



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

[www.entomoljournal.com](http://www.entomoljournal.com)

JEZS 2021; 9(4): 48-53

© 2021 JEZS

Received: 19-05-2021

Accepted: 21-06-2021

**Ayesha Akter**
 Department of Entomology,  
 Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
 University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
**Md. Imran Hossain**
 Institute of Seed Technology,  
 Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
 University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
**AKM Ruhul Amin**
 Department of Agronomy,  
 Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
 University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
**Mohshina Mustare Liza**
 Department of Agronomy,  
 Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
 University, Dhaka, Bangladesh
**Corresponding Author:****Ayesha Akter**
 Department of Entomology,  
 Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural  
 University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

## Efficacy of plant derived and synthetic insecticides against mustard aphid for quality seed production

**Ayesha Akter, Md. Imran Hossain, AKM Ruhul Amin and Mohshina Mustare Liza**

### Abstract

The study was conducted in the experimental field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka during the 2017-2018 using BARI Sarisha-15 to evaluate the effectiveness of some promising bio-pesticides on aphid population abundance in field condition. Among the treatments, Spinosad 45EC performed as the most effective insecticide in reducing the highest percent of aphid population on leaves (60.00%) whereas Detergent showed the least performance (39.54%). In inflorescence, Spinosad 45EC performed as the most effective bio-insecticide in reducing the highest percent of aphid population (68.06%) whereas detergent showed the least performance (53.57%). The maximum quality seed of BARI Sarisha-15 obtained from treatment Spinosad 45EC (1.62 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>) due to lower aphid abundance. On the other hand, lower quality yield performance obtained from the T<sub>7</sub> treatment due to an untreated control (1.29 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>). After harvesting of seed, height germination (95.33%) was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC), on the other hand lowest germination (77.67%) was found in T<sub>7</sub> (Control plot).

**Keywords:** bio-pesticide, ecofriendly, quality seed, mustard aphid

### Introduction

Mustard locally known as sharisha is a popular and most common oil seed crop in Bangladesh and in other tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world. It is also known as rapeseed and belongs to the family Cruciferae. Though mustard is produced mainly in the rabi season. It occupies an area of 91188 acre with an annual production of 66060 metric ton (BBS, 2017) [4]. Mustard is a popular nutritious oil seed crop. Mustard aphid is the major constraint responsible for low yield as well as low quality seed, which is considered as key factor in reducing mustard production and sometime it is so severe that may cause yield loss up to 90% (Gupta *et al.*, 2003) [7]. Mustard plant is attacked by a number of insect pests (Bakhetia, 1983) [3]. Found more than three dozens of insect pests, associated with various phenological stages of these crops. Among them *Lipaphis erysimi*, commonly known as mustard aphid is most destructive in Bangladesh (Ahmed *et al.* 1977) [1]. It belongs to the family Aphididae of the order Homoptera. The insect is distributed to many other countries of the world. The attack is severe in those regions where the numbers of cloudy days are more during the pest activity period. Farmers used to apply different type of chemicals with repeated frequency in high dose and sometimes even banned chemicals. The use of chemicals for pest control leads to such problems as environmental pollution, development of resistance to insecticides, harmful effects on non-target organisms including pollinators, pest resurgence, upsetting the balance of nature and threat to the health of man. Twenty aphid species have gained resistance to insecticides (Minks and Harrewijn, 1988) [10]. Realization of negative consequences of chemical pesticides and the growing consensus in regard of health and environment, viable and sustainable alternatives other than chemical method of pest control is in search. In this search, microbial approaches with antagonistic entomopathogenic fungi and botanical pesticides (NORP, 1989/90) [12] have been included as the best alternatives. Neem based insecticides are non-phytotoxic, have good shelf life and also are used against many insects. Information on the seasonal prevalence of insect pests, particularly mustard aphid in relation to weather factors is scanty (Bishoni *et al.*, 1992) [5]. Good seed good crop. As mustard aphid is major constraints for quality seed production as a result it is reduced the yield of mustard. We can manage the mustard aphid by using different types of bio-pesticide and limited no of

insecticides and by taking some mechanical control measure in eco-friendly manner.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted in the central farm of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207 during the period from November 2017 to February 2018 to explore the efficiency of bio insecticides on the reduction of infestation level of mustard aphids for quality seed production. The mustard variety BARI-15 was cultivated in the designed field.

