy K, Basappa H, Vinod Kumar Singh T. Insecticidal management of *Thrips palmi* (Karny) on Sunflower. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2004; 66(2):119-120.
- Shaikh AA, Bhut JB, Variya MV. Effectiveness of

- different insecticides against sucking pests in brinjal. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2014; 7(2):339-344.
19. Singh PS, Hariom Mishra, Singh SK. Evaluation of certain newer insecticides against the insect pests of mungbean, *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek. Journal of Experimental Zoology India. 2016; 19(1):367-372.
 20. Sunil Yadav K, Shweta Patel, Meena Agnihothri, Bishat RS. Efficacy of insecticides and bio-pesticides against sucking pests in black gram. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2015; 23(2):223-226.
 21. Surulivelu T, Chelliah S, Balasubramanian G. Evaluation of granular insecticides and seed treatment formulations for control of insect pests of black gram. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1978; 65:693-696.
 22. Van Timmeren S, Wise JC, Vander Voort C, Isaacs R. Comparison of foliar and soil formulations of neonicotinoid insecticides for control of potato leafhopper, *Empoasca fabae* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) in wine grapes. Pest Management Science. 2011; 67:560-567.
 23. Vir S. Assessment of yield loss due to yellow mosaic virus in moth bean. Pesticides. 1984; 18:33-34.