### Design of the experiment and layout

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with four replications. The total numbers of plots were 28 for 7 treatments, each measuring 2 m<sup>3</sup> m (6 m<sup>2</sup>). The adjacent block and neighboring plots were separated by 1.0 m and 0.5 m, respectively.

### Treatments

Five bio insecticides, field sanitation and control were evaluated in this study against mustard aphid. The group wise insecticides with their specific dose applied as treatment are given below.

The group wise insecticides with their specific dose applied as treatment are given below.

Treatments	Insecticides	Dose	Application interval
T <sub>1</sub>	Spraying Neem Oil	3.0 ml/L of water	7 days interval.
T <sub>2</sub>	Bioneemplus 1EC	1.0 ml/L of water	10 days interval
T <sub>3</sub>	Neem seed kernel extract	50 g/L of water	7 days interval
T <sub>4</sub>	Spinosad 45EC	0.4 ml/L of water	10 days interval.
T <sub>5</sub>	Detergent	10 g/L of water	7 days interval
T <sub>6</sub>	Field sanitation	Regular cleaning of the plot	
T <sub>7</sub>	Control		

### Statistical analysis

All the collected data were analyzed following the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique using MSTAT-C package and the mean difference were adjusted by LSD technique.

### Results and Discussion

The results on different parameters of the study have been interpreted and discussed under the following sub-headings:

#### Plant height (cm)

Plant height is an important character of a plant, which is closely related proper growth and development of a plant and finally produced higher yield. Plant height of mustard varied significantly at 40, 55 and 70 days after sowing (DAS) due to different treatment. At 70 DAS, the longest (92.33 cm) plant was produced from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment and the shortest (80 cm) was found from T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment (Table 1). The increase in height may be due to the influence of Spinosad 45EC. The present result also agrees well with (Mondal and Wahab, 2009) [11], who obtained the highest plant height (46.7 cm) with bio pesticides (Table 1).

#### Number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>

Number of leaves per plant is an important parameter of crop

plant because of its physiological role in photosynthetic activities. Number of leaves is directly related to the mustard yield. Number of leaves per plant of mustard varied significantly at 40, 55 and 70 days after sowing (DAS) due to different treatment. At 90 DAS, the highest number of leaves (25.83) per plant was obtained from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment and the lowest (17.80) from (control) T<sub>7</sub> treatment (Table 2). The result obtained from the present supported by Vekaria and Patel, (2005) [15] in respect of number of leaves per plant.

**Table 1:** Effect of treatments on plant height at different days after sowing of mustard.

Treatments	Plant height at(cm)		
	40 DAS	55 DAS	70 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	29.33 ab	56.33 bcd	85.67 ab
T <sub>2</sub>	29.00 ab	61.00 ab	86.67 ab
T <sub>3</sub>	28.70 ab	58.00 bc	85.33 ab
T <sub>4</sub>	32.90 a	65.09 a	92.33 a
T <sub>5</sub>	28.00 bc	50.33 de	84.67 b
T <sub>6</sub>	24.00 c	54.00 cd	81.33 b
T <sub>7</sub>	27.00 bc	45.33 e	80.00 b
LSD (0.05)	4.68	6.49	7.47
CV (%)	5.77	4.08	3.07

**Table 2:** Effect of treatments on number of leaves per plant at different days after sowing of mustard.

Treatments	No. of leaves per plant at		
	40 DAS	55 DAS	70 DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	8.33 c	21.83 ab	23.50 ab
T <sub>2</sub>	9.40 b	21.53 b	23.03 b
T <sub>3</sub>	8.43 bc	19.77 bc	21.77 bc
T <sub>4</sub>	10.47 a	23.83 a	25.83 a
T <sub>5</sub>	8.03 c	18.57 cd	20.00 cd
T <sub>6</sub>	8.20 c	19.80 bc	21.80 bc
T <sub>7</sub>	6.57 d	16.83 d	17.80 d
LSD (0.05)	1.05	2.06	2.34
CV (%)	4.33	3.56	3.74

In a column means having similar letter(s) are statistically similar and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly by LSD at 0.05 levels of probability.

DBS =Day before spraying, DAS =Day after spraying

**Number of branch plant<sup>-1</sup>**

Number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> in mustard showed significant difference where the number of branches (9.20) was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (8.13) and T<sub>1</sub> (8.07). Minimum number of branch plant<sup>-1</sup> was recorded 6.00 in T<sub>7</sub> (Control) (Table 3) (Martin, 1983) [8] found highest 12.28 branches mustard. Alam *et al.*, (1964) [2] reported that no. of branches increased with increasing rate of bio pesticide.

**Number of silique plant<sup>-1</sup>**

Silique number plant<sup>-1</sup> was observed maximum in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L of water) i.e. 82.33 closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (77.33) and minimum number of silique plant<sup>-1</sup> was found 54.33 in T<sub>7</sub> (Control) (Table 3). The number of silique from this experiment was supported by Bakhetia (1983) [3] in respect of bio pesticide application.

**Length of silique**

Height length of silique was found 8.53 in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) which is closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (7.73) and lowest number of silique per plant was found 5.60 in T<sub>7</sub> (Control) (Table 3). The length of silique from this experiment was supported by Dixon and Wellings (1982) [6] in respect of bio pesticide application.

**Total number of seed silique<sup>-1</sup>**

Maximum number of seed silique<sup>-1</sup> was found 22.67 in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) which is closely followed by T<sub>2</sub> (19.67) and minimum number of seed silique<sup>-1</sup> was found 14.43 in T<sub>7</sub> (Control) (Table 3). The number of seedsilique<sup>-1</sup> from this experiment was supported by [12] in respect of bio pesticide application.

**Table 3:** Effect of treatments on number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of silique plant<sup>-1</sup>, length of silique and total number of seed silique<sup>-1</sup> of mustard

Treatment	Number of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of silique plant <sup>-1</sup>	Length of silique	Total number of seed silique <sup>-1</sup>
T <sub>1</sub>	8.07 b	73.67 bc	7.40 b	18.40 b
T <sub>2</sub>	8.13 b	77.33 ab	7.73 ab	19.67 ab
T <sub>3</sub>	7.50 bc	71.10 bc	7.13 bc	18.67 b
T <sub>4</sub>	9.20 a	82.33 a	8.53 a	22.67 a
T <sub>5</sub>	7.20 c	70.67 bc	7.20 bc	18.00 bc
T <sub>6</sub>	7.00 c	67.67 c	6.53 c	17.20 bc
T <sub>7</sub>	6.00 d	54.33 d	5.60 d	14.43 c
LSD (0.05)	0.72	8.21	0.84	3.63
CV %	3.33	4.05	4.15	6.89

**1000 seeds weight**

There is no significant difference found in 1000 seed weight though higher 1000 seeds weight 3.52 found in T<sub>6</sub> (Field sanitation) and lower 1000 seeds weight 3.30 found in T<sub>2</sub> (Bioneemplus 1EC @ 1.0 ml/L) (Table 4).

**Seed yield plot<sup>-1</sup>**

Yield is the ultimate economic product of the crop, which is determined mainly by seed weight, number of seeds, silique

plant<sup>-1</sup>. It was observed different levels of bio pesticide application significantly effect on the seed yield per plant of mustard (Table 4). Highest seed yield per plot was revealed 264.33 Kg in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) and lowest seed yield per plot was found 289.67 Kg in T<sub>7</sub> (Control) (Table 4). Saha *et al.*, [14] reported that plant height, no. of branches per plant and seed yield increased due to application of different bio pesticide.

**Table 4:** Effect of treatment on 1000 seeds weight, seed yield plot<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield ton ha<sup>-1</sup> and germination of mustard.

Treatment	1000 seeds weight(gm)	Seed yield Plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)	Seed yield ton ha <sup>-1</sup>	Germination %
T <sub>1</sub>	3.50	324.33 c	1.44 c	85.67 bc
T <sub>2</sub>	3.30	344.33 b	1.53 b	89.67 b
T <sub>3</sub>	3.43	312.33 c	1.39 c	84.00 c
T <sub>4</sub>	3.40	364.33 a	1.62 a	95.33 a
T <sub>5</sub>	3.40	311.67 c	1.40 c	83.00 c
T <sub>6</sub>	3.52	313.33 c	1.41 c	83.00 c
T <sub>7</sub>	3.37	289.67 d	1.29 d	77.67 d
LSD (0.05)	0.08	15.67	0.06	5.06
CV %	3.15	1.70	1.66	2.07

**Table 5:** Effect of treatments on shoot length, root length and seedling length of mustard.

Treatment	Shoot length (cm)	Root length(cm)	Seedling length (cm)
T <sub>1</sub>	6.03 b	6.87 ab	14.50 b
T <sub>2</sub>	6.17 b	7.10 a	14.77 ab
T <sub>3</sub>	5.90 b	6.43 bc	14.33 bc
T <sub>4</sub>	6.77 a	7.33 a	15.50 a
T <sub>5</sub>	5.80 bc	6.03 cd	13.53 cd
T <sub>6</sub>	6.07 b	5.80 d	12.67 d
T <sub>7</sub>	5.33 c	5.25 e	11.43 e
LSD (0.05)	0.49	0.53	0.96
CV %	2.91	2.93	2.43

### Seed yield ton hectare<sup>-1</sup>

Seed yield ton hectare<sup>-1</sup> was showed statistically significant variation due to different treatment application (Table 4). The maximum seed yield ton per hectare (1.62) was found in T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L). On the other hand, the minimum seed yield ton hectare<sup>-1</sup> (1.29) was found in T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment. Rohilla *et al.*, (2004) [13] found that high interval of bio pesticide application significantly reduced seed yield. Application of bio pesticide in low interval produced significantly higher seed yield.

### Germination %

Different treatment application significantly influenced the germination of harvested mustard (Table 4). The highest (95.33%) germination was found from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment. The lowest (77.67%) germination from was observed in T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment. Rohilla *et al.*, (2004) [13] also experienced the similar result due to application of bio-pesticide.

### Shoot length (cm)

A significant variation was found in shoot length of mustard due to application of bio insecticide (Table 5). The highest (6.77 cm) shoot length of mustard was found from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment. The lowest (5.33 cm) shoot length of mustard was observed in T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment. Mathur and Upadhyay (2000) [9] who reported that application of spinosad significantly increased the shoot and root length of mustard.

### Root length (cm)

Different levels of treatment significantly influenced the root length of mustard (Table 5). The highest (7.33 cm) root length of mustard was found from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment. The lowest (5.25 cm) root length of mustard was found from T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment. Mathur and Upadhyay (2000) [9] who reported that application of spinosad significantly increased the shoot and root length of mustard.

### Seedling length (cm)

A significant variation was found in seedling length (cm) of mustard due to application of different treatments (Table 5).

The maximum (15.50 cm) seedling length of mustard was found from T<sub>4</sub> (Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L) treatment. The minimum (11.43 cm) root length of mustard was found from T<sub>7</sub> (control) treatment. Mathur and Upadhyay (2000) [9] who reported that application of Spinosad significantly increased the shoot and root length of mustard.

### Effect of bio-rational on the incidence of aphid population on leaves

The number of aphid population was observed before spraying insecticide. The highest population was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (113.3 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (98.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) and the lowest aphid population was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> (71.67 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) preceding T<sub>5</sub> (78.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>). Statistically significant variations were observed among the results of .The highest aphid population (178.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in untreated control plot T<sub>7</sub>, which was statistically different to that of T<sub>3</sub> (48.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) i.e., spraying of Neem seed kernel extract @ 50 g/L of water at 7 days interval and T<sub>1</sub> (43.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot (Table 6). On the other hand, the lowest aphid population (33.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> i.e., spraying of Bio neemplus1EC @ 1.0 ml/L of water at 10 days interval followed by T<sub>4</sub> (43.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) i.e., spraying of Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L of water at 10 days interval. followed by T<sub>5</sub> (38.33) comprising of Spraying of Detergent @ 10 g/L of water at 7 days interval. In case 4 days after spraying (DAS), the highest aphid population (225.00 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was also recorded in control plot T<sub>4</sub> which was statistically different from all other treatments. It was followed by T<sub>5</sub> (60.00 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>3</sub>& T<sub>2</sub> (48.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, the lowest aphid population (38.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was also recorded in T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>6</sub> (45.67 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (46.67 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) treated plots. In case of 7 days after spraying (DAS), the Highest aphid population of aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded control plot followed by T<sub>7</sub> (380.00 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub> (68.33 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) which is statistically different. On the other hand, the lowest aphid population (50.00 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> followed by T<sub>1</sub> (52.00 aphid leaves<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>6</sub> (56.00 aphid

**Table 6:** Effect of treatments on number of aphids on leaves plant<sup>-1</sup> before and after spray

Treatments	Number of aphids				Aphid reduction over control (%)
	DBS	1 DAS	4 DAS	7 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub>	71.67 c	33.33 c	38.33 c	50.00 b	32.76
T <sub>2</sub>	93.33 bc	42.33 bc	48.33 bc	68.33 b	42.69
T <sub>3</sub>	97.67ab	42.33 bc	45.67 bc	56.00 b	27.54
T <sub>4</sub>	98.33 ab	43.33 bc	46.67 bc	52.00 b	47.11
T <sub>5</sub>	88.33 bc	38.33 bc	60.00 b	68.33 b	27.08
T <sub>6</sub>	80.00 bc	48.33 b	48.33 bc	58.33 b	26.78
T <sub>7</sub>	113.3 a	178.3 a	225.0 a	380.0 a	----
LSD(0.05)	22.45	13.39	20.25	21.53	----
CV (%)	14.27	11.47	14.73	10.6	----

### Effect of treatments on the abundance of aphid inflorescence plant<sup>-1</sup>

Significant variations were observed among different bio-insecticidal treatments in terms of inflorescence infestation due to aphid infestation on mustard (Table 7). Statistically significant variation was observed among the results of different treatment application in terms of total infestation at different days after spraying during the management of mustard. In case of 1 days after spraying (DAS), the highest

number of infestation (266.7 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> composed which was statistically different from all other treatment followed by T<sub>5</sub> (48.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) spraying of Detergent @ 10 g/L of water at 7 days interval and T<sub>6</sub> (43.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot (Table 7). On the other hand, the lowest number of infestation (38.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> comprised of spraying of Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L of water at 10 days interval followed by T<sub>2</sub> (40.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>)



comprised of spraying of Bioneemplus 1EC @ 1.0 ml/L of water at 10 days interval. In case 4 days after spraying (DAS), the highest infestation (340.00 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically different from all other treatment. This was followed by T<sub>1</sub> (58.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>5</sub> (55.00 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot. On the other hand, the lowest number of infestation T<sub>4</sub> (43.33 aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treated control plot followed by T<sub>2</sub> (44.33 aphid/inflorescence). In case of 7

days after spraying (DAS), more or less similar trends were observed among different treatment application in terms of number aphid inflorescence<sup>-1</sup> (Table 7). The highest number of infestation (400.0) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically different from all other treatment followed by T<sub>1</sub> (70.00) and T<sub>5</sub> (65.00). On the other hand, the lowest aphid population (58.33) was recorded T<sub>4</sub> in control plot followed by T<sub>2</sub> (58.33) and T<sub>3</sub> (60.00).

**Table 7:** Effect of treatments on number of aphids on inflorescence plant<sup>-1</sup> before and after spray

Treatments	Number of aphids				Aphid reduction over control (%)
	DBS	1 DAS	4 DAS	7 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub>	105.0 b	43.33 b	58.33 b	70.00 b	41.91
T <sub>2</sub>	118.0 b	40.33 b	44.33 b	58.33 b	50.56
T <sub>3</sub>	103.3 b	43.33 b	45.00 b	60.00 b	41.80
T <sub>4</sub>	120.0 b	43.33 b	48.33 b	58.33 b	51.39
T <sub>5</sub>	111.7 b	48.33 b	55.00 b	65.00 b	37.5
T <sub>6</sub>	93.33 b	38.33 b	43.33 b	58.33 b	33.33
T <sub>7</sub>	206.7 a	266.7 a	340.0 a	400.0 a	---
LSD(0.05)	46.56	22.38	25.35	12.5	---
CV (%)	20.74	15.27	14.17	5.79	---

**Table 8:** Effect of treatments on number of aphids on stem plant<sup>-1</sup> before and after spray

Treatments	Number of aphids				Aphid reduction over control (%)
	DBS	1 DAS	4 DAS	7 DAS	
T <sub>1</sub>	98.33 bc	40.00 b	52.00 b	68.67 ab	33.79
T <sub>2</sub>	100.7 b	42.67 b	51.67 b	66.67 a	33.79
T <sub>3</sub>	103.3 c	43.33 b	58.33 b	65 bc	31.38
T <sub>4</sub>	93.33 a	36.67 b	45.33 b	65 a	39.35
T <sub>5</sub>	118.3 c	50.00 b	70.00 b	71.67 cd	30.42
T <sub>6</sub>	111.7 c	41.67 b	65.00 b	76.67 d	30.16
T <sub>7</sub>	121.7 d	216.70 a	325.0 a	405 e	----
LSD(0.05)	18.17	22.99	19.63	11.39	----
CV (%)	9.27	17.7	10.38	5.01	----

### Effect of treatments on the number of aphid population per stem plant<sup>-1</sup>

Statistically significant variation was observed among the results of different management practices in terms of total infestation at different days after spraying (DAS) during the management of mustard. In case of 1 days after spraying (DAS), the highest number of infestation (216.7 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically different from all other treatment followed by T<sub>5</sub> (50.00 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) spraying of Detergent @ 10 g/L of water at 7 days interval and T<sub>3</sub> (43.33 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot (Table 2). On the other hand, the lowest number of infestation (36.67 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> comprised of spraying of Spinosad 45EC @ 0.4 ml/L of water at 10 days interval which is similar with T<sub>1</sub> (40.00 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) comprised of spraying of Neem oil @ 3.0 ml/L of water with at 7 days interval, which similar with T<sub>6</sub> (41.67 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) comprised of sanitation. In case 4 days after spraying (DAS), the highest infestation (325.0 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically different from all other treatment. This was followed by T<sub>5</sub> (70.00 aphid/stem) and T<sub>6</sub> (65.00 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot. On the other hand, the lowest number of infestation T<sub>4</sub> (45.67 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treated control plot followed by T<sub>2</sub> (51.67 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot followed by T<sub>1</sub> (52.00 aphid stem<sup>-1</sup>) treated plot (Table 8). In case of 7 days after spraying (DAS), more or less similar trends were observed among different management practice in terms of number aphid/inflorescence (Table 8). Considering the highest number of infestation (405.0) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> followed by

T<sub>6</sub> (76.67) and T<sub>5</sub> (71.67). On the other hand, the lowest aphid population (65.00) was recorded T<sub>4</sub> in control plot followed by T<sub>3</sub> (65.00) and followed by T<sub>2</sub> (66.67).

### Conclusion

In case of percent leaves aphid population reduction over control, the highest percent of aphid population reduction (47.11%) was observed in T<sub>4</sub>. while the lowest percent of aphid population reduction over control was observed in T<sub>6</sub> (26.78%). The percent of inflorescence infestation reduction over control indicate that the highest percent of inflorescence infestation reduction (51.39%) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub>. while, the lowest percent of reduction over control (33.33%) was recorded in T<sub>6</sub>. The percent reduction of stem infestation over control indicate that the highest percent of infestation reduction (39.35%) was recorded in T<sub>4</sub>. while, the lowest percent of stem aphid reduction over control (30.16%) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub>. The maximum yield found in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> (1.62 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>) because of low aphid infestation followed by T<sub>2</sub> (1.53 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>3</sub> (1.44 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>). While low yield performance found in the T<sub>7</sub> treatment was untreated control (1.29 mt ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### References

- Ahmed MU, Ahmed A, Mannan MA. Studies on the comparative of organophosphorus insecticides for control if mustard aphid in Bangladesh. Bangladesh. Journal of Agricultural Research 1977;11(2):16-1.
- Alam MZ, Ahmed A, Siddique A. Control of winter

- aphids in East Pakistan. A Review of Research Division of Entomology, 1947-64. Agricultural Information Service. 3 R. K. Mission Road, Dhaka 1964, 256-259.
3. Bakheta DRC. Losses in rapeseed mustard due to *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) in India - A literature study. Proceedings of the 11th International Rapeseed Congress Paris 1983, 1142-1147.
  4. BBS. Monthly Statistical Bulletin-Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh 2017, 68
  5. Bishoni OP, Singh H, Singh R. Incidence and multiplication of mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (kalt) in relation to metrological variables. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 1992;62(10):710-712.
  6. Dixon AFG, Wellings PW. Seasonality and reproduction in Aphids. European journal of Entomology 1982;90:383-402.
  7. Gupta MPM, Verma SK, Chourasia N, Rai HS. Assesment of avoidable yield losses in karanrai (*Brassica carinata* Braun) varieties due to mustard aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt.). Annual Plant Protection Science 2003;11(1):11-15.
  8. Martin JH. The identification of common aphid pests of tropical agriculture. Journal of Insect Science 1983;2(2):139-145.
  9. Mathur YK, Upadhyay KD. Evaluation and economics of some modern bio-insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.). Pesticide 2000;60:328-332.
  10. Minks AK, Harrewijn P. Aphids: Their biology, natural enemies and control. Elsevier Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands 1988, 312.
  11. Mondal MRI, Wahab MA. Production Technology of Oil Crops. Oil seed Res. Centre, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur, Bangladesh 2009, 4.
  12. NORP. Annual report. National Oilseed Research Program, Nawalpur, Sarlahi, Nepal 1989;90:123.
  13. Rohilla HR, Bhatnagar P, Yadav PR. Chemical control of mustard aphid with newer and conventional insecticides. Indian Journal Entomology 2004;66(1):30-32.
  14. Saha BN, Islam W, Khan AR. Effect of Azadirachtin on the growth and development of the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. Journal of Asiatic Society of Bangladesh Science 2006;32(1):69-65.
  15. Vekaria BK, Patel P. Numerical response of ladybird beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to aphid prey (Hom: Aphididae) in a field bean in northeast India. Journal of Applied Entomology 2005;123:401-405.